## In The Matter Of:

## BROOKLINE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS HEARING

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## 40B APPLICATION BY CHESTNUT HILL REALTY - Vol. 22 January 12, 2015

## MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

101 Arch Street 3rd Floor Boston, MA 02110 Phone: 617.542.0039 Fax: 617.542.2119

Volume XXII

Pages 1-144

Brookline Zoning Board of Appeals Hearing

Case Number 20130094

40B Application by Chestnut Hill Realty

The Residences of South Brookline

January 12, 2015 at 7:00 p.m.

Office of Town Counsel

333 Washington Street, 6th floor

Brookline, Massachusetts 02445

Merrill Corporation LegaLink, Inc.

179 Lincoln Street, Suite 401

Boston, Massachusetts 02111

(617) 542-0039 Fax (617) 542-2119

Reporter: Kristen C. Krakofsky

```
1
                            Appearances
 2
      Board Members:
      Jesse Geller, Chairman
 3
      Jonathan Book
 5
      Chris Hussey
      Mark Zuroff, Associate Member
 7
      Allison Steinfeld, Planning Director
 8
      Maria Morelli, Planning Consultant, Planning Department
10
      Polly Selkoe, Assistant Director of Regulatory Planning
11
      Samuel Nagler, Esquire, Krokidas & Bluestein
12
      Edith M. Netter, Esquire,
13
      Edith M. Netter & Associates, P.C.
14
      Dan Bennett, Building Commissioner
15
      Peter Ditto, Director of Engineering and Transportation
16
      Joseph Geller, Stantec Consulting
17
      Marc Levin, Chestnut Hill Realty
18
      Steven Schwartz, Esquire, Goulston & Storrs
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	7:04 p.m.
۷	7.04 p.m.
3	MR. JESSE GELLER: Good evening, everyone. We
4	are calling the continued hearing on the Residences of
5	Chestnut Hill. My name is Jesse Geller. Jonathan
6	Book, Mark Zuroff, and Chris Hussey. As I've commented
7	to Mr. Hussey, he seems to be moving further and
8	further away from me.
9	Tonight we will continue our discussion or
10	we will primarily continue our discussion on the draft
11	decision and, in particular, the conditions. As we
12	announced at the last hearing, the intention is that by
13	the end of this evening's hearing we will close the
14	public hearing and end testimony on this matter. We
15	will then continue with public meetings in which the
16	board will continue its discussion of conditions.
17	For tonight's agenda, we have, first of all,
18	administrative details. I just mentioned them.
19	Secondly, we understand that the applicant
20	would like to present some additional information. I
21	believe there are some updates on waivers, among other
22	pieces of information, for the board.
23	MR. JOE GELLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm
24	Joe Geller from Stantec Consulting, Chestnut Hill

Realty. 1 2 So I'll start off with the -- actually, we had 3 a working session with the town departments, and it was very productive. We were able to go through all of the questions and concerns, I think, that the departments and staff had, and we were able to resolve, I believe, all of them. And so what I'm going to present tonight is basically those resolutions, and hopefully if you 8 have questions, just stop me as you know you can. 10 So this was the overall site plan that we had produced before. There was a question right here about 11 what was being shown there. It looked like it was sort 12 of a portico that didn't have any building above it, so 13 14 we actually -- it was sort of dashed in, so we actually 15 added that in so it's very clear. It shows up on all of the architectural drawings, but it wasn't as clear 16 17 on this drawing, so we just made that very clear. So there is -- this is where the entrance is, and there is 18 19 a room above or space above that portico, that 20 drive-under, and we just wanted to make that clear on 21 the drawing, so that was changed. 22 And then there was the question that came up 23 last time we were here -- it was a week ago -- about 24 walkways, the requested walkways around the buildings,

particularly at this end of the site. And we worked 1 2 that. And after a discussion with the town staff --3 the fire chief was at the meeting, as well as the Engineering Department and the folks in the Planning 5 Department -- we have added a walkway that actually comes around to the back of the building to this 7 parking lot as well as a walkway that comes along the 8 front of the building and ties into that entrance down at that part of the site. And then it's connected here 10 across this way to the other part of the site. 11 everything connects out on this part of the site. And one of the questions or concerns was what 12 would that look like? How would the fire truck 13 14 access? All those kind of things. So we talked to the fire chief about the access. He was comfortable with 15 that. We actually have added a wall back here in the 16 17 walkway. 18 One of the concerns the chief had originally 19 about using Grasscrete as the paving surface there was 20 that there would be no clear definition to the edge of 21 the Grasscrete. When they drive up there, they 22 wouldn't know where it is in the snow or whatever. 23 was just a grass area. So we talked about putting 24 markers along that area that you can see, the trucks

could see. This actually makes it a lot clearer 1 2 because there will be a -- the walkway will actually 3 form the edge of that Grasscrete fire lane as well as the wall on this side. So he appreciated that, to make 5 a very clear delineation of that fire lane. And then the wall that we talked about a lot 7 last week, we actually extended it a little bit. 8 starts at zero here. It goes to 10 feet here where you drive down into the garage, and then the walkway comes 10 across here. We added the walk on this side. I think the previous sketch we showed the walk over here, which 11 12 is a little more challenging. This actually works a 13 lot better to connect people up from the rear of the 14 building to the parking as well as the front of the 15 building. 16 We added a tree well here to protect this tree 17 so that we could kind of snake this walkway around through the knoll that's here. You know, as we talked 18 19 about before, one of the changes that we made earlier 20 on was to protect this rock knoll here and the trees 21 that are on it. And so we've actually been able to 22 protect this tree as well by building a rock wall here 23 and having a path snake around to that side of the 24 wall. And then the landscaping plan for this whole

area was updated. As we put in the walkways, we 1 relocated the planting that was there. 2 3 Another question that came up was the site walls at the entrance that were signage walls. And on 5 this case, what we did was actually move the signage wall back to this point, so you'll see the signage wall -- and I do have a rendering that shows this -- so that as you drive into the site, you actually -- it 8 would say Hancock Village and you know that you're at 10 the -- I'm sorry -- the Residences of South Brookline and you'd know that you're at the Residences of South 11 12 Brookline. 13 So I think, on this one, that pretty much 14 explains everything that we did after those 15 discussions. Some the waivers that came out of that --16 those discussions was that the sign walls -- so there's 17 a sign here at the west side of the site, a sign here 18 19 on the entrance off of Independence Drive on the east 20 side of the site, and then the one I just showed you 21 over here. So there's a waiver for signage because 22 that's not allowed in the S district. And this is what 23 that sign looks like, because there are existing signs 24 that are at Hancock Village and they would be similar

to the ones that are here. The signs would be similar 1 2 to the ones that I just showed you. 3 And then the other waivers, there's basically this M waiver here, which is for retaining walls in the 5 setbacks. And we realize, actually, that because this is now a front, the wall right here, this little piece of wall is actually in a front setback. We had it in a side setback before. So we changed that to a front 8 setback and then we added waivers for these walls at 10 this end of the building here and here and here that 11 are all, again, in the setbacks. And a few of them are 12 for height as well. And I think we talked about that 13 last week, but this just clarifies it on the waiver 14 plans. 15 There was also a discussion about the height 16 of the light pole. So that was the last waiver change 17 that we asked, which was a request to change the height of the light pole. We had been looking at a light pole 18 19 that had a 16-foot height to the base of the light and 20 then it was a little -- I'm sorry -- 15 and then higher 21 in here. So what we've asked for now is a waiver for 22 17 foot of height, which would give you a 15-foot 23 mounting height. So the light itself would be at 15 24 feet, which is the bylaw, but the top of the pole,

- 1 because of the goose neck of the pole, actually is 17
- 2 feet. That gives us a little bit of flexibility in the
- 3 sort of aesthetic of the light that we're selecting,
- 4 but the light itself would be no higher than 15 feet.
- 5 So that's pretty much all of the waiver
- 6 changes. Everything else was similar to what we had
- 7 before.
- 8 Mr. Hussey asked us to do -- I think this is
- 9 the section you asked for -- and it's if somebody was
- 10 standing at Asheville and looking up, what would they
- 11 see. And clearly, you don't see the fourth floor of
- 12 the building. There's the space between it. And
- 13 that's now incorporated into the drawing set, so it's
- 14 part of the record plans.
- 15 MR. HUSSEY: Joe, I've got a guestion on this,
- if I may. The edge of the third floor, there appears
- 17 to be a parapet or a wall of some sort that's above the
- 18 roof level; is that right?
- 19 MR. JOE GELLER: Yes. All the renderings we
- 20 showed had a parapet on that part of the site. This is
- 21 actually the worst-case scenario, because if you
- 22 remember the building, on the corner there it actually
- 23 has a couple of, like, pointed pieces and then there's
- 24 a parapet. And so that would be, like, the worst-case

```
1
      scenario.
 2
               MR. HUSSEY: And that's a solid --
 3
               MR. JOE GELLER:
                               Yes.
               MR. HUSSEY: -- solid wall? Okay.
 5
               MR. JESSE GELLER: Joe, where's the -- do you
      know the reference to the sky plane?
 6
 7
               MR. LEVIN: Do you know what plan that is?
 8
               MS. MORELLI:
                             911.
               MR. KINDERMANS: 911.
10
               MR. LEVIN: 911 -- L911.
11
               Mr. Hussey, does that answer your question?
               MR. HUSSEY: Yup. That's what I've got, L911.
12
13
               MR. LEVIN: Did I answer your question,
14
      Mr. Hussey?
15
               MR. HUSSEY: Yes, you did. Thank you.
               MR. JOE GELLER: And this is the view with --
16
17
      you can see the new sign wall here. The walkway comes
18
      through here. There's a little tree well here, and
19
      then the existing tree that's protected, and then this
20
      is the landscaping that was there before. And we've
21
      shown some more evergreens on this side, and the
22
      planting rearranged, as I said, in the plans. So that
23
      would be the image of what you've seen before with the
24
      new pathway installed. And that's what it would look
```

like in the winter. 1 2 There were a couple of other small things that 3 we were asked to do. I think at the last hearing, Mr. Ditto has some concerns about drainage at the edge 5 of the property. We talked about, actually, in our response that the water going off the property today 7 would be more significant than what was going off the property at the end of the day. But as a -- because he 8 had some concerns about it, what we ended up doing was 10 putting in a trench drain in this area and a trench 11 drain in this area and connecting them to the area 12 drains so none of that water will actually go off 13 site. It's what Mr. Ditto had asked for, so we did 14 that. 15 And then the fire chief had some questions about the hydrant locations. And we had shown the 16 17 hydrant locations. I think BETA had commented on them, 18 that they were fine, but the chief actually had some 19 concerns about where the location was, so we 20 relocated -- this one, I think, was up here somewhere. He wanted it towards the middle of the site so he had 21 22 better access to the three buildings. This one was 23 relocated here -- or new -- actually, it's a new 24 hydrant. So that serves these two buildings. And then

this one was relocated, actually, from over here to 1 2 here so he can grab it as he comes in. And then this 3 one was located to here. It was down at this location down here. So we -- basically, whatever the chief 5 asked for, we did, so those will be the new hydrant locations. And I think that's about it. 7 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. Questions? Mr. Hussey? 8 MR. HUSSEY: No. 10 MR. JOE GELLER: I'd like to call on 11 Ms. Morelli to speak to her review of the plans as 12 revised. 13 MS. MORELLI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Staff 14 has reviewed the plans and that involved the fire 15 chief, the building commissioner, the planning 16 director, the assistant director for regulatory 17 planning, the building commissioner, and myself. And 18 we're satisfied that the changes do address the issues 19 that we have raised. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Anybody have questions at 21 this point?

Merrill Corporation - Boston

that -- I understand that you have some comments on a

MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. What we'd now ask is

(No audible response.)

22

23

24

number of the conditions. What I would ask the members 1 of the board to consider is that what Ms. Morelli is 2. 3 going to do is she's going to provide some comments to -- I won't use the term "scatological list of 5 conditions." There's some logic to them, but they are not in a -- they don't follow sequentially 1 to 66. 7 These are specific conditions that Ms. Morelli wanted to make comment to. These are conditions that I would 8 say are significant, and therefore I think when the 10 board resumes its discussion about conditions, what we 11 should do is focus on those conditions first, even 12 though it's taking them out of order. Does everybody 13 understand? 14 MS. MORELLI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to make it clear that these are issues that we 15 feel should be addressed before the hearing closes. 16 17 And these are not all the comments that staff might have, so when you do go through the conditions one by 18 19 one, we may have some additional but minor comments. 20 I'd like to begin with Condition 20, which 21 concerns the redesign of Independence Drive, and these are the salient points staff would like to make: 22 23 One, the town will propose both a design and 24 construction drawings for Independence Drive and will

1 administer the construction contract. 2 Two, the town has not --3 MS. NETTER: Slow down. Can you say the first and second point again? I'm sorry. 5 MS. MORELLI: Sure. Regarding that first point, the town will propose both the design for 6 7 Independence and construction drawings and will administer the construction contract. 8 And if you're ready, I'll continue with the 10 second point. The town has not necessarily accepted the proposed complete street design that the applicant 11 proposes, including under procedural history. So this 12 13 is item 2 under procedural history. So that inclusion needs to be taken out. It's a complete streets 14 15 design. 16 And the third point is that the Transportation 17 Board must be involved in changes that affect public ways as Independence Drive is outside of the 40B. 18 19 And the last point concerns costs. Peter 20 Ditto, who's the director of Transportation and 21 Engineering, wanted to provide an estimate of 22 construction costs which the applicant would be 23 financially responsible for. And adjusted for 24 inflation about three years out, this is estimated at

\$350,000. 1 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: The complete streets --MS. MORELLI: No. Don't call it "complete 3 streets." That's just one design option for 5 Independence Drive. 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: So how are they coming up 7 with that number? MS. MORELLI: You can ask Peter Ditto. He's 8 the one who came up with the itemization. I don't have 10 that before me. 11 But I just want to make it clear that a redesign of Independence Drive could be any number of 12 13 things. A complete streets design, which the two lanes 14 on each side, west and eastbound, are reduced to one 15 lane each, that's what we refer to a complete streets 16 design. It accommodates the bicycle -- cycle track and 17 so forth. 18 What the town is saying, that could be one of 19 the design options but certainly not the only design 20 option. The town will propose a design and a 21 construction drawing. They just did not want to be 22 wedded to one particular design for Independence. 23 MR. JESSE GELLER: I just don't know what is the basis for the amount. 24

1 MS. MORELLI: Peter Ditto did the 2 itemization. He is here this evening, and he can 3 justify that estimate. MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. 5 MS. MORELLI: May I continue? MR. JESSE GELLER: Let's just answer that 7 question. I assume it's a quick answer. 8 MR. DITTO: I did a survey of the street. walked both sides. I estimated how much sidewalk would 10 have to be replaced, how much curb would have to be 11 removed and reset. Also, I estimated how much pavement had to be removed and replaced and also accounted for 12 one pedestrian traffic signal at Thornton Street and 13 14 then estimated the striping of the street. So those 15 are -- you know, it's preliminary numbers, but I feel 16 within reason. 17 MR. HUSSEY: So this is construction costs? 18 MR. DITTO: Yes. 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: Anybody else? 20 MR. BOOK: So when you're looking at that --21 for example, that estimate -- is the idea that -- given 22 that you haven't decided on the design, how does this 23 relate to what the applicant has proposed? I guess I 24 don't know -- they proposed a complete streets

methodology, which our peer reviewer seemed satisfied 1 2 There's a cost associated with that. How does 3 the number that you're -- that was just discussed, how does that relate to what the applicant and its 5 consultants had proposed? MR. DITTO: Well, I think what you have to understand is what isn't going to change in the street 7 is the width of the sidewalks, the width of the 8 pavement. It may change -- the lane lines may change. 10 They may get rid of parking on one side, put a cycle 11 track on that side. 12 So, you know, the estimates for, for instance, 13 sidewalk replacement, those are pretty solid. You go 14 out there and say, there's 50 percent of the concrete 15 sidewalk that has to be removed and replaced and you get a number. You look at the curb and you line it up 16 17 and you look vertically and horizontally and say 80 percent of this curb is good. That's a decent number. 18 19 Then you come and you repave the street. That's going 20 to be repayed to that same width no matter what. You 21 know, with complete streets or the way it is now, it's 22 going to be the same, so the tonnage of the asphalt 23 that's going down is not going to change. 24 The only thing that will probably change is

how we configure the lanes in the roadway. Do we go 1 2 down to ten-and-a-half-foot lanes, each direction we 3 put a bicycle track in there, and parking. So those are the things we change, but that's only striping, 5 which is a minimal cost. So whether you look at it as a complete street project or just a reconstruction 7 project, in this case, they're almost one and the same dollarwise. 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: And, frankly, I would think that assuming -- whether or not they follow through 10 11 with complete streets, whether that's ultimately recommended, desirable, what they do, I assume that 12 sets the outside parameter of cost when you're 13 14 estimating. And therefore if less is done, they will 15 have -- you know, they will have estimated based on the 16 higher rather than the lower. 17 MR. BOOK: Right. I understand that. My concern, which I think Mr. Ditto has addressed, is that 18 19 it seemed very open-ended. And we have seen one 20 layout, this complete streets layout that they 21 mentioned that our peer reviewer -- the town's peer 22 reviewer has deemed to be satisfactory. There's costs 23 associated with that. I don't know what the cost is, 24 but there is a cost. And I just didn't want to have

- 1 this open-ended and as the town starts to go through
- 2 this, it's doubled, tripled, or quadrupled. I don't
- 3 know. There needed to be some parameters for it.
- 4 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay, great. Thank you.
- 5 MR. ZUROFF: Mr. Ditto, did this estimate also
- 6 take into consideration other curb cuts and entryways
- 7 from the other abutting public ways?
- 8 MR. DITTO: No.
- 9 MR. ZUROFF: So is that part of a separate
- 10 estimate?
- 11 MR. DITTO: The curb cuts there are there for
- 12 the east and the west village, so I don't even have to
- 13 count for those.
- MR. ZUROFF: What about the potential VFW
- 15 entrance?
- MR. DITTO: You can figure for the curb cut,
- 17 it's going to run you about 2- or \$3,000 each, so it's
- 18 pretty minimal in the grand scheme of things.
- 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: Keep in mind that this has
- 20 to do with Independence.
- 21 MR. ZUROFF: No. I understand. I'm just -- I
- 22 didn't want it to be lumped in if it wasn't. Thank
- 23 you.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you.

MR. LEVIN: The complete street design, you 1 know, I think it went through a conceptual design, a 2 little bit of the schematic design, had input from the 3 peer reviewers mentioned. It was never expected that 5 that would represent the construction documents. We're more than happy to work with the town in 7 coming up with a scheme that they're happy with, that we're happy with too. Obviously, it's our front yard 8 on both sides, and we see the benefit. We're willing 10 to pay for it and, in fact, we would like to be the one who administers the construction with the oversight of 11 12 the town because we can do it cheaper. And, you know, obviously we want to get as much value as we can, but 13 14 we're more than happy to work with the town in both 15 aspects of design and construction administration and make sure that everybody's happy in the end. 16 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. Sorry, Maria. 18 MS. MORELLI: If I may, I'm not sure if Peter 19 Ditto wanted to respond to that. 20 MR. DITTO: We do this for a living, design 21 the streets, put them out to bid, supervise 22 construction. I respect his request to help out, but 23 to be honest with you, in my experience, at least in 24 roadway reconstruction, you know, my division can do it

- 1 as well as any other consultant and, in fact, it's
- 2 easier for me to do this work in-house.
- 3 MR. HUSSEY: I'd like to support Peter Ditto
- 4 also because what we're dealing with is not the streets
- on the private property, but this is a public street.
- 6 The Town of Brookline, they should be responsible for
- 7 design and seeing ...
- 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: I agree with you,
- 9 Mr. Hussey. Thank you.
- 10 MS. MORELLI: I will continue with Condition
- 11 21 which concerns the transportation access plan. So
- 12 the TAP will pertain to only on-site mitigation
- 13 measures and not the off-site traffic study which is
- 14 covered under Condition 24.
- Now, the applicant has proposed some deletions
- amongst the list of TAP measures, and we'd like to
- 17 return to the initial Roman numeral number II, IV, and
- 18 VI.
- 19 II concerns the 50 percent subsidies for the
- 20 applicant's employees' public transit costs.
- 21 IV concerns expanding the existing shuttle
- 22 service. We recommend some specificity concerning
- 23 frequency and stops.
- 24 And VI concerns charging a fee for rental of a

- 1 parking space. We feel that these are reasonable
- 2 incentives concerning TAP measures.
- If you have no questions, I'll continue to the
- 4 next condition.
- 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: Maria, hold on. I think
- 6 somebody raised a question about number 6. Does anybody
- 7 want to ask --
- 8 MR. BOOK: This is about charging a fee for
- 9 the parking spaces.
- 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: Number 21.
- MR. BOOK: So let me ask the question. What's
- 12 the benefit of that?
- 13 MS. MORELLI: Well, the incentive is that if
- there are separate fees, then one might think, I'll
- 15 have one car instead of two. I mean, it's been done
- before in other Brookline projects, so it is a bona
- 17 fide traffic mitigation measure in terms of reducing
- 18 the number of cars that might be on-site, that might be
- 19 used. So it's certainly worth putting out there as
- just another mindful measure that one would consider.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: But they have -- but
- they're getting a certain number of spaces.
- 23 MR. BOOK: Right. And these are rental
- 24 units. And whether the space is included in the

apartment or separately charged for, I just --1 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: Right. MR. BOOK: I'm not seeing the benefit of this. 3 MR. ZUROFF: Well, if they charge a fee for 5 the space, even though the space is there, it may discourage somebody from actually using a space that 6 7 may have been assigned to --8 MR. JESSE GELLER: So the incentive is to have --10 MR. ZUROFF: -- is disincentivizing the 11 parking --12 MR. JESSE GELLER: It's an incentive for them 13 to have open spaces that are not utilized. 14 MR. ZUROFF: Well, you can look at it that 15 way. 16 MR. BOOK: But I don't see that ever 17 happening. 18 MR. JESSE GELLER: I think it's highly 19 unlikely, but you're simply passing along to the 20 consumer an additional cost. 21 MR. ZUROFF: Well, it may not be an additional 22 cost. It may be that they lower their rents and say, 23 if you want a parking space, it's \$25 or whatever they 24 choose. That goes back to their level of rent. But it

may discourage somebody from getting a second car if 1 2 they have to pay for it. MR. BOOK: That's entirely possible. But I 3 think there will be enough people out there who would 5 want a second space who would be willing to pay for it. So if not in X unit, then -- you know, in one unit --7 MR. JESSE GELLER: Keeping in mind that these spaces are all dedicated to this project. 8 MR. BOOK: Yeah. I fully expect all of the 10 spaces to be utilized. 11 MR. JESSE GELLER: I think, given what I suspect the price point is for the at-market units 12 here, it's nice in theory but I don't think they're 13 14 going to have --15 MR. ZUROFF: I'm following Maria's recommendation where she's giving us the experience. 16 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: Understood. 18 MS. MORELLI: We can also -- I'm not sure if a 19 member of the planning staff who has experience with 20 this particular measure has any comments. I'll just --21 if they want to, they have the opportunity to speak to 22 the point that you're making. I just want to say that. 23 Okay. If we can move on --24 MR. SCHWARTZ: Can we respond to that?

1 MR. JESSE GELLER: Briefly. 2 MR. SCHWARTZ: With regard to the parking 3 matter, we feel very strongly that this should be something that should be determined by the owner. And 5 I'm curious to know whether it's ever been imposed before on a residential project in Brookline. 7 clearly, is relevant. 8 I'm also concerned about the legality of this provision under recent court cases that prohibit the 10 charging of fees for amenities. It's something we need 11 to look into. But regardless of its legality, we 12 believe that that should not be something that's imposed by the town unless the town can demonstrate 13 14 that it's done it before for other residential 15 projects. 16 With regard to the shuttle, we similarly feel 17 that that should be something that's left to --Chestnut Hill Realty has extensive experience. We 18 19 don't think the town should be dictating the scheduling of the shuttle, the number of stops. It's going to be 20 21 done in a way that makes sense for the usage, and we 22 think that's, frankly, a micromanaging aspect of this 23 project. 24 We'd also like to see if there's any -- for

any other residential project, whether there's ever 1 2 been a similar condition imposing or requiring discounts for employees for public transportation. 3 MR. BENNETT: Just a quick comment. The 5 transportation access plan is not about increasing open space; it's about reducing the number of vehicle trips to a property. Our bylaw, under 509 -- this would be triggered under a normal 40A project when it should 10 have 100 units or more. So we have not had that in the Town of Brookline in my time here, but it has been and 11 12 will be triggered when Brookline Place moves forward. 13 That will be our first bite of the apple with regard to 14 the transportation access plan, the TAP permit, and the 15 whole process. Currently, the town is undergoing a town-wide 16 17 review for the consultant to look at ways to reduce vehicle trips to large properties, commercial and 18 19 residential. And most recently, the town or the 20 residents have asked that the town employees be 21 included in this review. So this is something that the 22 town is looking at, has been looking at, and moving 23 forward, this is just a way to reduce, in one way or 24 another -- try to, at least -- one of the board members

- just mentioned -- to reduce the number of vehicles on-site.
- 3 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you.
- 4 MS. SELKOE: I'd like to just add one thing.
- 5 Polly Selkoe, Assistant Director of Regulatory
- 6 Planning.
- 7 In many of the 40A cases, we do require a
- 8 subsidy from the applicant, and that is very typical
- 9 that they have to pay for 50 percent of the T pass.
- 10 It's not just a question of selling the T pass
- on-site. They actually have to subsidize it, which, of
- 12 course, incentivizes their employees to actually use
- 13 the T.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you.
- MS. MORELLI: If you're ready, Condition 22
- 16 pertains to the VFW curb cut request. And the town's
- 17 position is that the applicant, not the town, should
- 18 apply to the state for the curb cut, although the town
- 19 will support the applicant's request.
- 20 Regarding the timing, I believe there was a
- 21 deletion, but the town does feel it's important that if
- 22 not 30 days, then perhaps 45 days after the date the
- 23 decision is filed with the town clerk the applicant
- 24 should file their application with the state concerning

1 the curb cut. And related to that, Condition 23, this is the 2 VFW curb cut and the 10 additional parking spaces that 3 the applicant would get if they secured a curb cut. 5 The town wants to refine that condition to say that the town will grant the 10 additional parking spaces if, 7 and only if, the applicant constructs a curb cut. It's not adequate for the applicant to merely receive 8 approval from the state for a curb cut. 10 If you're ready for me to continue, Condition 24 pertains to the off-site traffic study. This is 11 something that has not been conducted yet. It would 12 happen postoccupancy, and therefore, the director of 13 14 transportation and engineering must approve the 15 parameters of the off-site traffic study. 16 MS. NETTER: With respect to number 23, 17 there's language -- does the town have a comment -- and if you don't, that's fine -- on the "insubstantial 18 19 change" language? 20 In general, we really MS. MORELLI: Yes. 21 object to that language, that phrasing, throughout. 22 For Condition 24, the off-site traffic study, 23 as I mentioned, the director of transportation and 24 engineering must approve the parameters of the off-site

- 1 traffic study. In addition, this postoccupancy traffic
- 2 study pertains to public ways, namely the Asheville,
- 3 Bonad, Russett, and Beverly Road. Therefore, the
- 4 Transportation Board must also be involved in that
- 5 review.
- And third, I want to make it clear that the
- 7 town doesn't agree with the applicant that the traffic
- 8 report dated July 2014 should serve as the baseline to
- 9 which the postoccupancy traffic study will be prepared.
- 10 Is there a question I can address, Ms. Netter?
- MR. NAGLER: Could you just repeat that?
- MS. MORELLI: Regarding --
- MS. NETTER: We're just trying to
- 14 understand -- the applicant had asked for a baseline
- 15 study?
- MS. MORELLI: No. The applicant is not -- for
- instance, at the bottom of page 12 of Attorney
- 18 Schwartz's --
- 19 MS. NETTER: I was on 13. That's what
- happened.
- 21 MS. MORELLI: So is that clear that there will
- 22 be a postoccupancy traffic study, but there needs to be
- 23 a baseline to compare against existing traffic
- 24 conditions, and those existing traffic conditions are

captured in the traffic report conducted by MDM 1 2 Consultants and dated July 2014. 3 MS. NETTER: Does the town have a comment on the rest of the applicant's changes with respect to 5 limiting what the applicant agrees to, which is just 6 the --7 MS. MORELLI: The \$64,000? 8 MS. NETTER: No. The speed bump. MS. MORELLI: Okay. So in regard to --10 Mr. Ditto has made it very clear that that speed bump 11 could be one option. There could be other traffic 12 calming measures. It could be no right-hand turn, it could be stop signs, but the \$64,000 would be the upper 13 14 limit. 15 So we're actually going to skip ahead to Condition 41, and this pertains to prebuilding permit 16 17 review. So there are original items A through D, and H and I, which are standard, and we are stating that 18 19 those do need to be reinstated, especially regarding 20 the rubbish plan schedule and the performance 21 quarantee. 22 MS. NETTER: Which letters again? 23 MS. MORELLI: Under Condition 41, there are 24 subparts A, B, C, and D which were deleted and we're

- saying that those need to be reinstated, as well as H
  and I. I'm not actually dealing with G, which is
- 3 regarding the easement. I believe that's a legal
- 4 question, so the Planning Department has not responded
- 5 to that, although there will be a comment on that later
- 6 in the hearing. I'm just talking about the practices
- 7 that are standard and do need to be reinstated
- 8 regarding the prebuilding permit review.
- 9 And I also want to emphasize that the rubbish
- 10 plan schedule has not been submitted and,
- 11 understandably, the applicant wouldn't know how to
- 12 define that until postoccupancy. Therefore, this is
- 13 something that staff has not seen before and would need
- 14 to review.
- In regard to the --
- MS. NETTER: What little number are you
- 17 looking for?
- 18 MS. MORELLI: Regarding the rubbish, that
- 19 would be 41B, the chief of environmental health, I'm
- 20 giving you the rational for that.
- MS. NETTER: Yup.
- 22 MS. MORELLI: And I'd also like to do the same
- 23 for the performance guarantee, if I may. The town has
- 24 required such before, mainly on the Olmstead 40B. This

project is large and unique, and the performance 1 2 guarantee would protect the town should utilities and drainage not be installed properly. And that's the 3 purpose for that. 5 If you have no questions, I'll go to Condition 43. This pertains to the construction 7 management plan and, again, this is something that has not been submitted. The applicant's contractor would 8 submit it. It is something that is part of the 10 standard review that staff would conduct. And to give you one example, truck routes would be part of that 11 12 construction management plan, and there is bylaw concerning -- prohibiting commercial vehicles on 13 14 certain street, for instance Russett Road, although 15 there are exceptions. Therefore, that's just another reason why there would need to be a review by staff 16 17 when the construction management plan is submitted. 18 Regarding Condition 44, the inspection of 19 ledge removal, ledge shall be removed at least four 20 feet below StormTank systems and inspected by the town 21 48 hours prior to installation of said systems. Just two more. Condition 66 concerns review 22 23 of plans and inspection by town consultants. The 24 applicant is recommending a maximum cap of \$15,000 and

staff has provided some estimates. We'd like the cap 1 2 to be at \$27,800, and this would cover plumbing, gas, and electrical inspections as well as engineering fees 3 for plan review and an inspection fee for engineering. 5 And the last item that we want to mention is the appendix regarding the blasting. 6 7 MS. NETTER: Are you suggesting, basically, the \$28,000 is an initial deposit, or you're saying 8 that that's what's anticipated to cover all --10 MS. MORELLI: Right. What the town wanted to 11 provide was a maximum cap. 12 And the last item is for the blasting appendix, and we have an additional condition. We want 13 14 to clarify that the applicant shall pay for fire and 15 police detail during blasting. To give you an idea of 16 what that detail might be, the fire detail would 17 consist of one firefighter there all day, not just during the actual blasting. That rate would pertain to 18 19 the collective bargaining agreement. Currently that is 20 \$45 an hour. Police detail would be separate, and that 21 estimate would need to come later. 22 MS. NETTER: Do we have an idea of -- the 23 police detail would be the same time as the fire detail, or we don't know that? 24

1 MS. MORELLI: Well, it actually could pertain to closing off roads, so there's a little more 2 involved. Therefore, I don't -- the estimates would be 3 on par, but there might be more than one police officer 5 involved. MR. JESSE GELLER: Are there any questions? 7 Mr. Hussey? 8 MR. HUSSEY: Not at the moment. MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Thank you very much. 10 MR. HUSSEY: I would, though -- just procedural -- I assume at some point we'll be getting a 11 12 revised draft of all this stuff, and we will then go through it item by item; is that right? 13 14 MS. NETTER: That's the intention after I have all of your thoughts. 15 16 MR. HUSSEY: Okay. I do have one question, 17 too, going forward. So this is the end of the public 18 hearing tonight. Going forward, we'll be doing 19 deliberations. During deliberations, will we be 20 allowed to ask questions of the people of the town --21 administration, or the developer -- as we go through 22 and further analyze and discuss these conditions. 23 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Nagler, do you want to 24 answer that question?

1 MR. NAGLER: Let me just kind of repeat the language from the regulations. So "The hearing closes 2 when all public testimony has been received and all 3 information requested by the board that it is entitled to receive has been submitted." And then there's case 5 law that says, "No submissions of evidence, no 7 persuasion." So even if it's not new evidence, someone 8 says, you know, you should really consider this related to evidence already submitted, you can't do either. 10 MR. HUSSEY: You mean we can't do that, or somebody from the --11 MR. NAGLER: The public can't do it, the 12 13 applicant can't do it. 14 MR. HUSSEY: I understand that. 15 MR. NAGLER: There's a little leeway in the Milton Commons case for clarification, but I think the 16 17 conservative thing to do -- you can ask the planning staff clarification questions. 18 MR. HUSSEY: Planning staff? 19 20 MR. NAGLER: Yeah. 21 MS. NETTER: Town staff. 22 MR. NAGLER: Any representative of the town. 23 Because otherwise, the risk is that you're extending the public hearing beyond the agreed-upon date, which 24

- 1 is an automatic grant of the permit.
- MR. HUSSEY: No. I understand. Mr. Geller
- 3 was clarifying that it's for clarification only, any
- 4 questions that you have, which I understand.
- 5 MR. NAGLER: But they should be addressed to
- 6 the town employees, period.
- 7 MR. HUSSEY: Okay.
- 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: Got it?
- 9 MR. HUSSEY: I hope so.
- 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. So what I'd like to
- do is I'd like to follow up on Ms. Morelli's comments
- 12 on nine of the conditions and one provision within the
- appendix on blasting. I'd like to speak to those
- issues -- or, actually, review those conditions in that
- appendix issue, leapfrogging anything else. Okay?
- So the first one was Condition Number 20.
- 17 Does anybody have any comments?
- 18 MR. BOOK: I'll start. I'm in general
- 19 agreement with Ms. Morelli's comments.
- 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. But no other
- 21 changes?
- MS. NETTER: What do you mean, "no other
- changes"?
- MR. JESSE GELLER: I mean, does Mr. Book have

any other changes other than --1 2 MS. NETTER: What I'm asking about is changes 3 to the original paragraph, or are we looking at the applicant's changes? I don't know where we're starting from. 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: We're starting from the 7 original paragraph. 8 And, actually, your comment actually goes with the version that was provided by Mr. Schwartz. Is 10 t.hat. --11 MR. BOOK: Yes. 12 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Zuroff, thoughts? 13 MR. ZUROFF: I'm not going to complicate 14 anything that Ms. Morelli said. Her points are well 15 taken. I also think that the original draft is better 16 suited to what we are intending to do. 17 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you speak up? 18 MR. ZUROFF: The original draft of the 19 paragraph is more acceptable to me than the edited 20 paragraph by the applicant. 21 At this point, I might also add that none of 22 the suggestions that came to me during the course of 23 our original deliberation was expanding the shuttle service, which I don't know if that's appropriate for 24

this moment or not, but I'd like to throw it out there 1 2 if it is. 3 MR. JESSE GELLER: Is that 21? MS. NETTER: 21. 5 MR. BOOK: That's the next condition. MR. ZUROFF: Then let me retract that shuttle 6 7 service comment and just say that I'd prefer to see the original draft referred to. 8 MS. NETTER: Why don't we look line by line. 10 MR. NAGLER: Which I think what Maria is proposing is different than the original draft. 11 12 MR. ZUROFF: She's adding conditions. 13 MS. NETTER: Okay. Let's look line by line. 14 So the first line -- and I don't know if you have 15 thoughts prior to --16 MS. MORELLI: Well, I just want to make it 17 clear that in the original draft, if the applicant 18 would be proposing a redesign and the revision is slightly different, the town will propose both a design 19 20 for Independence and a construction plan. 21 MS. NETTER: I understood that. But the other 22 question is -- okay. But also it's not just -- it's 23 design and construction. 24 MR. BOOK: Correct.

1 MS. NETTER: The first point is the town shall 2 prepare design and construction plans. 3 MR. BOOK: I mean, from my perspective, I assume the town is in the best position to determine 5 how its roads should be -- and it's a public way -- so it should be designed and constructed -- so I defer to the town on this matter. 8 MR. SCHWARTZ: Mr. Chairman, just a couple of 9 very brief comments. 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: Sure. MR. SCHWARTZ: Especially with the changes 11 that are being proposed by town staff, our primary 12 concern is the amount and timing. And I say especially 13 14 with the proposed changes because now the town is 15 proposing to basically take over the entire process, so 16 we have absolutely no control as to the cost or the 17 time. 18 So if the town desires to take over the entire 19 process, we need to have, we believe, a cap on what the 20 costs will be. And we can't have it tied to the prior 21 commencement of construction as was originally proposed 22 because we have absolutely no control as to when the 23 town is going to do that. So we would just ask you to take that into consideration. 24

1 MR. BOOK: This is the point I was questioning, Mr. Ditto, Ms. Morelli. I mean, I think 2 3 the cost needs to be contained, and certainly it shouldn't be significantly any more expensive than what the applicant had proposed. 5 6 So the applicant had proposed a complete streets project. That's what our peer consultants --7 peer reviewer indicated that would be acceptable. 8 Whatever the cost of that is, that really should be the 10 outer limit of what the applicant should have to expend 11 for --12 MS. NETTER: The town proposed that, I think, 13 right? 14 MR. BOOK: Well, they proposed a number, and I 15 think I heard them say that that was consistent with --16 so I -- yeah. I think we're --17 MR. SCHWARTZ: Just to be clear, we think 18 there needs to be a cap on design and construction 19 costs. All in, hard and soft costs. Because the town 20 is going to be doing the design, there needs to be a 21 cap on everything. 22 MR. BOOK: Well, let me ask you, Mr. Schwartz --23 24 MR. JESSE GELLER: That was just

- 1 construction?
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, we're not going to be
- 3 designing it, so we need to have a cap on soft costs as
- 4 well.
- 5 MS. STEINFELD: Allison Steinfeld, Planning
- 6 Director.
- 7 To expand upon Ms. Morelli's comments, the
- 8 town is proposing a maximum of \$350,000. We anticipate
- 9 that will go completely to the construction because
- 10 design will be done in-house.
- MR. HUSSEY: So there will be no charge to the
- 12 applicant for the design services?
- 13 MS. STEINFELD: Correct. Okay. That's what I
- 14 assumed.
- 15 Is that clear? Okay.
- MR. LEVIN: If I may, I don't know what
- 17 bearing my comment will have on your thinking, but it
- 18 was our anticipation that the plan that was submitted
- 19 as a combination between our traffic guy and the peer
- 20 reviewer was going to cost around a quarter of a
- 21 million -- \$250,000. I think the part of it -- the
- 22 difference that we have here is that, you know, we can
- 23 hire the contractors without doing prevailing wage and
- that's a big component of this. So I just want you to

be aware that that is a difference here. That's 1 2 probably the difference here. 3 MR. HUSSEY: But you would be saving the construction drawing -- the bidding documents --5 fees -- as well. 6 MR. LEVIN: That's true. 7 MR. HUSSEY: Okay. Thank you. 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. So does that satisfy you? I assume it does. 10 MR. BOOK: It does. MR. JESSE GELLER: They're saying that that's 11 a hard number -- soft and hard. 12 13 MR. BOOK: Yes. 14 MR. JESSE GELLER: And from your perspective 15 of drafting it --MS. NETTER: I'm good. For starters, you'll 16 17 tell me if I am or not. 18 MR. NAGLER: The other concern was timing. 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yes. So --20 (Inaudible. Clarification requested by the 21 court reporter.) 22 MS. NETTER: Sam, I'm not sure what you're 23 talking about with respect to timing. 24 MR. NAGLER: Mr. Schwartz raised two concerns,

- 1 timing of the -- in other words, when the applicant is
- doing it, he can dictate to the applicant when they
- 3 commenced the process.
- But if the town is doing it, theoretically the
- 5 town could start within five years.
- 6 MR. HUSSEY: That shouldn't be a problem
- 7 unless we key the completion of the project to the
- 8 completion of the Independence Drive work. If we
- 9 deconnect, decouple, then it shouldn't make any
- 10 difference.
- MR. BOOK: Right. I mean, if the town is
- 12 taking responsibility or taking over design and
- 13 construction, then the applicant can't be held to --
- 14 MS. NETTER: Yeah. I don't think we have to
- 15 get into the timing issue.
- MR. HUSSEY: Okay. Good.
- MR. JESSE GELLER. Okay. Anything else on A?
- 18 MR. HUSSEY: I quess I was concerned about
- 19 some of these details relative to the thickness of the
- 20 materials, but I gather that's the thickness and
- 21 materials for this work on Independence Drive. So the
- 22 town's in charge of it. Okay. All set.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. B?
- 24 MR. BOOK: This is all within the purview of

the town now. The town is designing it. 1 2 MS. NETTER: Right. It seems like we don't 3 need B; right. We don't need to dictate to ourselves what we're going to do. 5 MR. SCHWARTZ: Just one clarifying point. know you didn't want to get into the timing, but 6 7 typically what I have seen in a context specifically where the town has decided to take over total control 8 of this type of thing is that the applicant would be 10 committed to making a -- putting in escrow a certain amount, whatever that amount ends up being. The town 11 12 would then have a certain period of time, years, whatever it might be, to complete that work and use the 13 14 funding. Failing that, the money would come out of 15 This should not be something that continues 16 indefinitely where the town does not make use of the 17 money, that the money is tied up in escrow and not 18 being used. 19 MR. BOOK: That seems reasonable to me. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: We have, in fact, seen it 21 that way in a number of projects. 22 MR. BOOK: Perhaps we should ask either 23 Mr. Ditto as to outside date --24 MS. NETTER: I would say two issues. We

- 1 haven't discussed the issue of at what point in time do
- 2 we want to see the money for the construction. I don't
- 3 know if there's any -- want to get Mr. Ditto's input.
- 4 MR. JESSE GELLER: Application for the
- 5 permit.
- 6 MR. LEVIN: You know, that -- if it were
- 7 worded so that the time frame for the work to get done
- 8 starts when we give the money when it's asked for, that
- 9 would give the town more -- we just don't want the
- 10 money sitting there and getting no value for it. We
- 11 want the work to get done so everybody benefits. So
- 12 why not set the time and say, okay, we want the money.
- 13 We're going to do the work within the next two years.
- 14 Then we put up the money and then --
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Do you follow?
- 16 MR. BOOK: I do. But the money -- well, we
- 17 should ask Mr. Ditto.
- 18 MR. JESSE GELLER: It's a time question. At
- 19 the end of the day, it's a time question.
- MR. BOOK: As to when the work gets done or
- 21 when the money gets deposited or both?
- 22 MR. JESSE GELLER: No. I think the money gets
- 23 deposited when they want their permit because --
- MS. NETTER: Which permit?

1 MR. JESSE GELLER: The building permit. 2 MR. BOOK: I guess I would have been 3 thinking --MS. NETTER: Why don't we ask Mr. Ditto? 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Ask Mr. Ditto. MR. HUSSEY: I think my tendency would be that 6 7 they would have to fund it once the project has gone 8 out to bid, but I'll let Mr. Ditto respond. MR. DITTO: Really, I don't need the money 10 until I'm ready to go out to bid. When that is, 11 probably depends on their construction schedule for the 12 buildings. 13 MR. BOOK: Would you anticipate doing the work 14 while they're constructing? After? Before? When 15 would you view the appropriate time to redo 16 Independence Drive? 17 MR. DITTO: It would be after this heavy 18 construction is done. I wouldn't want to put tractor 19 trailers over a new road. 20 MR. LEVIN: I think we would be in agreement. 21 You know, the ideal time, from our standpoint, is that, 22 you know, the curbing and a lot of this work would be 23 done concurrent with our construction but that, in 24 fact, the final paving -- just as we would do on any of

our roads -- would happen after, you know, we were 1 substantially complete so that it doesn't get -- as 2 Mr. Ditto said, it doesn't get damaged by any further 3 construction. So our preference would be that it would be done -- it would be coordinated with our work. 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: Forgive my ignorance but, 7 Mr. Bennett, this may be a question for you. 8 So could you walk through for me how COs will 9 be issued on this project? It's not a phased project. 10 What COs will issue? At what points in time? Can they seek a CO for one portion of this project? 11 12 MR. BENNETT: Yes. As long as they have proper access for the fire department to any one of the 13 14 buildings, we could start issuing certificates of 15 occupancy. We will issue a CO for the entire building 16 and then one for each unit. At some point in time, 17 we'd probably sit down with the contractor or the applicant and try to work out a plan of how they 18 19 propose to construct the project -- is it -- all be at 20 once? Are they going to start in the west lot or the 21 apartment building -- and back into a way to issue the 22 permits. I would think they'd probably start inside 23 the lot and work their way back. I'm not sure how they 24 propose to do that.

We would -- prior to issuing a certificate of 1 occupancy, obviously they have to meet all building 2 3 code, life safety, and then access. So the fire chief would be involved in the signing off of the CO. If he 5 feels that he can't get in there because some parking area or driveway isn't constructed, then we couldn't issue a CO, temporary or otherwise. MR. JESSE GELLER: So if COs are issued on a 8 9 building-by-building basis and are occupied and used on 10 a building-by-building basis, when Mr. Ditto is 11 referencing the fact that the finish coat at least 12 wouldn't be completed until the issuance of a CO, I 13 assume that we're talking about the end of the project, 14 but -- so you're -- I'm just sort of playing out the 15 time line. MR. BENNETT: I'm trying to -- you know, for 16 17 Olmstead, which is the most recent larger development 18 that we've worked on -- I came more towards the end of 19 that project -- we did issue certificates of occupancy 20 and we can issue certificates of occupancy with just 21 the binder course on. It doesn't have to be finished. 22 And just at a later date, that would become -- it 23 becomes a little inconvenient for the residents, for 24 the people that are living in the property. But that

sometimes is the way, you know, we go. 1 2 But that would be up to Peter on how -- he and 3 I could coordinate that with the applicant to make sure that we're doing it in the least disruptive way to the 5 new residents and the existing residents on Russett and Beverly. We're not talking just on the private 7 property, but on the neighborhood. 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: I think we sort of need to think this through because there will be usage of the 10 roads. And you could have COs and you could have the 11 occupants using the roads up to a point, you know, where a significant portion of these improvements have 12 been completed. And although we may have the dollars, 13 14 right, we've taken out the bids, the proposals, you're 15 not going to want to use it yet. And yet you've got 16 people who are utilizing the roads. 17 MR. ZUROFF: So theoretically, what happens if they want to put, like, a pedestrian walkway signal in 18 19 while the project is being occupied, so there might be 20 a need for some money? 21 MR. BOOK: Can I make a suggestion? 22 MR. JESSE GELLER: Sure. 23 MR. BOOK: How about if the funding -- the 24 monies be provided to the town at the time -- prior to

- 1 the time of going out to bid. Mr. Ditto will indicate
- 2 when that will happen. But in any event, no later than
- 3 the -- prior to the issuance of the first certificate
- 4 of occupancy for any building, and that the monies, if
- 5 there are any left over, they will be returned no later
- 6 than -- I don't know -- a year, year and a half after
- 7 the last certificate of occupancy is issued.
- 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, let's first make sure
- 9 that the one-year time period after the issuance of the
- 10 last CO is an appropriate time frame.
- 11 MS. NETTER: I think you should give yourself
- 12 a little more latitude because the reality is -- I'm
- 13 getting more involved, but I'm just -- you know, maybe
- 14 planning staff or Mr. Ditto wants -- I'm about to say
- they need to loosen up a little bit, but does somebody
- 16 else want to speak? It's more the town's domain than
- mine here.
- 18 MR. JESSE GELLER: As long as we've created a
- 19 process that works.
- 20 MR. BOOK: We need to have a beginning date --
- 21 MS. NETTER: Query whether you do or you
- 22 don't. Right? I mean, you -- really. I mean, do you
- 23 want to start writing something? Then we're going to
- 24 have to put acts of God in there and blah, blah, blah.

- I mean, the town's going to start building a road. 1 2 What are they going to do, go run away and say we're 3 done, you know, we don't like this project anymore? 4 I'm being glib. 5 MS. STEINFELD: I would suggest perhaps staff and the applicant could work it out a little bit. 6 7 mean, it's just a question of flexibility. It's to the 8 town's advantage to build a road as soon as we can and to coordinate with the developer. And the same goes 10 true for them. We don't need the money until we go out to bid, and we certainly can't sign a contract until we 11 12 have the money. 13 MS. NETTER: And once you go out to bid and 14 you get the work done, I don't think you're going to 15 just turn around and say, we're not going to finish the 16 project. 17 MS. STEINFELD: No. Of course. It's to 18 everyone's advantage to build a road as soon as 19 possible, to design it as well as possible, and to 20 coordinate the construction. But we're simply not 21 going to build it unless the money is in place, because 22 we can't execute the contract. 23
- 24 MS. NETTER: Right. But once the money is in

- 1 place and you're going to build it, you're going to
- 2 finish it.
- 3 MS. STEINFELD: Right. And I do think that we
- 4 can work out the details.
- 5 MS. NETTER: Good.
- 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. 21. Is there a
- 7 separate section that provides for maintenance and
- 8 replacement for porous pavement?
- 9 MS. MORELLI: Yes. That would be in the
- operations and management plan and the stormwater
- 11 report.
- 12 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay, thank you. So 21.
- 13 MS. NETTER: So Ms. Morelli, prior to the
- issuance of the building permit, the applicant shall
- submit a transportation access plan prepared in
- 16 accordance with the access plan guidelines?
- MS. MORELLI: That's what we have, yes, in the
- 18 original draft.
- 19 MS. NETTER: And that's what you want to stay
- 20 in?
- MS. MORELLI: Yes. We want that reinstated.
- 22 MR. JESSE GELLER: What about the question
- 23 that was asked about expanding the existing shuttle and
- the question of how is that decided and that process?

So the question is: How and when is it 1 2 decided that the shuttle service needs to be expanded? 3 I hate to quote the applicant, but I think Mr. Levin raised the question of, you know, if they're mandated 5 to increase the shuttle and nobody uses the shuttle, they're running bus service but no -- and that's certainly not our intention. So it seems to me that it is left wide open here. 8 MS. MORELLI: Well, you know, I think the issue is that as it is, it's pretty open ended as well. 10 And I think that if they're -- probably, if we were to 11 go back to the September 15th hearing, there might have 12 been some suggestions about frequency, maybe an 13 14 additional shuttle bus. And also in that hearing, I 15 believe Mr. Michaud spoke of -- I'm not sure if there 16 were additional stops. So we can certainly look at 17 that, but I think there needs to be more specificity because as it is, we're not even sure the applicant 18 19 would try for that. So, you know, it's certainly -- we 20 do need a threshold. 21 MR. HUSSEY: A threshold of what? 22 MS. MORELLI: Concerning frequency and stops. 23 For instance, there's a commuter rail that's down the 24 street. That's probably closer to Hancock Village than

Cleveland Circle is. I'm not sure if that's within the 1 loop of the shuttle route. And that's certainly a 2. pretty actively -- you know, well-populated commuter 3 rail service that goes into South Station. That's one consideration. And I wonder if there are also any 5 predictions that the applicant could make. 7 MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, don't you either have to make an assumption based on the increase in number 8 of units, based on prior experience of a certain 10 percentage that will utilize their services? And then you make a determination based on that guesstimate 11 12 whether that population warrants an increase of one bus 13 per hour, two busses, whatever the time period is. 14 The alternative way to do it is you, frankly, have them build it out, you put a general statement, as 15 you've got here, and there's an audit at intervals 16 17 after it's 90 percent occupied or 100 percent occupied and you determine whether there is the demand. 18 19 seems to me, those are the two options of trying to 20 figure this out. 21 MR. HUSSEY: And how often do you do that 22 audit? Every year? Every five years? Every twenty 23 years? Every fifty years? 24 MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, you can't do it in

1 perpetuity. 2 MR. HUSSEY: Why not? I mean, I think this is 3 micromanaging, quite frankly. I'm in favor of the developer being allowed to adjust the shuttle service's 5 demand -- required. They're going to be losing tenants and having trouble getting tenants if they don't have 7 sufficient transportation options. 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: But does the town have any 9 oversight? 10 MR. HUSSEY: We can demand as much oversight as we want, but how do you define the oversight? 11 12 You're going to have to lay out a full contractual 13 arrangement as to how often you have that oversight, 14 who does it. I'm trying to avoid that. I think that's 15 micromanaging. 16 MR. LEVIN: If I may, at some point in time, 17 I'd have to say I would appreciate at a grand level the 18 fact that -- a recognition that without any 19 requirements from any town board or agency, we 20 instituted, unlike many other housing developments, a 21 shuttle service. 22 Why? 23 Because we believe that it's a good thing.

And we have -- that it's a good thing for any number of

24

reasons, from a marketing standpoint, from a 1 2 convenience to a resident's standpoint, from a green 3 standpoint, which we are committed to. We do many things that reflect that. 5 To have language in there that commits us to maintaining a shuttle service as demand warrants, I 7 think that -- that's what we do. And I think that that's the appropriate -- I mean, at a certain point in 8 time, you know, if everybody is using jet packs or 10 whatever, shuttle service may become obsolete for great reasons, that nobody would want us to run a shuttle 11 12 service. You know, so I think that it has to be based 13 on demand. 14 How you measure that, at some point you have 15 to rely on -- you have the language that you can -- you 16 know, I don't know how the enforcement ultimately 17 works. Will you say, well, gee, you know, the bus is packed? Why aren't you adding another one? And I just 18 19 think that there has to be something that would give 20 that credence. But beyond that, what Mr. Hussey says 21 about it being micromanaging is true and it would just 22 be -- it would create an inefficient outcome, and it 23 goes beyond meeting demand. 24 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thoughts?

1 MR. ZUROFF: Well, I congratulate Chestnut Hill Realty for addressing the need that it developed, 2 3 and we appreciate the fact that you, as members of the community, provide the shuttle service. 5 Fifteen years from now, the administration of Chestnut Hill Realty may not be there. It may be a 7 whole different type of management team. You may 8 decide to sell the project. I don't know. There's always the possibility that that perspective could 10 change. And, again, I agree with Chris that we don't 11 want to micromanage the services that you provide to 12 13 your tenants. 14 On the other hand, it's our intent to do as much for the town as we can within the context of this 15 new development, so -- and I'm not capable of 16 17 delineating that kind of restriction because I don't 18 understand the kinds of transportation requirements 19 that may come up. But I do think it should be part of 20 our decision in some way, shape, or form. And we can 21 make recommendations that may be subject to monitoring 22 later on. Obviously, we want to the address the needs 23 of your tenants. 24 I would like to see us address the needs of

- 1 the area as best as possible, which is why I once
- 2 suggested that maybe there be a shuttle running out to
- 3 pick up students to take them to school to ameliorate
- 4 the traffic that's running up to the school. It's a
- 5 suggestion. I don't know how we quantify it or qualify
- it as a requirement, but I do think we have to address
- 7 it in some way.
- 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: I'm simply asking the
- 9 question because we need to give direction to
- 10 Ms. Netter on language so that either -- as drafted,
- it's fairly broad and it's not spelled out, and that's
- 12 intentional. And what I want to know is whether
- 13 anybody has any comments about that or wants to define
- 14 that further.
- 15 MS. NETTER: Perhaps even Ms. Morelli or
- 16 Ms. Steinfeld or whoever will very briefly say what the
- 17 role of the TAP is so that people here understand how
- 18 that all comes together.
- MS. MORELLI: Before I answer that, if I may,
- 20 I think -- I certainly understand what the developer is
- 21 up against. We do not want to be bureaucratic. The
- 22 point is that there are certain things that the
- 23 developer can't figure out until postoccupancy, much
- like the rubbish plan. Honestly, it cannot be

delineated until postoccupancy. Because that cannot be 1 2 submitted to the town beforehand, it does require the town to touch base with the developer postoccupancy to 3 review that rubbish plan. 5 And not to be unreasonable -- it's simply to be practical -- but if postoccupancy -- if the 7 applicant, in full faith, is willing to do its best to assess demand and how much the shuttle service needs to 8 be increased, then maybe there should be language that 10 the town will just review that particular shuttle plan with the applicant. At least there's a touchstone and 11 it's not an open-ended -- again, it's not meant to be 12 unreasonable, but there does need to be something -- a 13 14 little bit more than what we currently have. 15 MS. NETTER: But also, the applicant did indicate -- if I recall, I think -- I didn't know it 16 17 was the September 15th hearing -- you did make some representations with respect to additional shuttle 18 19 service that would be provided. 20 MR. LEVIN: As demand warrants. 21 MS. NETTER: I think it was more specific. 22 MR. LEVIN: As demand warrants. We're not 23 going to add shuttle services to run empty buses. 24 MS. NETTER: I'm not going to make -- I don't

- 1 recall what was said.
- 2 MS. MORELLI: I'm happy to pull out the
- 3 transcripts. But we simply want to be practical and
- 4 achieve what is best for the town. And certainly there
- 5 is incentive for the developer to expand the shuttle
- 6 service. We're just saying that it really cannot be
- 7 assessed until postoccupancy. And it's consistent with
- 8 other plans that the town would be reviewing
- 9 postoccupancy. If we could review that shuttle plan
- 10 postoccupancy with the applicant, we would recommended
- 11 that.
- 12 MR. JESSE GELLER: I think Ms. Morelli's term
- is actually a good one, which is a touchstone. It
- 14 essentially makes them come back and at least show you,
- 15 here's what we've got.
- MS. NETTER: Sure.
- 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: Any comment on separate
- 18 fee? I know you started a conversation about that.
- 19 Anybody else?
- 20 Shaking your head means --
- MR. HUSSEY: Sorry. No, I don't have any
- 22 comment on it.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: So you have no issue with
- the requirement that there be a charge for a separate

1 fee? 2 MR. ZUROFF: Could we make it subject to, 3 again, postoccupancy review? MR. SCHWARTZ: Can I ask the planning staff to give examples of when this has been imposed ever in the 5 history of the Town of Brookline for any residential 7 project -- or a commercial project, for that matter -but certainly for a residential project? Is there any 8 history of this ever having been imposed, ever? 10 MS. MORELLI: I believe, Mr. Bennett, you mentioned something about Brookline Place. Would you 11 12 like to speak further about that? 13 MR. SCHWARTZ: I'm not talking about what may 14 happen in the future. I'm talking about a history of 15 this having been imposed on any other project. 16 MR. BENNETT: With respect to history of this 17 being imposed on any other project, we don't have any 18 because we've never had a project like this. So I 19 think the uniqueness of this project has -- we're 20 looking at it a little differently because, again, it's 21 a large scale. 161 units is large. In our bylaw, we 22 do have a provision that speaks to 100 units or more --23 you do a transportation access plan. So this is the 24 first time it's come in front of us, and we're trying

- 1 to deal with it appropriately.
- 2 Unfortunately, it's under a 40B, so we're
- 3 trying to do our best to impose some sort of condition
- 4 that would mitigate the traffic -- you know, trips,
- 5 cars -- and look to other modes of transportation:
- 6 transit, shuttle, bicycle, those types of things.
- 7 That's what the TAP is about.
- 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: But I don't think
- 9 Mr. Schwartz' question is about the TAP. His question
- is specific to the charge of an additional fee.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: And with all due respect, the
- 12 town has approved other residential projects that,
- 13 frankly, are in other parts of town that are less
- 14 automobile dependant than this part of town and, to my
- 15 knowledge, has never required this.
- So I just think that this is -- I understand,
- 17 you know, there are folks here who think that this is,
- 18 you know, a unique project. We respectfully disagree.
- 19 If anything, given the location of South Brookline,
- 20 charging for parking here and not charging for parking
- in other parts of town makes even less sense.
- The applicant will do what makes sense for
- 23 them from a marketing point of view, from a convenience
- of tenants point of view. But honestly, to impose this

- 1 for the first time on this project is not appropriate.
- 2 I don't think it's legal either.
- 3 MR. NAGLER: Just a clarification,
- 4 Mr. Schwartz. Are you referring to a line of cases
- 5 under 186 15B?
- 6 MR. SCHWARTZ: I wasn't referring to that. I
- 7 referred to that before, whether requiring -- I don't
- 8 want to make any representation as to whether it would
- 9 violate 186 to impose a parking fee. I'm just not sure
- 10 about that.
- 11 What I'm referring to when I talk about the
- 12 legality of it is imposing a condition under 760 CMR,
- 13 imposing a condition that has not been imposed on other
- 14 non-40B projects.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay, thank you.
- MR. HUSSEY: I think I will make a comment. I
- 17 think that if you're going to add this rental fee, in
- 18 order to be effective, you've got to set the rental fee
- 19 and then you've got to have a mechanism for updating
- 20 it. That doesn't make -- you can't do that.
- MR. BOOK: I don't support that.
- 22 MR. ZUROFF: I think it won't impose any
- 23 conditions on the developer that they can't get around.
- 24 I mean, they can put it into their rental, or they can

take it off --1 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: That was Mr. Book's --3 MR. ZUROFF: I don't know that it makes any sense in terms of accomplishing anything. 5 MR. BENNETT: Just one other comment. Again, I've only been here for two and a half years. 6 I'm not 7 aware in that two-and-a-half-year period of any type of permit parking requirement with rent or extra charge. 8 But the applicant has numerous properties. I don't 10 know -- do they do this voluntarily on any of their other properties? That could help guide the board. 11 MR. LEVIN: Some we do, some we don't. We try 12 13 to make a judgement from the property. 14 MR. JESSE GELLER: I think, generally, the 15 board senses that this is -- with all due respect to planning -- that this is something that probably needs 16 17 to be taken out. 18 Okay. Anything else on this section? 19 MR. BOOK: On 21? 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: 21. 21 MR. BOOK: Yeah. I have -- we're going to 22 discuss not just Ms. Morelli's comments, but anything else we have? 23 24 MR. JESSE GELLER: Correct.

1 MR. BOOK: So I have some notes I just wanted to vet with this group. We received, at some point in 2 3 the past month or so, a letter from a resident suggesting that the project include some spaces for 5 mopeds and scooters, that that was a mode of -- common mode of transportation or becoming a more common mode 7 of transportation. And while there's provision for bicycle spaces, there should be some inclusion of some 8 spaces for motorcycles and scooters. 10 I know you're making a face, Mr. Levin. MR. LEVIN: Well, my initial reaction would 11 be, are you offering us more parking spaces, albeit 12 smaller ones, for these mopeds, or are we going to be 13 14 giving up parking spaces that exist for the demand in 15 parking that we believe would be there? 16 MR. BOOK: My thought wasn't to take away 17 vehicular parking. That was not my thought. 18 MR. ZUROFF: I don't think we want to increase 19 the number -- or the amount of paved parking, do we? 20 MR. BOOK: No. I'm saying the opposite of 21 what you're saying. 22 MR. ZUROFF: You're saying more paving? 23 MR. BOOK: Yeah. We're not talking about a 24 lot -- I mean, this is not -- yes, I'm talking about

more paving. 1 2 (Multiple parties speaking.) 3 MR. JESSE GELLER: -- a moped or a motor scooter or a motorcycle, that it would give somebody 5 who otherwise would use a car an alternative means of 6 transit? 7 MR. BOOK: Sure. 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: As opposed to a supplemental use of transit? 10 MR. BOOK: No. There's two things. There's having a car and then there's using a car. And maybe 11 12 it would be supplemental. Maybe somebody would own a 13 car, but they would be more apt to take their moped. 14 MR. JESSE GELLER: I don't know. 15 MR. BOOK: I'm raising it as a thought. I'm 16 not getting any traction with this group. 17 MR. HUSSEY: No. In many cases, they can use their allowed space for mopeds and motorcycles or they 18 19 can share, with somebody else, two spaces. 20 I remember when I was -- I won't get into 21 that -- but there was a European mode of some extent 22 many, many, many years ago and it's never, seems to me, 23 caught on in this country, so I don't expect it to 24 now.

1 MR. JESSE GELLER: Was it the age of sail? 2 MR. HUSSEY: Just barely. 3 MR. BOOK: Okay. So moving on. I also wanted to just follow up on something that Mark had 5 mentioned. And this came up in a prior hearing when we talked about -- that someone had talked about. I don't think we did -- about the shuttle being available in 8 the morning to make a trip to pick up the kids and take them to school, thereby alleviating parents getting in 10 their cars and driving the kids to school. 11 Again, Mr. Levin is shaking his head. 12 MR. LEVIN: I suppose that is -- you know, you could ask us to run a school bus service as an off-site 13 14 mitigation. 15 MR. BOOK: Well, no. I mean, I think it was the idea that they would have the shuttle and maybe 16 17 it's during a time that you're not running adults up to -- it's first thing in the morning, 8:00 in the 18 19 morning, before --20 MR. LEVIN: That's when we're providing a 21 shuttle service to our residents to get to work. 22 MR. ZUROFF: My purpose in proposing that is 23 that it's obviously a concern of the neighborhood that 24 there is considerable traffic on Beverly Road in the

morning, most of which is driven to the Baker School. 1 2 So there's two aspects of running a shuttle to the school. One is, we've got 161 units that may bring 3 in considerably more children, may not. We don't know 5 yet. But you also have the remaining apartments in your complex where I know that there are a considerable amount of children that go to the Baker School. 7 To ameliorate the traffic that everyone in the neighborhood seems to fear, it would seem to be a 10 useful solution to run a school bus type of shuttle just those hours when the students are being taken to 11 12 school and maybe being picked up and brought home. 13 MR. LEVIN: I think that -- we're pretty 14 confident that the proximity to the school is that they 15 walk. 16 MR. ZUROFF: But they don't. As a practical 17 matter, we know that they don't. The people in the 18 neighborhood drive their kids to school and they pick 19 them up. 20 MR. LEVIN: Our residents primarily -- the 21 vast majority of our residents walk to school. 22 MR. ZUROFF: Maybe they're socioeconomic. I'm 23 not going to speculate. But I do know that people that 24 live in the neighborhood -- because I live in the

1 neighborhood. I know. 2 MR. LEVIN: I don't doubt that there are 3 people who live in the neighborhood who drive their children to school. I'm saying that our residents walk 5 because they're right there. You see in the morning, all the residents are -- the children are walking through our property to get to the Baker School. 7 MR. ZUROFF: I'll take your word for it. I 8 don't know. I'm just putting that out as a constant. 10 But, again, to ameliorate the traffic congestion in the neighborhood, the traffic up 11 12 Independence Drive, the traffic on Asheville, the traffic on Beverly, that it would be -- possibly would 13 14 be helpful to the neighborhood to take some of those 15 cars off the street by grouping the students together. 16 MR. JESSE GELLER: Are you proposing -- I 17 thought that you were proposing that they run a 18 shuttle -- basically, a student bus service to the 19 school from within Hancock Village. 20 MR. ZUROFF: Well, there's two ways to 21 approach that. Yes, that was my original plan. 22 MR. JESSE GELLER: I think -- without 23 volunteering this. I don't want to suggest that you were -- but I think the question that Mr. Levin was 24

- 1 asking is whether you were talking about a --
- 2 essentially a student bus service for outside Hancock
- 3 Village to alleviate the flow of traffic to the school,
- 4 which raises lots of other questions. But I just want
- 5 to get your question -- I'd like to be clear what the
- 6 question is.
- 7 MR. ZUROFF: When I originally threw that idea
- 8 out, I didn't think about the implications that you're
- 9 running a public transit service. Forgive me for that.
- 10 That was my original idea, that there would be a bus
- 11 running up and down Asheville and Walcott and Wallis
- 12 and all of those neighborhood roads to take some of the
- 13 traffic off of those roads in the morning. That may
- 14 not have a great deal of merit and may raise issues
- 15 that I don't want to explore.
- But the other side of it was to run it from
- 17 the project itself and from the adjacent project,
- 18 again, just to take traffic off the streets. That's --
- 19 those were my thoughts.
- 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: The simplified version of
- 21 that is to say to them, you know, let the town make
- 22 arrangements for a bus and you subsidize the cost of
- 23 it. That's your ask. That's really your ask. They're
- 24 not going to run the transit service.

1 MR. LEVIN: Or maybe we would set up some 2 rules of the school that says if you live within a 3 certain distance, you don't have a permit to drive your kids and drop them off. I mean, if that's a real 5 issue, then it's within the control of the town to do 6 something about it. 7 MR. ZUROFF: And maybe a supplemental assessment would be appropriate. I don't know. 8 MR. BOOK: I don't think that we can -- and 10 I'll turn to counsel for confirmation -- I don't think we can impose conditions that talk about -- that have 11 nothing to do with the --12 13 MR. JESSE GELLER: Outside site. 14 MR. BOOK: -- outside site that have nothing to do with the inside --15 16 MS. NETTER: This is a negotiation here, I 17 mean, in part. 18 MR. BOOK: Yeah. 19 MS. NETTER: And you have the authority to 20 impose conditions. And what I would suggest to you, 21 you never lose by asking. I'm not suggesting you 22 impose such a condition, but you can ask if you want 23 to. I'm not telling you to or not. 24 MR. BOOK: I don't know. This strikes me --

- 1 this is in the line of saying we'll approve the project
- 2 if you buy the town a fire engine. I mean, it has
- 3 nothing to do with --
- 4 MR. ZUROFF: We are changing the layout of
- 5 Independence Drive, which is a public way, in order to
- 6 make the traffic flow better not just for this project,
- 7 but for everybody who drives through the area. It's a
- 8 public benefit that doesn't necessarily benefit Hancock
- 9 Village or the residents. It's the same kind of
- thing. We're asking the applicant to provide a public
- 11 benefit, and that is certainly within the purview of
- 12 760 as I see it.
- 13 MR. NAGLER: It's certainly permissible to
- 14 ask. Let's start with that.
- 15 MR. ZUROFF: Right. And as Ms. Netter said,
- 16 it's a negotiation.
- MS. NETTER: I actually want to
- 18 recharacterize. That aspect would be -- I mean, what
- 19 you are doing is working within the parameters of the
- law. You're taking evidence from people. I spoke much
- 21 too loosely. But with respect to what we're talking
- 22 about now, that's exactly what that is. It never hurts
- 23 to ask if you want to.
- 24 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Hussey, do you have a

1 thought on this? 2 MR. HUSSEY: My thought is that there was -it seems to me -- I can't find it -- that there was a 3 recommendation by the DPW that a pedestrian light be 5 added somewhere on Independence Drive. And I would think that would be more useful than some sort of 7 shuttle service, which is going to be --8 MR. ZUROFF: It's already in there. MR. HUSSEY: That's in here as a condition? 10 MR. ZUROFF: I believe that's part of the transportation recommendation. 11 12 MR. HUSSEY: Yeah. I saw it someplace. I think that's, frankly, more useful. 13 14 MR. JESSE GELLER: I think it's an interesting 15 thought, but, at the end of the day, I actually agree 16 with Mr. Hussey on this. 17 Are you in favor of making the kids walk to 18 school or --MR. BOOK: No. I'm mindful of -- the idea, in 19 20 my mind, when we were talking about picking up the 21 kids, it was the kids from Hancock Village. But if 22 they're not being driven, if they're walking, then they 23 should keep on walking. I didn't view this as an 24 opportunity to provide bus service to the

neighborhood. I was looking within the confines of 1 2 Hancock Village. 3 MR. JESSE GELLER: I think that was Mr. Zuroff's original sort of idea that he was thinking 5 about. MR. ZUROFF: It was. Next I was going to ask 7 about a fire station, but -- no. 8 But to be serious -- and I'm sorry for being glib -- the idea was to provide a public benefit that 10 benefited the project as well as the neighborhood. 11 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Thank you, though. 12 That was interesting. 13 Anything else on that section? 14 (No audible response.) 15 Okay. 22. I don't think you need to say, "as quickly as feasible." 16 17 MS. NETTER: Where are you looking? 18 MR. JESSE GELLER: 22. 19 MS. NETTER: Yeah, no. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Anybody else, 21 comments? 22 MR. BOOK: 22? 23 MR. JESSE GELLER: Uh-huh. 24 MS. NETTER: I was going to say the town

recommended "apply for" --1 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: Right. 3 MS. NETTER: Okay. MR. BOOK: Yes. This is the applicant's curb 5 I think that the applicant should be making the application with the support of the town. That seems 7 reasonable, especially if the town is indicating that 8 as well. MR. SCHWARTZ: Mr. Chairman, we strongly object to a requirement that this application be made 10 before this permit becomes final, meaning that if 11 12 there's an appeal filed, we do not believe we should be 13 held to a requirement that this be filed when it's 14 filed with the town clerk. It should be within a 15 timing of after the permit becomes final. There's no 16 other condition that should be imposed. 17 If there's an appeal either by the applicant or, more likely, by the abutters, this timing would 18 19 impose a requirement that we file for this permit even 20 while that appeal is pending and even while the town 21 appeal of the project eligibility letter is pending, 2.2 number one. 23 Number two, with regard to the timing, it may 24 not make sense to file within a certain period of

time. It may make more sense to doing some lobbying or 1 2 politicking with the town's help, sitting with DCR or 3 the Department of Transportation. And imposing an artificial deadline of any kind like this doesn't seem 5 to be the most productive way to go. We understand where the board is coming from in terms of saying it 7 will be the applicant doing it, and we accept that, but we do not accept the imposition of this timing. 8 MR. HUSSEY: You're referring to the 30 days 10 after the filing with the clerk? 11 MR. SCHWARTZ: That's correct. 12 MR. HUSSEY: Okay. 13 MR. JESSE GELLER: I quess my sense is -- I 14 asked the question of -- so they're forced to make 15 application before expiration of appeals period. What's their downside? Right? They withdraw it or 16 17 they don't open the curb cut. What's their downside? 18 The issue is if they wait until expiration of 19 appeals, then those appeals may go on for years. And 20 the notion that we would wait years before they would 21 make an application for a curb cut makes absolutely no 22 sense to me. 23 MR. LEVIN: But we're not building anything 24 until those appeals --

- 1 MR. JESSE GELLER: Understood.
- 2 MR. ZUROFF: There's no requirement that you
- 3 actual pursue it.
- 4 MR. LEVIN: What are we going for a curb cut
- for if we don't have a project?
- 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: Because if you get it and
- 7 you have a project, you'll be able to open the access
- 8 and egress sooner rather than wait the time. And if
- 9 you don't have a project, simply don't open a curb
- 10 cut. Right? If you don't have a project, then there's
- 11 no purpose in it. You've wasted the time of the
- 12 application.
- 13 MR. LEVIN: The time and the money is wasted
- 14 and clearly --
- 15 MR. JESSE GELLER: But the alternative is that
- 16 we wind up -- let's say you prevail -- assuming there
- 17 are appeals and you prevail, then we might be two years
- from now before you make your application.
- 19 MR. LEVIN: Right. But we would be two years
- 20 before we finished construction.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: I understand. But we want
- 22 to -- our notion is that you get this as quickly as
- possible.
- MR. LEVIN: Listen, I appreciate that. I

- 1 think the overriding consideration is winning. That's
- the overriding consideration. And we've made our
- 3 feelings clear that it doesn't take much to accept that
- 4 those feelings are rooted in our own self-interest and
- 5 that we would, in fact, want to pursue that. I mean,
- 6 we stated that clearly and it's obvious why we would
- 7 want that.
- 8 So we really want to be able to do it in the
- 9 way that it's going to win and not, as Steve suggests,
- 10 at some artificial time that is maybe not the best time
- 11 to win. I mean, that's what it's all about here. It's
- not -- you know, it's, what, 50/50, and we want to tee
- 13 it up so that we can actually get it. We want it as
- 14 much as anyone else.
- 15 MR. JESSE GELLER: Is there a way to word it
- so that it's a period of time but, obviously, it can be
- 17 extended with the --
- 18 MS. NETTER: Everything is subject to
- 19 extension.
- 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: So I'm not sure --
- MS. NETTER: They can come back for an
- 22 amendment.
- 23 MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, we'd be coming back for
- 24 an amendment a few weeks after this permit is

- 1 finalized, just to be clear. I mean, and that just
- doesn't seem to make much sense to me.
- 3 MS. MORELLI: I just wanted to add, this
- 4 wasn't an arbitrary -- there was, actually, a practical
- 5 reason. And Mr. Ditto can correct me if I'm wrong, but
- 6 I think the application process could take a year with
- 7 the state. And we were just keeping that in mind and
- 8 thinking that it would be beneficial to have this curb
- 9 cut before construction because it actually could be
- 10 included in one of the truck routes and it could
- alleviate some of the trucks routes, the commercial
- 12 vehicles going through the neighborhood. So it's
- 13 really just a practical reason.
- 14 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Levin's head is behind
- 15 you spinning around.
- MR. LEVIN: The VFW is not an acceptable truck
- 17 route. We can't use the VFW for the construction
- 18 trucks.
- 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: Not an acceptable truck
- 20 route from whose perspective?
- MR. LEVIN: DCR. So it's not going to --
- 22 let's go back. Once we get the permit and we file -- I
- 23 mean, tie it to when we get to go, the green light --
- 24 it's going to take us a minimum of two years before

- 1 that would get used. We have to file for the building
- 2 permit, we have to build the project. So if it takes a
- 3 year, then that's well within the time frame of the
- 4 schedule.
- 5 MR. HUSSEY: I would tie it to the application
- of the building permit, I think.
- 7 MS. NETTER: Tie what? That they make their
- 8 application?
- 9 MR. HUSSEY: Yes. As quickly as feasible. In
- 10 no event later than 30 days after the filing of the
- 11 building permit -- filing for the application for the
- 12 building permit.
- 13 MR. NAGLER: Can I ask a question? Is your
- 14 argument that the cost of filling out the application
- for a state curb cut is so expensive that --
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, it's not the cost of
- 17 filling out a form. It's the cost of preparing plans
- 18 that the state is going to review for that and for the
- 19 necessary consulting work to support the application,
- 20 all of which we're going to pay for regardless of the
- 21 timing or who the applicant is. We've committed to
- 22 that. But there's a lot of work that goes into one of
- 23 these. It's not just filling out a two-page form.
- And in my experience, at least, it doesn't

succeed unless you've really done your spadework --1 meeting with the right people, hiring the right 2 consultants. You know, it's not an issue of just 3 filling out a two-page form and filing it with the state and then holding your breath for a few days to 5 see what happens. 7 MR. LEVIN: Aside from whatever heavy lifting there may be involved, when we're sitting across from 8 them and they say, do you have a permit? I mean, if we 10 grant you this, are you going to be able to proceed? 11 We go, well, gee, we don't know. It's under appeal. We'll come back to you in a couple years when 12 it gets settled. It just -- that's not a winning 13 14 conversation. We want to say we have the permit, we're

18 MR. ZUROFF: Mr. Levin, is there any

in advance to ensure a win.

19 disadvantage to your getting this curb cut before you

ready to go, we need the curb cut. And having done the

politicking, you can be sure that we will be doing that

- 20 build this project? Doesn't it benefit your entire
- 21 project?

15

16

17

- 22 MR. SCHWARTZ: No. But we're not convinced
- 23 that this is the best way of going about it. It's the
- 24 goal -- everybody's goal is getting the permit,

- 1 honestly. I think this an imposition of a requirement
- 2 that seems more like sticking it to the developer than
- 3 it does maximizing the chance of getting this permit,
- 4 in our judgment.
- 5 MR. LEVIN: I don't believe -- I don't feel as
- 6 though it's being stuck to us. Okay? I feel as though
- 7 it's an issue that's very important to the neighborhood
- 8 and that you guys are sensitive to that. And I respect
- 9 that and appreciate it.
- 10 I believe that -- like I said, I want to set
- 11 this up so that we succeed and that we're not going in
- 12 there looking like idiots saying, gee, we would like
- 13 this. If we get this project approved, we would like
- this, as opposed to them knowing that they're dealing
- with a real project. Who in government is going to
- want to deal with some hypothetical project? Because
- 17 they have to do their due diligence, and they're going
- 18 to have to go through their process. Why would they do
- it on a hypothetical? They won't.
- 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Levin raises a fair
- 21 point about that, which is I don't know how they will
- 22 react in this case. I don't know what feelers have
- 23 been put out already about this. But my sense, just
- 24 based on experience with other governmental agencies,

- 1 is that they are less likely to react, let alone react
- in a positive fashion, on a prospective project than
- 3 something that at least has some indication of
- 4 proceeding.
- 5 So I hear what you're saying. Being sensitive
- 6 to that, I still want to push for the earliest date to
- 7 get the process moving, so there is sort of this
- 8 tension there.
- 9 MR. ZUROFF: Isn't it also true that the state
- 10 might act more quickly if they know that there's a
- local agency like us that's compelling the developer to
- 12 make diligent efforts to get this curb cut? Again, I
- 13 know it's politics, but sometimes the politicians
- 14 respect the fact that a local agency is pushing the
- 15 applicant.
- MR. BOOK: So why isn't pushing them -- why
- isn't, you know, within X number of days or
- 18 concurrently with filing the application for a building
- 19 permit --
- 20 MR. ZUROFF: But I understand Mr. Geller's
- 21 position that we want this to happen as quickly as
- 22 possible.
- 23 MR. LEVIN: As I said, it's a two-year
- 24 construction, and there's a couple of months -- it's a

- 1 couple of months in advance of that, you know, for a
- 2 plan review and such so that when we file for that
- 3 building permit, there's going to be -- I believe
- 4 there's going to be ample time for us to succeed before
- 5 we start occupying the buildings. We'll win or lose
- 6 within two years.
- 7 MR. ZUROFF: Is there any benefit to you doing
- 8 some politicking in advance of --
- 9 MR. LEVIN: We'll figure that out. We'll
- 10 touch base with the people who -- you know, who we know
- 11 as decision makers or influencers and try to, you know,
- tee it up in a way that it's going to win.
- 13 MR. HUSSEY: I agree with the applicant on
- 14 this. Let's say they make this application within 30
- days with the clerk and then the hearing is set. Then
- they're going to have to ask for a postponement of the
- 17 hearing. They're probably going to have to ask for
- 18 another postponement of the hearing. And there will
- 19 come a certain point where the state agency is going to
- 20 ask them to withdraw the application. Come back to us
- 21 when this is --
- MR. ZUROFF: We really don't know how it
- works.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay.

1 MS. NETTER: "As quickly as feasible and in no event later than application for building permit, the 2 applicant shall ... " 3 MR. JESSE GELLER: And the notion is access 5 and egress to and from VFW Parkway to the site for full vehicular usage. 6 7 MS. NETTER: The original language. MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, I don't want 8 9 Mr. Levin putting mopeds -- just mopeds through there. 10 MR. SCHWARTZ: Mr. Chairman, we'd like you to take a look at our suggested language with regard to 11 12 what is being promised here, what the obligation is. 13 What we said is that we would use all reasonable 14 efforts to obtain a permit. This language is considerably stronger, and if 15 I were reading this as -- perhaps as counsel to a 16 construction lender, I could read this as actually 17 mandating the permit, which I know is not the board's 18 19 intent. But it's taking all steps necessary, including 20 submitting a complete application, to secure a curb 21 cut. I would respectfully suggest to you that that 22 language is too strong given the undertaking that's 23 here that we do our best to get this permit with the 24 assistance of the town, but we're not guaranteeing that

it's going to be successful. 1 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Anything else? 3 (No audible response.) MR. JESSE GELLER: 24. MR. HUSSEY: 23. 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: 23, right. 6 7 MR. BOOK: I'm in agreement with Ms. Morelli's clarification. 8 MR. SCHWARTZ: Mr. Chairman, just one thing on 10 the insubstantial change, which I know Ms. Morelli 11 objected to. 12 There's really two possibilities for these 10 spaces. One is that we open up a brand-new public 13 14 hearing with notice, full public hearing. The other is 15 that it's deemed to be an insubstantial change. Those 16 are the two options. 17 There are 10 spaces that were shown 18 specifically on a plan that the board has seen. 19 the quid pro quo for obtaining the curb cut on the VFW 20 Parkway and removing the parking spaces that were 21 removed towards the end of the hearing were that these 22 spaces would be restored. That's why we suggested the 23 "insubstantial change" language. It's not to say that, 24 you know, the specifics of what the plan is going to

- 1 look like can't be and won't be reviewed, but the
- 2 notion that there would have to be a brand-new public
- 3 hearing in order to restore these 10 spaces doesn't
- 4 seem right to me.
- 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: Ms. Netter, do you want to
- 6 speak to that?
- 7 MS. NETTER: Yes. But first I'd ask
- 8 Ms. Morelli to speak, please, in terms -- I mean, I
- 9 generally -- well, let me ...
- 10 MS. MORELLI: First of all, there isn't an
- 11 alternative plan regarding where those 10 additional
- 12 spaces will go. Okay? And that -- we certainly can't
- 13 just accept what the applicant is going to give us
- 14 without a review and that's largely because it's not
- very specific about where those 10 spaces will go.
- And if other town staff wants to add to
- 17 that -- I think Mr. Bennett has something to say.
- 18 MR. SCHWARTZ: Just to be clear, we have
- 19 absolutely no problem whatsoever with making sure that
- 20 the plan is acceptable to the town. None. We have --
- 21 we're fine with that.
- 22 What our objection is to is requiring a brand-
- 23 new public hearing, full notice, the whole nine yards
- in order to restore these spaces. We don't think that

was part of the bargain. 1 2 MS. NETTER: Can I clarify something in terms of how amendments -- I think we've discussed this 3 before, so you can all put your head down and snooze if 5 you've heard this 100 times -- but what the process is for an amendment subsequent to a comprehensive permit. 7 What the regulations provide is that the first thing that happens is the applicant seeks an 8 amendment. And the board's first role is to make --10 this is at a public meeting -- is to make a determination whether that amendment is substantial or 11 12 insubstantial. If the board -- so in doing that, the applicant would present its plans. 13 14 In making that determination, what you're doing, in effect, is if you rule it's insubstantial --15 16 which you do at a public meeting -- then the changes 17 become automatic. They're all incorporated into the 18 plan. End of story. 19 If you determine that they're substantial, 20 then only under those circumstances do you go to a 21 public hearing. 2.2 MR. BOOK: You mean another public hearing? 23 MS. NETTER: Another public hearing, correct. 24 MR. BOOK: And can we say now, today -- can we

perspectively say that something is going to be 1 2 insubstantial? 3 MS. NETTER: Well, that's not something -now, Mr. Nagler may have a point of view on this. We 5 haven't discussed -- at least not that I remember. But I generally don't recommend -- I have not seen -- maybe 7 other people have -- in decisions where you 8 predetermine what a substantial or insubstantial change might be. There may be other -- the composition of the 10 board might be different in the future and people may have different points of view on what's substantial and 11 12 what's insubstantial. And it's not something that's 13 contemplated by the regulations either. 14 MR. BOOK: But hasn't -- the applicant has --15 I heard Mr. Schwartz say that they're amenable to 16 deferring to the town and letting the town approve 17 where these spaces are going to go. I'm finding it 18 hard to believe that the town's best interest would be 19 served -- still would be served if we allowed that to 20 Is this something that is so -- it seems 21 relatively insignificant where these 10 spaces are 22 going to go. Does this board need to be brought back 23 in, or can't we --MS. NETTER: Well, the question -- I think 24

what the applicant, in effect, is saying here is that 1 you're approving this permit now. We're allowed to 2 3 have 10 spaces, and we can -- I'm not paraphrasing deliberately wrong or -- I'm trying to be correct --5 but we can have these spaces generally where we want because -- at least as Ms. Morelli is saying -- they have not yet presented the plan as to where the 10 spaces will be. 8 I think there were also some concerns with whether or not the --10 MR. SCHWARTZ: That's absolutely not what 11 we're saying. That's not what we're saying. We're 12 saying we're happy to have town staff approve a plan 13 14 that shows where the spaces are. MR. BENNETT: I think the staff's concern here 15 was that we have not seen any plan for the curb cut, 16 17 how the driveway and access would go to that

MS. NETTER: So are you saying that there may

to the lease lot line when this plan is developed

because right now a portion of that property, the

turn-around. And there will have to be a modification

23 be -- I'm using the word not in the legal sense --

access would be over the 40A line.

24 significant changes that you may want to have go back

18

19

20

21

to a public hearing? 1 2 MR. BENNETT: I think there was enough of a 3 concern that the board had not seen anything that we felt that it would be in the board's best interest to look at that. Otherwise, the only other alternative 5 would have had a plan presented, saying in the 7 alternative, you build this and have it all done and 8 prepared Scheme A if it was to be approved somewhere down the road. 10 MR. ZUROFF: Can that be done? 11 MR. LEVIN: Well, just as a matter of 12 context --13 MS. NETTER: But not at this point. 14 MR. LEVIN: -- not at this point because we 15 don't know what DCR is going to require. So what that design looks like -- maybe we end up reorienting that 16 17 building to accommodate some DCR requests, in which case the parking -- obviously, we'd have to maintain 18 19 the turn-around for the fire truck, or maybe not. I 20 mean, that could go away, actually. So, in fact, 21 there's an opportunity to redesign that little area 22 there. And during that redesign, 10 spaces will be added and fire access would be created out to the VFW. 23 24 I mean, we --

1 MR. SCHWARTZ: I just want to point out one other thing, which is if you do require a new public 2 hearing, the possibility and the likelihood of an 3 appeal is there, which is, at least, unclear for approval of something as an substantial change. And I don't think it's in anybody's interest and certainly not the way we understood it that, yes, absolutely, the 8 specifics of how these 10 spaces get placed should be approved by the town staff. We're not saying we can 10 put it anywhere we want. 11 All we're saying is that a whole new hearing for the purpose of restoring 10 spaces with notice, 12 with possibility of an appeal by third parties, that 13 14 was something that we really wish to avoid. We're not looking to avoid review by town staff or to put these 15 16 spaces anywhere we want. I just want to clarify that. 17 MR. HUSSEY: It gets complicated because if this does get approved, it could be a year or two from 18 19 now. It's going to be a different board that this 20 decision is going to be before, and that, I would 21 suspect, is problematic. 22 MR. ZUROFF: I don't think that we can make a 23 judgment now as to whether it's insubstantial because, 24 as Mr. Levin said, there could be a reconfiguration of

- 1 the turn-around and they may want to reorient the
- 2 building. It could be substantial.
- 3 MR. NAGLER: The part that I'm missing is we
- 4 never say it is a substantial change. We're silent on
- 5 that.
- 6 MR. SCHWARTZ: Right. I acknowledge that.
- 7 MR. NAGLER: And there's a body of law that
- 8 determines --
- 9 MR. SCHWARTZ: My impression is that, for
- something like this, the law is very ambiguous.
- 11 There's really not a lot of -- I mean, it's all over
- 12 the map. So I think there's a lot of discretion given
- 13 to the board, frankly, for making a determination of
- 14 whether it's substantial or insubstantial for something
- 15 like this, which HAC is likely to defer to.
- And so we were just looking for some
- 17 clarification that this restoration of these spaces
- 18 would be something that would not require a whole new
- 19 public process.
- Look, I've said my piece.
- MS. NETTER: I have another thought. I don't
- 22 agree with you that the law is -- the law is spelled
- 23 out very clearly, I believe. But there's another idea,
- 24 should you want to do it -- this is not a proposal --

which is to delegate the staff -- and maybe staff 1 2 doesn't want this delegation. Let me just finish the 3 sentence. I saw your face, but not everybody did -this review, but ... 5 MS. STEINFELD: As staff, I'm reluctant to say that we would be willing to administratively approve a 7 change in the plan. I wouldn't presume to tell you 8 that the change is substantial or insubstantial, but it's possible that staff would want to see the Zoning 10 Board of Appeal's opinion. I'm just not sure we'd want that responsibility. 11 12 MR. JESSE GELLER: Let me say that the 13 problem that I have with this is that we're at a 14 simple -- as Mr. Schwartz' expression of it is, you 15 know, we're just going to plump 10 more spaces in there. If it were that simple of just fitting 10 more 16 17 spaces in, then I sort of would be more inclined to agree with your notion of deeming it insubstantial. 18 19 The problem I have is, we really don't know 20 what the ramification is. We don't know how this impacts the turn-around. We don't know how the outlet 21 22 impacts the turn-around. There's more going on here, and therefore, I think it is unlikely that what he's 23 24 going to do is simply add 10 spaces somewhere and it's

- 1 that simple. I think it's more complicated than that.
- 2 And since I can't say what will happen in the future,
- 3 my sense is that I can't put in today that it is
- 4 insubstantial. I can't deem it insubstantial. And I'm
- 5 not -- believe me, I'm not trying to layer complexity
- on this. I just think there's more going on.
- 7 MR. LEVIN: I think that, on the one hand,
- 8 whatever potential changes might be in store as a
- 9 result of getting what we all want would be positive,
- 10 whether the circle is now eliminated as more green
- 11 space, maybe enough green space to compensate the extra
- 12 10 spaces, the fire access is created. It's all good.
- 13 I mean, it's all good.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: But you're talking about
- maybe moving buildings and maybe moving the circle.
- MR. LEVIN: Maybe after reorienting it's all
- 17 good. It's all good. Right?
- 18 Now, is it insubstantial? Whatever.
- 19 What Attorney Schwartz is suggesting, though,
- 20 is that now what happens if that does -- I think what
- 21 rang a bell for me is we want to create a process to
- 22 approve this that would not be subject to an appeal,
- 23 because then you're -- somebody who's ill motivated
- 24 would be hurting an aspect of the project -- it

wouldn't be stopping the project, per se, but it would 1 2 be hurting the project that we all want, a component 3 that we all want. So we just want -- whatever that process may be, it's just something that isn't 5 appealable. That would be asking -- I don't know. MR. ZUROFF: Does it make sense for our 7 decision to say that as part of the requirement that 8 they make diligent efforts to get the curb cut, but we acknowledge that part of the incentive for them to do 10 that is that we have approved the addition of 10 parking spaces? It sort of deems it for the future 11 12 board, if none of us are sitting here, to say that's 13 part of the deal. 14 MR. JESSE GELLER: I think that's already 15 understood within the language. They have a right to 16 earn another 10 spaces --17 MR. ZUROFF: Well, I don't disagree with you. 18 I can say -- because I sat in this chair on the last 19 40B, the Marion Street. That was actually a 20 substantial change, but it was positive for the 21 neighborhood. It reduced the size of the building. 22 Polly can substantiate that as well. There was no 23 problem with getting that approved. It was clearly for

the benefit of the town, and this is clearly for the

24

benefit of the project. That's just my opinion. 1 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: Further thoughts? 3 MR. HUSSEY: Well, I appreciate the 4 applicant's concern that they could get stuck. The 5 only thing that I can think of is to have appended to these plans an alternate plan that shows where these 10 7 spaces are going to be. 8 MR. ZUROFF: They're saying there are other factors. 10 MR. HUSSEY: I know. There could be other factors even with that alternate plan. I understand 11 12 that. So it's a bit of a conundrum. 13 MR. JESSE GELLER: So, Edie, in terms of ... 14 MS. NETTER: I'm just making sure Attorney 15 Nagler has the same point of view as I do. 16 MR. JESSE GELLER: And? 17 MS. NETTER: He does. 18 MR. JESSE GELLER: He does. Okay. So what is 19 the consensus? MR. ZUROFF: I don't think we can deem this 20 21 insubstantial. 22 MR. BOOK: Yes. 23 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yes. Anything else on 23? 24

1 (No audible response.) 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: Isn't construction of the 3 VFW curb cut a prerequisite to permitting, installation, and use of 10 spaces? I have no interest 5 in them tearing up green space. 6 MS. NETTER: I'm sorry. You want to include 7 that? 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yes. There's a record of transportation, engineering. 10 MS. NETTER: Why don't we look at all the language here. I mean, I don't know that you need --11 12 "it is understood in no event securing a curb cut shall 13 be a condition, " blah, blah, but if you want 14 that, let me know. 15 Number 23. The applicant --16 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yeah, I wouldn't -- that 17 first sentence, I wouldn't -- I don't know what it 18 serves. 19 MS. NETTER: So that's not in there? 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: No. 21 MS. NETTER: I don't think so either. I guess I want to know if you want the 22 23 original language, or do you want any of the 24 applicant's requested changes? Do you want to see the

original language? 1 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: No. I'm looking at it. 3 I've got it in both formats. MR. ZUROFF: It's the same language almost. 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: I don't object to the second sentence other than the --6 7 MR. ZUROFF: Take out his first sentence. second sentence is basically what was there before. 8 MS. NETTER: So basically leave the original? 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: But if you leave it in, again, in the last sentence where you're making a 11 12 provision for construction of the VFW curb cut to the 13 satisfaction of --14 MS. NETTER: To the use? 15 MR. JESSE GELLER: As a prerequisite to permitting, installation, and use of 10 additional 16 17 parking spaces. 18 MR. SCHWARTZ: Just a clarification. The 19 issue -- not to dredge the subject up again -- but the 20 issue of the location of the VFW curb cut as it relates 21 to the 10 spaces, I just want to understand where the 22 board is coming from because -- is it the board's 23 position that I'm hearing that the VFW curb cut itself 24 could result in something that would be deemed to be a

substantial change? 1 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: No. We're not telling --3 That is not our -- well, that is not my position. MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, I mean, I'm just --5 because the language that I suggested in my mark-up said if this package deal, when we go in with the VFW 7 curb cut -- assuming that we are able to obtain that --8 and the associated 10 spaces that are going to go along with that and the reconfiguration of the drive, 10 et cetera, seen as a package, would be reviewed and approved by this board presumably as an insubstantial 11 12 change. 13 And the reason I mention that is because 14 absent that, the VFW curb cut itself -- where the town 15 is cooperating with us and we're going in and paying for a VFW curb cut -- absent that, the board is 16 17 supposed to have the discretion to decide whether it's 18 substantial or insubstantial. But if it deems that to 19 be a substantial change, the board is then opening that 20 up to an appeal, which is not, I assume, in anybody's 21 interest. 22 MR. JESSE GELLER: I can't think of why the board would do that. 23 24 MR. SCHWARTZ: So I just want to clarify that

- 1 if we come back in, it could be part of the package,
- 2 this is the VFW curb cut that was approved by the state
- 3 and this is how it affects the plan as a whole. Then
- 4 it would be at your discretion to decide what to do
- 5 with that.
- 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: That's correct.
- 7 MR. LEVIN: And that's the way it would
- 8 transpire.
- 9 MR. JESSE GELLER: Right. And you don't know
- 10 the answer of what it may impact in terms of the design
- of the rest of the parking, the turn-around, the
- 12 location of the building. There are many things that
- 13 this may -- it may affect none of them. You may find
- 14 the space and say, hey, this is where we're going to
- 15 plump 10 spaces, and it truly may be insubstantial.
- It's more likely going to impact many more
- 17 portions of the improvements, and it's going to be up
- 18 to whoever convenes that board to make the decision.
- 19 MR. LEVIN: But I guess I'm not sure --
- 20 correct me if I'm wrong, though -- if that can be
- 21 called an insubstantial change, does that then -- even
- 22 though we're coming -- do this -- you know, does that
- 23 preclude the possibility of an appeal?
- 24 MR. JESSE GELLER: Does it preclude it?

1 MR. LEVIN: Yes. Can it be done in such a way 2 that it's not appealable? MR. JESSE GELLER: Only if it's found to be an 3 insubstantial --5 MR. LEVIN: Can we get your approval for an insubstantial change? We don't have a problem getting 7 approval for the design. 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: Are you asking me a technical question of whether it's feasible in the 10 future for that to happen? 11 MR. SCHWARTZ: I think what we're saying is 12 this: If this proposal comes in as a package -- this 13 is the VFW curb cut that was approved by the state, 14 this is what it means in terms of the parking spaces, 15 the layout, et cetera -- absent the language that we have suggested, it would be the board's -- whether it's 16 17 the current board members or future board members --18 prerogative to deem that to be substantial or 19 insubstantial. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: It will. 21 MR. SCHWARTZ: If it deems it to be 22 substantial and somebody takes an appeal -- I just want 23 to be clear -- and that appeal is going to -- we're not 24 going to hold off on building the project for two years

- 1 while that appeal or that change winds its way through
- 2 the courts. We're going to build our original plan
- 3 without the VFW curb cut. And so that is something
- 4 that I think everybody should just understand.
- 5 And my only -- I respectfully suggest to you
- 6 that by clarifying that this is an insubstantial
- 7 change, you're giving some direction to a future board
- 8 as to what your intention is in this matter.
- 9 MR. JESSE GELLER: Ms. Netter, let me ask you
- 10 a question.
- MS. NETTER: Sure.
- 12 MR. JESSE GELLER: Could the ZBA deem the
- 13 simple act of a curb cut as an insubstantial change as
- independent of whatever other changes they may make?
- MR. ZUROFF: Jesse, what happens if the curb
- 16 cut is required by the state to come in at such an
- 17 angle that it interferes with the existing building
- 18 plan?
- 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: I'm just asking a question.
- 20 MR. ZUROFF: I know. But if you're asking a
- theoretical question, we don't have an answer for it.
- 22 Right?
- 23 MR. NAGLER: I've just never seen someone
- 24 precharacterize -- prelabel something an insubstantial

- 1 change. That just seems -- I'm really having trouble
- 2 getting my arms around it.
- 3 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay.
- 4 MS. NETTER: But you know what? I mean, I
- 5 understand what's being said, so let's just give some
- 6 thought because I don't know that we have a different
- 7 goal. I just think whether there's a way to get there
- 8 is the question.
- 9 MR. ZUROFF: I agree. There's a way to phrase
- 10 it.
- 11 MS. NETTER: Let me ask another question. I'm
- 12 putting everybody on the spot. I heard what staff
- 13 said, but just so I understand, okay, if, in fact,
- 14 there was some way to limit the confines to -- if there
- was a -- if staff were to be willing -- under what
- 16 circumstances, I don't know -- to review changes,
- 17 right, would this board be willing to delegate?
- I know there's a lot of ifs. I get it. I get
- 19 it. I just want to know, so I can think. Okay? And I
- don't want to come back at the next meeting not having
- 21 understood.
- 22 MR. ZUROFF: What you're suggesting is that
- 23 planning would then determine our decision?
- 24 MS. NETTER: No. Planning would do the

- 1 review. I've got to think about it all. I'm just
- 2 throwing out a range of options, okay, just to give
- 3 some thought.
- 4 MR. ZUROFF: They review now and make
- 5 recommendations to us.
- 6 MS. NETTER: Yeah. But you would not get it
- 7 back.
- 8 MR. HUSSEY: But they also review plans after
- 9 we've approved them. They review the final landscaping
- 10 plans and materials and various things without them
- 11 coming back.
- MR. ZUROFF: Yes, that's true.
- MS. NETTER: So that's my question.
- MS. STEINFELD: Unless we deem it
- 15 substantial.
- MS. NETTER: No. You don't deem anything --
- 17 MS. STEINFELD: No. In a 40A we can and we
- have, and we have to go back to the board.
- 19 MS. NETTER: I'm just talking 40B right now.
- 20 That's another idea you're throwing out, but let me
- just get their parameters so we can all think more
- 22 fully.
- So I guess I just want to know, if there were
- a possibility to do it in a way that was satisfactory

- 1 to the town, is this something you'd be willing to
- 2 delegate?
- 3 MR. HUSSEY: Let me get a quick clarification
- 4 from the Planning Department.
- 5 So if we did allow them to review and approve
- 6 the additional 10 spaces --
- 7 MS. NETTER: And they felt they had enough
- 8 flexibility.
- 9 MR. HUSSEY: -- even if you had that
- 10 responsibility written into the decision, could you not
- 11 still say if it came out in your review that it was
- 12 potentially substantial that you could still kick it
- 13 back to this board? Do you follow me?
- MS. STEINFELD: I would like that flexibility.
- 15 MR. HUSSEY: Yeah. I would -- okay. I think
- that's the way to handle it.
- 17 MS. NETTER: I'm just asking planning type
- 18 questions.
- 19 MR. HUSSEY: Assign it to the Planning Board
- and then it's up to them. If they think it needs to
- 21 come back to the board -- if it could be worded that
- 22 way, then that gets you off the hook, gets us off the
- 23 hook -- doesn't get us off the hook.
- MR. NAGLER: The Planning Board or the --

1 MS. STEINFELD: Department. MR. SCHWARTZ: I mean, it always comes back to 2 3 the board. The board -- as I think Ms. Netter pointed out, the planning staff is not going to make the 5 decision, ultimately, to approve this. Whether it's under the rubric of substantial or insubstantial, the 7 Board of Appeals has to approve it. 8 I think what is being suggested is that there be an initial delegation and review of the plan by 10 planning staff, you know, for their determination as to 11 the magnitude of the change, and we're certainly fine 12 with that. But just to be clear, it is going to come back to the board -- whether it be under -- this board 13 14 is going to vote on this up or down. 15 MR. BOOK: So if this board is going to vote 16 on it one way or another, is that not an appealable 17 decision? 18 MR. SCHWARTZ: If the board -- my 19 understanding is if the board determines that it's an 20 insubstantial change, the appealability of that is 21 extremely limited. So if the board makes a decision --22 it's a two-stage process. If the board makes a 23 decision it's a substantial change, the implication of 24 that is it's a new hearing, brand-new hearing as though

this were a new application, and then that's all 1 2 appealable. 3 MR. HUSSEY: It seems to me the fall-back that -- the fail-safe position in that condition -- the 5 situation is that -- I can't imagine the neighbors not wanting to have that VFW go through. And so if it 7 comes to this board and there's a chance that this 8 board would do something that's appealable, I can't imagine -- you know, people will do what they will do, 10 but nevertheless, it's in everybody's interest for this VFW to go through. If something gets in the way, they 11 12 will just bag the VFW cut and proceed with the original 13 plan. And that's going to be their argument at the 14 time. 15 MS. NETTER: If it would be okay with you, can we defer this and can we talk with the town staff and 16 17 think it through a little bit and come back with 18 something? 19 MR. HUSSEY: Sure. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Sure. 21 Should the certification be at least 90 22 percent of the units or 90 percent of the bedrooms? 23 MR. ZUROFF: Are you talking about --24 MR. BOOK: 24.

- 1 MR. HUSSEY: 90 percent of the units
- 2 occupied. That's the way it was written.
- 3 MR. JESSE GELLER: I'm asking a question about
- 4 that language.
- 5 MR. HUSSEY: Oh, you want to change it to
- 6 bedrooms?
- 7 MR. JESSE GELLER: I'm simply asking the
- 8 question.
- 9 MR. HUSSEY: No. Because the occupancy
- 10 relates to the units.
- 11 MR. JESSE GELLER: No. I understand that.
- 12 The notion of a traffic study, though, is whether there
- are any impacts from increased number of occupants.
- 14 Right? We won't know full occupancy until bedrooms are
- 15 occupied.
- MS. NETTER: It's very hard to enforce. We
- 17 had this discussion amongst town staff, if I recall
- 18 correctly. A little bit hard -- you have to find a
- 19 measurable point.
- 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: So it's too hard to know --
- MS. NETTER: Yes. You can ask them to
- 22 certify, but still ...
- 23 MR. JESSE GELLER: So the risk you run is that
- your study does not have true information.

1 MR. HUSSEY: By a minimal number. So what's 2. the word? Di minimus? 3 MS. NETTER: But there were changes that were 4 proposed by the town, I believe, here. Correct, Ms. Morelli? 5 MS. MORELLI: Yes. 7 MR. SCHWARTZ: As we understand the town 8 changes, which is that the money could be used for other traffic calming measures other than ones that 10 were specifically identified in the course of the 11 hearing, if that's what town staff determined, we have 12 no objection to that. 13 MR. HUSSEY: And also that the Transportation 14 Board be involved in the review, as I understand it, 15 right? That was your --16 MS. MORELLI: Yes. 17 MR. SCHWARTZ: We would just ask that you consider our changes, that there be a time limit on --18 19 that it needs to be either used or returned within a 20 specified time. 21 MS. NETTER: Any particular time you want to 22 impose? You want me to just draft something? 2.3 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yes. Anything else on that? 24

```
1
               (No audible response.)
 2
               MR. BOOK: Skipping to 41?
 3
               MR. JESSE GELLER: Yes. Thoughts?
               MR. BOOK: Well, we talked about A -- start
 5
      with A -- site plans and the architectural landscaping
      plan has been approved. This was the discussion that
 7
      we started to have last week -- or I think it's the
      same discussion -- about whether it's simply review or
 8
      review and approval.
10
               MS. NETTER: The intention behind A through D
      is to just reincorporate what's already been said
11
12
      elsewhere, not to impose -- so I quess I would propose
      for this that I just review -- when we finish the
13
14
      decision, that I review everything you've said before.
15
      It's just to put this in a checklist form, which I
      generally do for towns, but also Mr. Bennett has said
16
17
      it's useful here because then when they're reviewing
      building permits, they just check this off. So nothing
18
19
      is new here, at least in terms of A through D, so long
20
      as I make it consistent with everything else.
21
               MR. BOOK: Okay.
22
               MR. HUSSEY: The note I made is that I
23
      wouldn't mind substituting "review" for "approval"
24
      because it all has to be approved by the building
```

- 1 commissioner at the end in order to --
- 2 MS. NETTER: All I'm saying is that we'll make
- 3 those adjustments in the original.
- 4 MR. HUSSEY: Right. Okay.
- 5 MR. NAGLER: I think the same applies to G as
- 6 well -- referenced elsewhere.
- 7 MS. NETTER: Okay. It's not that we wouldn't
- 8 discuss G, but let's discuss it -- G is elsewhere,
- 9 Sam?
- 10 MR. NAGLER: Yes. We had talked about that at
- 11 last week's hearing.
- 12 MS. NETTER: We did? I don't think so.
- 13 MR. SCHWARTZ: I think we discussed -- just to
- 14 clarify, I think we discussed -- in G, we discussed II
- and III. We did not discuss the easement, which is the
- 16 first item.
- 17 And I would just say on the easement, my
- 18 understanding for the need of the easement is because
- 19 this cross -- the easement for emergency access crosses
- from the 40B lot to the 40A lot. And so the suggestion
- 21 that we had is -- this isn't a question of, you know,
- 22 providing the town -- obviously, the town, for
- 23 emergency vehicles, has the right to use any of these
- 24 roads for any purpose. This is so that the board is

- 1 satisfied that there exists a legal document which
- 2 provides the necessary easement, which is the comment
- 3 that we provided elsewhere in the decision. In our
- 4 judgment, that doesn't require any special review and
- 5 approval because the town isn't going to be the
- 6 beneficiary to that easement, per se.
- 7 MS. NETTER: But we absolutely should talk
- 8 about I.
- 9 MR. NAGLER: I'm sorry. Isn't Condition 26
- 10 the same as subparagraph 1, subparagraph Y, and B, or
- 11 are those two different things?
- 12 MR. SCHWARTZ: That's the same thing. I think
- 13 that goes to what Ms. Netter was saying, that this is
- 14 supposed to be a checklist, right, so what -- so this
- 15 comment goes to item 26, which is that this -- our
- 16 comment says, "needs to provide evidence that easement
- 17 exists," but it shouldn't require special review and
- 18 approval.
- 19 MR. NAGLER: So it's kind of whatever we do
- 20 with 26.
- MS. NETTER: Correct. So let's talk about I.
- Do you, Ms. Morelli, want, perhaps,
- 23 Mr. Bennett or anybody else --
- MS. MORELLI: This would be Mr. Ditto's area.

1 MS. NETTER: Well, there are two aspects, I 2 would suggest. Right? 3 MR. JESSE GELLER: Do you want Mr. Ditto? Do 4 you have a question for him? 5 MS. NETTER: No. I think you want him to give you some background on the performance guarantee issue. 6 7 MR. DITTO: Okay. This one is for a performance bond for construction of on-site utilities, 8 typically 100 percent of the value of the work. 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: So outside of the 11 subdivision context, we typically would require this? 12 MR. DITTO: Yes. 13 MS. NETTER: And inside the subdivision 14 context. 15 MR. JESSE GELLER: No. I understand that, but 16 it's addressed by the subdivision. 17 MS. NETTER: Yes. 18 MR. JESSE GELLER: So that's why I was sort of 19 including that. 20 MS. NETTER: But it's important to understand 21 that this is in your subdivision regulations. It's not 22 something that's been reinvented. 2.3 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yeah. But I --24 MS. NETTER: I understood. Your question is

in addition. 1 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Any comments on it? 3 MR. HUSSEY: No. MR. SCHWARTZ: Although Mr. Ditto, I think, 5 answered affirmatively that it is required in other contexts -- and, again, we have not done an exhaustive 7 I have not seen, in other decisions of this board that don't involve 40B projects, an imposition of 8 this kind of bond. As opposed to a subdivision context 10 in which, you know, the town is ultimately going to 11 take over responsibility for the road to a certain subdivision, these are all privately installed 12 utilities, privately installed infrastructure for which 13 14 the applicant bears and will continue to have full 15 responsibility forever. 16 So I just am not -- I'm not saying that the 17 town doesn't require a performance bond for nonsubdivisions. We just haven't seen it, haven't seen 18 19 it in other contexts. 20 And to the extent that it has been imposed in 21 other contexts, we'd like to know how long is the 22 duration of this bond, what are the conditions for 23 release of the bond. I mean, all those things are 24 clear in a subdivision context because there's a body

- of law that deals with that. It's not at all clear to 1 me in this context what that would all mean. 2. 3 MR. HUSSEY: I think this just goes to the completion of the project as it states in the last 5 sentence, "Performance quarantee will be reduced from time to time as work progresses and at least upon 7 approval of the director of transportation." 8 This project has some of the characteristics of a subdivision. 10 MR. SCHWARTZ: In what regard? MR. HUSSEY: Well, it's got streets and 11 utilities like a subdivision, but it's not -- doesn't 12 fall into the subdivision -- it's not cut up into 13 14 little lots. But it is, essentially, taking all the 15 characteristics of a public street. MR. SCHWARTZ: With due respect, I think the 16 17 difference is, in the subdivision context, what you have is a developer who's building a subdivision road, 18 19 infrastructure, and utilities and needs to post a bond 20 before so that -- to ensure for the town's benefit and 21 also for the benefit of individual lot owners before
  - Here, this is a 100 percent private project

been completed and completed properly.

the lots are sold that the infrastructure, in fact, has

22

- 1 with no town responsibility and no owner or perspective
- 2 owner other than the developer. Just in my experience,
- 3 I haven't seen a bond required in that context.
- 4 MR. HUSSEY: I understand, and I think you're
- 5 right. But it's a difference without a distinction, I
- 6 think, as far as the functioning is concerned. But
- 7 I'll leave it to the lawyers to --
- 8 MS. MORELLI: If I could just have --
- 9 Mr. Ditto can speak to cases where this has been
- 10 required.
- 11 MR. DITTO: Yeah. Most recently is -- I think
- 12 it was two years ago on Olmstead Road. That was, I
- 13 believe, a 40B project also, and there was a quarantee
- 14 on that project.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. LEVIN: When does it go away? I mean,
- when do you demonstrate that it's been --
- 18 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Ditto, I hate to make
- 19 you walk back and forth.
- 20 MR. SCHWARTZ: I'm also -- I'm not sure of
- 21 this, but I believe that the Olmstead project was a
- 22 for-sale project, so this is -- and that is different.
- 23 So it has more to do with being a subdivision than it
- has to do with a rental project.

1 MR. JESSE GELLER: Let's ask these questions 2 3 MR. DITTO: I can't respond to Mr. Schwartz' last comment. I didn't get that deeply involved in 5 it. MR. HUSSEY: When does the performance 7 quarantee die? When is it over? 8 MR. DITTO: With the acceptance of the road. MR. HUSSEY: Okay. So the occupancy permit for the full project, basically; right? 10 11 MS. NETTER: There's no public roads here. 12 MR. DITTO: When the construction is accepted by the town is when we release the bond. 13 14 MR. SCHWARTZ: The construction of the 15 infrastructure -- and I totally respect what Mr. Ditto is saying, and I don't mean to call into question any 16 17 of this, so please understand, all respect -- the 18 infrastructure is never accepted by the town. That's 19 the difference. That's why bond is required in a 20 subdivision context and typically not required in this 21 context. So I'm just pointing that out. That's just 22 in my experience. 2.3 If there are specific aspects of 24 infrastructure that are being done for the town's

- 1 benefit, in my experience, yes, sometimes you'll see a
- 2 board requirement, not for all aspects of
- 3 infrastructure being built for a project like this.
- 4 That's just my experience.
- 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thoughts?
- 6 MR. BOOK: I'm a little hard pressed -- the
- 7 applicant makes a compelling argument. I'm hard
- 8 pressed as to why this is here.
- 9 MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, this is why I was
- sort of asking this question about subdivision control
- 11 because, as Mr. Schwartz has pointed out, this is
- 12 governed by statute under subdivision control and there
- 13 are provisions for all of this. And the road, then,
- becomes a public road and the city or the town becomes
- 15 responsible and that's why you want to mandate that
- 16 there's sufficient dollars or security to make sure
- 17 that the work is completed.
- 18 It's a slightly different scenario in that,
- 19 you know, as he points out, these are infrastructural
- issues that -- they're always going to belong to the
- owner of the property. They're always going to be
- 22 responsible for maintenance, repair, and replacement.
- 23 It's not going to fall to the town.
- The question that I have is, given all of

- 1 that, if, in fact, in the Town of Brookline we have
- 2 consistently applied a standard where a performance
- 3 quarantee or other security is required for private
- 4 infrastructural components, then I think it certainly
- 5 is within our purview to insist on it in this case.
- 6 The question -- and I think Mr. Schwartz is
- 7 asking this question -- is: What are those other
- 8 projects? Have we actually done it outside of the
- 9 subdivision control context? I don't know the answer
- 10 to the question.
- MS. NETTER: Mr. Bennett is not going to like
- 12 this, but do you have some familiarity -- I know you
- 13 have -- or does any other town person, staff person
- have some familiarity? I remember discussing it, but I
- don't recall the specifics.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: The other thing is it's
- 17 about risk. So if they fill -- you know, they don't
- 18 put in the phone line, who becomes responsible for the
- 19 phone line?
- MS. NETTER: And the things that aren't
- 21 complete.
- 22 MR. JESSE GELLER: And the things that
- aren't complete.
- 24 MR. BOOK: I mean, isn't this part of the

- 1 certificate of occupancy?
- 2 MR. ZUROFF: It is. But it's a little more
- 3 complicated than that because you've got drainage
- 4 systems and things that do ultimately --
- 5 MS. NETTER: But let's ask if there are any
- 6 similar projects and how they're treated. There may
- 7 not be similar projects.
- 8 MR. BENNETT: Within the Town of Brookline, I
- 9 have not had a similar project. But in a previous
- 10 community I did five 40Bs, four of which were owner
- occupied and condos, and one was a rental. And we did
- 12 impose this type of condition. And it was more for an
- 13 assurance that the infrastructure was built according
- 14 to town standards and acceptable to our specifications
- and details in order to just make sure it was done to
- 16 the town's standards.
- MS. NETTER: And I've had that experience as
- 18 well.
- 19 MR. ZUROFF: Who signs off when the drainage
- 20 system is installed? Is it the building inspector?
- MR. BENNETT: No.
- 22 MR. NAGLER: I think the idea is you don't
- want a half coat road.
- MR. BOOK: There's no road here.

1 MR. ZUROFF: No. We're not talking about 2 roads. 3 MR. BOOK: Mr. Bennett, can I ask a question? 4 Is completion of the infrastructure -- is that a precondition to your issuing of a certificate of 5 occupancy for the buildings? 6 7 MR. BENNETT: Yes. So depending upon which 8 building it is, you know, you have to make sure with DPW -- all the towns work together -- all the 10 departments work together. We get sign-offs from the fire, from the Board of Health, from the DPW with 11 12 respect to sewer, water, drainage, that those things 13 have been done in accordance with the approved plan. 14 And then we will come out -- building, plumbing, gas, electrical -- and sign off after the fact and issue a 15 16 CO once those departments have all approved it. 17 MR. BOOK: So isn't that the town's assurance 18 that the infrastructure has been installed? If it 19 hasn't been, they've now built 12 buildings that no one 20 is ever going to get to live in. 21 MS. NETTER: Which might happen in a falling 22 economy. 23 MR. BENNETT: Building Department staff doesn't inspect the water service or the sewer service 24

- 1 or the drainage.
- 2 MR. BOOK: Understood. But you're not going
- 3 to issue a CO unless Mr. Ditto has told you that his
- 4 department has inspected those things and is confident
- 5 that they've been constructed in compliance with the
- 6 plans.
- 7 MR. BENNETT: Correct.
- 8 MR. BOOK: Thank you.
- 9 MR. HUSSEY: I think I've come around to the
- 10 way the lawyers are tending. The bond is not required.
- MR. NAGLER: If you have, like, a dug up area,
- 12 you know, and then the property fails, the whole
- 13 project fails, don't you want somebody to at least pave
- 14 it over?
- MR. BOOK: But once they've completed the
- project, the bond will be released. What I heard --
- 17 MS. NETTER: Once the project is completed.
- 18 That's correct.
- 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Nagler is saying, well,
- what happens if we have another 2008 and the economy
- 21 deadlines and they decide, you know, the economy is
- 22 really bad. We're going to just stop where we are and
- 23 they just leave trenches out there.
- MS. NETTER: It happens all over the state.

1 MR. BOOK: Is this anything -- leaving halfbuilt buildings? 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: 3 No. MR. BOOK: I guess what I'm struggling with is 5 why we're talking about a performance guarantee for the infrastructure. Why aren't we talking about it for the entire project? By the way, I'm not --7 8 MS. NETTER: I'm glad to take it out. I just 9 wanted to give you the option. 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: In the abstract, I like the idea. I just think that, as applied in this case, 11 12 since there's no precedent that exists, I don't -ultimately, the enforcement is the CO. 13 14 MR. LEVIN: I think the conversation evolved 15 to a completion bond on the entire project. What if? 16 What if the world comes to an end and you end up with a 17 hole in the ground? And I'm even more confident that 18 there's no precedent for the Town of Brookline 19 requiring completion bonds on projects. 20 MR. NAGLER: Hopefully, your lender will, 21 though. 22 MR. SCHWARTZ: They may or they may not. 23 MR. LEVIN: Certain components, they will. 24 MR. HUSSEY: I mean, public buildings, when

they build, they have a performance and payment bond 1 2 and the whole thing, but this is private. MS. NETTER: Got it. 3 MR. ZUROFF: Where are we? 5 MS. NETTER: I think we're up to 43. MR. JESSE GELLER: Moving right along. 6 7 MR. HUSSEY: What did the town want to do about 43? 8 MS. NETTER: They want to make sure -- I think 10 they like the language as it is. 11 MR. JESSE GELLER: Subject to approval by --12 MS. NETTER: Yes. Do you have any problem -if you were to keep "approval" in -- and this is asking 13 14 the town as well -- is it acceptable to just have one 15 person as the approval entity? 16 MS. MORELLI: I think this covers three areas, 17 the building commissioner and director of 18 Transportation Engineering and the --19 MS. NETTER: Right. But the one person can 20 shop it around. Doesn't matter; right? 21 MS. MORELLI: I think they would coordinate. 22 Is that correct, Mr. Bennett? 23 MR. BENNETT: Yes. MS. MORELLI: They would coordinate. 24

1 MR. ZUROFF: It could be subject to the building commissioner. 2 MS. NETTER: But leave "approval" in? Is that 3 4 okay? 5 MR. BOOK: Okay. 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yes. MR. SCHWARTZ: Mr. Chairman, could we ask for 7 a consideration, if the language of approval is going 8 to stay in, that there be a reasonable time frame for 10 acting on a plan? I've seen 30 days oftentimes. 11 MS. NETTER: My understanding is that you very 12 often have this in your special permit conditions. 13 that correct? Do you have a time limit in there? 14 MR. ZUROFF: No. 15 MS. NETTER: I would recommend you do the same 16 here. 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: You're saying if there is no time limit, don't have a time limit --18 19 MS. NETTER: That's correct. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: -- but if there is a time 21 limit, then you have a time limit? 22 MS. NETTER: Correct. MR. BOOK: We don't do time limits. 23 24 MR. JESSE GELLER: We do not.

1 MR. SCHWARTZ: I'm not sure that's entirely accurate. I'll get some decisions that I think --2 3 other 40B decisions where you did impose a time limit on the town. I'll get those for you. 5 MR. NAGLER: I don't how you're going to do that. We're closing the hearing tonight. 6 7 MR. SCHWARTZ: I'll get them right now. MS. STEINFELD: Do you want to come back to 8 9 this while he's looking? 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yeah. Let's talk about 44. MR. ZUROFF: Ms. Netter, I'm not sure what the 11 meaning of your language is. 12 13 MS. NETTER: Which --14 MR. ZUROFF: What was originally there. How 15 do you demonstrate to the Director of Transportation and Engineering that language will be removed? 16 17 MS. NETTER: Let me just see what the town said. I don't have a problem with "ledge shall be 18 19 removed at least four feet below the StormTank," but I 20 think the town staff proposed -- oh, go ahead. 21 MS. MORELLI: I wanted to clarify that we are 22 actually revising the original language because we 23 realize what's important is that the ledge removal does 24 need to be inspected physically, that there's no way to

1 demonstrate unless town staff actually inspects. 2 So I'll just repeat that one more time. "Ledge shall be removed at least four feet below 3 4 StormTank systems and inspected by the town 48 hours 5 prior to installation of said systems." MR. ZUROFF: That's understandable. 7 MR. SCHWARTZ: That language is acceptable. 8 I think, Mr. Chairman -- I'm reading now from the final approval for the Saint Aidan's 40B project 10 which talked about submission of final plans for review by the Director of Planning and Community Development. 11 12 "Director shall review plan submissions in an 13 expeditious manner and provide final comments to the 14 applicant within three weeks of receipt of each of 1.5 these submissions." 16 MS. NETTER: Each of what submissions? MR. SCHWARTZ: Of what's referred to as the 17 final plans for architectural details and materials. 18 19 MS. NETTER: I thought we were talking about 20 construction management plans. 21 MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, there was no construction

management plan that was required in that.

MR. JESSE GELLER: I think we're on the

same --

22

23

1 MR. BOOK: We were commenting on -- in our 40A -- I was commenting on our 40A projects, that we 2 3 often have construction management plans and we don't 4 put --5 MR. JESSE GELLER: We don't put a time frame. MS. NETTER: No. 6 7 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. 66. MR. BOOK: This is just a monetary -- it's a 8 9 cap issue? 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yeah. I think Maria has provided us with a cap of \$27,800. 11 12 MS. NETTER: Can we put \$28,000? 13 MS. MORELLI: Yes. 14 MR. BOOK: I have no reason to question what the town would feel it would cost. 15 16 MR. JESSE GELLER: Everybody else okay with 17 that? 18 MR. HUSSEY: Yes. 19 MR. ZUROFF: Yes. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Last one. 21 MR. NAGLER: Are we clear on which language 22 we're using? 23 MS. NETTER: It's going to change completely because -- oh, let me just take a crack at this. 24

MR. NAGLER: Okay. 1 2 MS. NETTER: So we're up to the appendix. Was this related to the fire and police detail? And you 3 want a comment added, so, actually, we don't really 5 have to read all of this right now. 6 MS. MORELLI: That's right. 7 MR. HUSSEY: And basically, you wanted a fire 8 watch? MS. MORELLI: Yes. There needs to be a fire 10 and police detail. 11 MR. HUSSEY: Yeah. Police detail and fire 12 watch. 13 MR. BOOK: On the days of blasting. 14 MS. MORELLI: Yes. 15 MR. HUSSEY: Okay. 16 MR. ZUROFF: I'm supportive of that. 17 MR. BOOK: I am as well. 18 MR. NAGLER: How do you define a day? 19 MS. MORELLI: You mean, like, hours? 20 MR. NAGLER: Yes. 21 MS. STEINFELD: Collective bargaining 22 establishes that. 23 MR. JOE GELLER: I don't know about that one. I think the fire department's collective bargaining --24

they're on a 24-hour shift, so I don't think --1 2 MS. STEINFELD: Well, there's four-hour and 3 there's eight-hour and there's ten-hour increments. 4 MR. JOE GELLER: So are we saying if it needs 5 to be a four-hour increment, it's a four-hour increment; if it needs to be in an eight-hour 6 7 increment, it's an eight-hour increment? It's a good question as to what that means by a day. I'm not sure 8 that I understood what you're saying. 10 MS. STEINFELD: For example, if you only blasted two hours in a day, you'd have to pay for four 11 12 hours. 13 MR. JOE GELLER: Four, okay. 14 MR. JESSE GELLER: Is it four hours, or is it 15 the full day? 16 MS. STEINFELD: Four hours. 17 MR. ZUROFF: So if you're blasting for six 18 hours --19 MS. STEINFELD: Eight hours, right. 20 MS. NETTER: Is there a standard practice in 21 this field requiring police details? Can we just say, 22 in accordance with the customary and standard practices 23 and --24 MR. JOE GELLER: I'm sure it's -- did this

come from the chief? 1 2 MS. STEINFELD: The fire chief. 3 MR. JOE GELLER: I'm sure it's the -- because 4 the standard practice is to have the fire watch there 5 during the blasting period. But the way the collective bargaining works is that they get paid for a four-hour 6 7 shift or an eight-hour shift. So if that's what you're 8 talking about, we'd be doing that anyway and that is the standard in the town anyway. 10 MS. NETTER: The practice is standard in the 11 Town of Brookline? 12 MR. JOE GELLER: Yes. 13 MS. NETTER: How about police detail? 14 know that? 15 MR. JOE GELLER: Well, police detail requires 16 police details to be there whenever there's something 17 happening in the roadway or something like that, and 18 that we have to do anyway. 19 MS. NETTER: So we can say in accordance with the standard -- I'm asking everybody. 20 21 MR. HUSSEY: Yes. 22 MS. STEINFELD: I think that's -- we haven't 23 spoken with the police chief yet, but I'm sure that's

reasonable.

- 1 MS. NETTER: I'm sure he's not going to do
- 2 something different for this.
- 3 MR. HUSSEY: There's fire watch they have on
- 4 buildings when they're doing roofing work that requires
- flame, when they're doing welding work, so there's
- 6 precedent for this.
- 7 MS. NETTER: Yeah. I think everybody's saying
- 8 yes. So good. That's an easy drafting job.
- 9 MS. MORELLI: Could I just clarify one thing?
- 10 You asked about what does "all day" mean. And the fire
- 11 chief wanted to make it clear that as long as
- 12 explosions are on the site, there would be a fire
- 13 detail. So it's not just while they're blasting. Is
- 14 that understood?
- 15 MS. NETTER: We're assuming this is standard
- 16 practice in the Town of Brookline. Everybody is saying
- 17 yes.
- 18 MR. LEVIN: As I recall from the last -- not
- 19 the last -- when the blasting consultant was
- 20 speaking -- and it was the town's because our guy got
- 21 lost on the way over here -- that dynamite is not kept
- on the site except when it's being used.
- MS. NETTER: Okay.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. I just want to make

- 1 sure, does anybody -- Mr. Schwartz, I know you're
- 2 standing at the podium for a reason.
- 3 MR. SCHWARTZ: I think we've covered just
- 4 about all of the various conditions, but I just want to
- 5 point out a couple. I just want to understand the
- 6 process now because there's a few more that I just want
- 7 to comment on. If they're going to be discussed one by
- 8 one, I'm happy to wait.
- 9 MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, no. If you have
- 10 comments on them, we need them tonight.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, no. I'm saying at some
- 12 point now or later this evening as you're discussing
- 13 them, whatever the preference is. Now? What is the --
- MR. LEVIN: Now.
- MR. SCHWARTZ: So just a couple of things I
- just want to point out. In Condition 47, we expressed
- 17 some serious concerns.
- 18 (Inaudible discussion.)
- 19 MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. So I'm just told by
- 20 Maria that they're okay deleting that condition, so --
- MS. MORELLI: I just want to explain, if I
- 22 may. Enforcement for this is under general bylaw 8.26,
- 23 which is the stormwater bylaw. And because of that,
- this condition is not necessary, Condition 47.

1 MR. JESSE GELLER: So we're down to 65 2 conditions. MR. SCHWARTZ: And then one other condition, 3 Condition 68, which we objected to in its entirety. 5 It's the last condition in the permit. We don't believe that this condition is appropriate for a permit 7 as opposed to -- this is more of a contractual 8 provision. I just haven't seen this in the Town of Brookline or elsewhere, frankly. MR. NAGLER: This is word for word. It's an 10 Andover decision that was granted to Avalon. 11 MR. SCHWARTZ: I guess I should be familiar 12 with that one since I did it, but --13 14 MR. NAGLER: It's word for word. 15 MR. SCHWARTZ: Okay. It's a long time ago. Nevertheless, I think -- I'm just not -- haven't seen 16 it in the Town of Brookline. 17 18 The zoning enforcement power of the zoning 19 enforcement official enforces this permit. And the 20 town itself -- the town as a whole does not -- the 21 board does not retain continuing jurisdiction over this 22 permit, so I'm not really sure how this would work. 2.3 MR. ZUROFF: What if we substituted "building

commissioner" for "town"?

```
MR. BOOK: Well, isn't -- under the 40A
 1
      context, isn't the building commissioner --
 2
               MR. JESSE GELLER: -- the enforcement.
 3
               MR. BOOK: Yeah. Do we need this?
 5
               MR. NAGLER: I thought it was helpful, and I
      didn't think there would be an objection to it.
 6
 7
               MR. SCHWARTZ: Well, we object.
               MR. NAGLER: I didn't think there would be an
 8
      objection to it, because it was accepted, frankly, by
10
      your firm.
11
               MR. SCHWARTZ: You know, Sam, honestly, if you
      went back to -- you know, I've done a lot of these.
12
      That decision, I think, was rendered in about 2001. I
13
14
      can't even speak to it. I believe you, but, you know,
15
      we also didn't have 68 conditions in that case. It was
      also litigated for about 10 years. So every case is
16
17
      different. But this is not a typical --
18
               MR. NAGLER: So what's your substantive
19
      objection?
20
               MR. SCHWARTZ: The substantive objection is
21
      that the zoning enforcement officer has the ability to
      enforce this permit. That's the ability to enforce any
22
23
      zoning permit, not for some undefined town body --
24
      whether it's this body or somebody else -- to allege
```

- 1 that there's a default under the permit and give us a
- 2 cure right.
- 3 The ability to issue or not issue building
- 4 permits and certificates of occupancy and to enforce
- 5 this permit postconstruction under the building code or
- 6 under the general powers of the zoning enforcement,
- 7 that's what the enforcement should be, not this
- 8 provision.
- 9 MR. NAGLER: Are you saying it's an unlawful
- 10 provision?
- MR. SCHWARTZ: I wouldn't go so far as to say
- 12 that. I'm not sure if it's lawful or not. I haven't
- 13 seen it in any other decision of the Town of Brookline
- 14 that I've reviewed, which, in that regard, may be
- unlawful under 760 CMR.
- MR. HUSSEY: You've got the right that it
- 17 falls under 40B, applicable zoning and the building
- 18 codes.
- 19 (Multiple parties speaking.)
- 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: It says the attorney's fees
- 21 are Jocelyn.
- 22 MR. BOOK: Why would the town do anything
- 23 different than we normally do for a building, zoning
- 24 issue?

1 MR. JESSE GELLER: No. You know, I think if -- the answer to the question is that the purpose is 2 to give the town the option of engaging outside legal 3 counsel and collecting legal fees to offset what it 5 owes counsel. MR. HUSSEY: Well, I think there could be, 7 perhaps, one, in a sense -- who's representing the town 8 in the suit against the developer now and in the past? Isn't that town counsel? 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yeah. MR. HUSSEY: Would that not be something of a 11 conflict of interest to have that counsel --12 13 MS. NETTER: No. These fees wouldn't go to 14 town counsel. 15 MR. HUSSEY: I see. Okay. 16 MR. SCHWARTZ: I think -- to answer your 17 question, I'm quite doubtful about the legality of this 18 provision as it relates to attorney's fees. I'm not 19 going to swear on a bible that it is illegal, but I 20 have serious doubts about it. 21 MR. JESSE GELLER: Do you have any thoughts on 22 it, Mr. Zuroff? 23 MR. ZUROFF: I don't know. That's the 24 answer. I don't know whether it's enforceable or not.

1 MR. NAGLER: Could we take it under 2 advisement? 3 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yes, absolutely. But that means that I have to explain what happens come the 5 witching hour of 10:00 p.m. this evening. It's now 6 10:15. So as I stated at the beginning when I was 7 running through administrative details, the notion is 8 that tonight we will close the hearing in this matter. 10 The ramification of that is that once the hearing is closed, no more testimony. So other than for 11 12 clarification purposes, right, no more questions. To 13 the extent that you have additional questions that 14 either impact findings, conditions, you've got to ask 15 them now. And I'm not referring to questions you may have for legal counsel. But if there is information 16 17 you require for the applicant or if there is 18 information you need from any other party, this is the 19 time that you have to ask it to get it. Everybody 20 clear? 21 And the applicant -- Marc, you're standing 22 there. This is your last opportunity. 23 MR. LEVIN: Speak now and forever hold my 24 piece.

1 I'd just like to take a moment to thank the 2 planning staff, truly, and the many departments that spent countless hours working to help make this a 3 better plan. Also, special thanks to your efforts and thoughtful consideration throughout this lengthy 5 process. You know, we know this is a thankless 7 challenge, and we really appreciate your efforts 8 throughout. MR. JESSE GELLER: You're welcome. 10 So we are closing this hearing. We will now move into meetings in which we will continue our 11 12 discussions on conditions. The next meeting is 13 January 26th at 7:00 p.m. 14 And do we want to announce the day after that? Are we certain on that? 15 16 MS. MORELLI: The other possible dates are 17 January 28th and February 2nd and 4th. And it's not --18 Mr. Zuroff cannot be here on February 4th. 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: But, Mr. Zuroff, just to 20 make sure you understand --21 MR. ZUROFF: I know I'm not voting. 22 MR. JESSE GELLER: No, no. As a matter of 23 fact, I was going to tell you something else. 24 So what I have been told by legal counsel is

- 1 that once the hearing is closed, the fact that you do
- 2 not attend a public meeting does not impact your
- 3 ability if you need to step in to vote, so you're still
- 4 on.
- 5 MS. NETTER: And to participate.
- 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: And to participate.
- 7 MR. ZUROFF: I guess I'm still here.
- 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: You are. Okay. 26th,
- 9 28th, February 2nd, February 4th, if necessary.
- MS. NETTER: It will be.
- 11 MR. JESSE GELLER: Edie is saying it will be.
- 12 MS. NETTER: Because I have to come back with
- 13 revisions.
- MS. STEINFELD: As a reminder, several of you
- also gave me some of Thursday's --
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Correct.
- 17 And we're going to try and wrap this up
- 18 quickly, but, Mr. Nagler, I just wanted you to speak
- 19 briefly about the meeting process. And I've obviously
- started to talk about that, but I want you to speak the
- 21 way a lawyer speaks.
- 22 MR. NAGLER: At the public meetings, the board
- 23 deliberates in public, in the open, and can consult
- 24 with your consultant, Ms. Netter, you can consult with

- 1 legal counsel, you can ask clarification questions from
- 2 Ms. Morelli, Ms. Steinfeld, the building commissioner,
- 3 and any town official.
- 4 Again, you may not accept the testimony or an
- 5 attempt to persuade you to decide one way or the other
- 6 on any issue.
- 7 MR. JESSE GELLER: And explain the
- 8 ramification.
- 9 MR. NAGLER: The ramification of -- we have an
- 10 extension that expires in one hour and forty minutes.
- 11 And if there is something that is deemed a public
- 12 hearing -- because we have departed from the ground
- 13 rules of a public meeting, we don't have any extension
- 14 beyond that time and that means we run the risk of the
- 15 comprehensive permit being constructively granted as
- applied for without all of our conditions.
- 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Thank you.
- 18 Everybody understand? Anybody have questions
- 19 for Mr. Nagler on any legal --
- MR. HUSSEY: No. I only have a question for
- 21 staff. Could we update this time table now?
- MS. STEINFELD: Sure.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: I want to thank everyone,
- and we will see you on January 26th.

```
MR. SCHWARTZ: Mr. Chairman, if I might, I
 1
 2
      think you need to actually close the public hearing.
               MR. NAGLER: That's true. You need to
 3
      announce it.
 4
 5
               MR. JESSE GELLER: I now want to state that
 6
      the public hearing is closed for testimony. Thank you.
 7
               (Proceedings concluded at 10:20 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
I, Kristen C. Krakofsky, Court Reporter and
 1
 2
      Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of
      Massachusetts, certify:
 3
               That the foregoing proceedings were taken
 5
      before me at the time and place therein set forth and
      that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of
 7
      my shorthand notes so taken.
               I further certify that I am not a relative or
 8
      employee of any of the parties, nor am I financially
10
      interested in the action.
11
               I declare under penalty of perjury that the
12
      foregoing is true and correct.
13
               Dated this 23rd day of January, 2015.
14
15
      Kristen Krakofsky, Notary Public
16
      My commission expires November 3, 2017.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

	Ī	Ī	I
	achieve	adjust	agreed-upon
ability	60:4	55:4	35:24
136:21,22 137:3 141:3	acknowledge	adjusted	agreement
able	93:6 96:9	14:23	33:19 36:19 46:20
4:4,6 6:21 77:7 78:8	act	adjustments	86:7
81:10 100:7	83:10 103:13	112:3	agrees
absent	acting	administer	30:5
100:14,16 102:15	126:10	14:1,8	ahead
absolutely	action	administers	30:15 127:20
39:16,22 76:21 87:19	144:10	20:11	Aidan's
90:11 92:7 113:7	actively	administration	128:9
139:3	54:3	20:15 34:21 57:5	albeit
abstract	acts	administrative	65:12
124:10	50:24	3:18 139:8	allege
abutters	actual	administratively	136:24
75:18	33:18 77:3	94:6	alleviate
abutting	add	adults	70:3 79:11
19:7	27:4 37:21 59:23	67:17	alleviating
accept	63:17 79:3 87:16	advance	67:9
76:7,8 78:3 87:13	94:24	81:17 84:1,8	Allison
142:4	added	advantage	2:8 41:5
acceptable	4:15 5:5,16 6:10,16	51:8,18	allow
37:19 40:8 79:16,19	8:9 73:5 91:23 130:4	advisement	106:5
87:20 121:14 125:14	adding	139:2	allowed
128:7	38:12 56:18	aesthetic	7:22 34:20 55:4 66:18
acceptance	addition	9:3	89:19 90:2
118:8	29:1 96:10 115:1	affect	alternate
accepted	additional	14:17 101:13	97:6,11
14:10 118:12,18 136:9	3:20 13:19 23:20,21	affirmatively	alternative
access	28:3,6 33:13 53:14	115:5	54:14 66:5 77:15
5:14,15 11:22 21:11	53:16 59:18 62:10	age	87:11 91:5,7
26:5,14 47:13 48:3	87:11 99:16 106:6	67:1	ambiguous
52:15,16 61:23 77:7	139:13	agencies	93:10
85:4 90:17,21 91:23	address	82:24	ameliorate
95:12 112:19	12:18 29:10 57:22,24	agency	58:3 68:8 69:10
accommodate	58:6	55:19 83:11,14 84:19	amenable
91:17	addressed	agenda	89:15
accommodates	13:16 18:18 36:5	3:17	amendment
15:16	114:16	ago	78:22,24 88:6,9,11
accomplishing	addressing	4:23 66:22 117:12	amendments
64:4	57:2	135:15	88:3
accounted	adequate	agree	amenities
16:12	28:8	21:8 29:7 57:11 73:15	25:10
accurate	adjacent	84:13 93:22 94:18	amount
127:2	70:17	104:9	15:24 39:13 44:11,11
	1	1	

65:19 68:7	appealability	1:7 27:24 45:4 75:6,10	4:16 111:5 128:18
ample	107:20	76:15,21 77:12,18	area
84:4	appealable	79:6 80:5,8,11,14,19	5:23,24 7:1 11:10,11
analyze	96:5 102:2 107:16	83:18 84:14,20 85:2	11:11 48:6 58:1 72:7
34:22	108:2,8	85:20 108:1	91:21 113:24 123:11
Andover	appeals	applied	areas
135:11	1:5 76:15,19,19,24	120:2 124:11 142:16	125:16
angle	77:17 107:7	applies	
103:17		112:5	<b>argument</b> 80:14 108:13 119:7
	Appeal's 94:10	· -	
announce		apply	arms
140:14 143:4	Appearances	27:18 75:1	104:2
announced	2:1	appreciate	arrangement
3:12	appears	55:17 57:3 77:24 82:9	55:13
answer	9:16	97:3 140:7	arrangements
10:11,13 16:6,7 34:24	appended	appreciated	70:22
58:19 101:10 103:21	97:5	6:4	artificial
120:9 138:2,16,24	appendix	approach	76:4 78:10
answered	33:6,13 36:13,15	69:21	Asheville
115:5	130:2	appropriate	9:10 29:2 69:12 70:11
anticipate	apple	37:24 46:15 50:10	Aside
41:8 46:13	26:13	56:8 63:1 71:8 135:6	81:7
anticipated	applicable	appropriately	asked
33:9	137:17	62:1	8:17,21 9:8,9 11:3,13
anticipation	applicant	approval	12:5 26:20 29:14
41:18	3:19 14:11,22 16:23	28:9 92:5 102:5,7	45:8 52:23 76:14
anybody	17:4 21:15 27:8,17	111:9,23 113:5,18	133:10
12:20 16:19 22:6	27:23 28:4,7,8 29:7	116:7 125:11,13,15	asking
36:17 58:13 60:19	29:14,16 30:5 31:11	126:3,8 128:9	37:2 58:8 70:1 71:21
74:20 113:23 134:1	32:24 33:14 35:13	approve	72:10 96:5 102:8
142:18	37:20 38:17 40:5,6	28:14,24 72:1 89:16	103:19,20 106:17
anybody's	40:10 41:12 43:1,2	90:13 94:6 95:22	109:3,7 119:10 120:7
92:6 100:20	43:13 44:9 47:18	106:5 107:5,7	125:13 132:20
anymore	49:3 51:6 52:14 53:3	approved	aspect
51:3	53:18 54:6 59:7,11	62:12 82:13 91:8 92:9	25:22 72:18 95:24
anyway	59:15 60:10 62:22	92:18 96:10,23	aspects
132:8,9,18	64:9 72:10 75:5,17	100:11 101:2 102:13	20:15 68:2 114:1
	76:7 80:21 83:15	105:9 111:6,24	118:23 119:2
apartment			
23:1 47:21	84:13 85:3 87:13	122:13,16	asphalt
apartments	88:8,13 89:14 90:1	approving	17:22
68:5	98:15 115:14 119:7	90:2	assess
appeal	128:14 139:17,21	apt	59:8
75:12,17,20,21 81:12	applicant's	66:13	assessed
92:4,13 95:22 100:20	21:20 27:19 30:4 32:8	arbitrary	60:7
101:23 102:22,23	37:4 75:4 97:4 98:24	79:4	assessment
103:1	application	architectural	71:8

T			
Assign	automatic	82:24	2:14 26:4 47:7,12
106:19	36:1 88:17	baseline	48:16 61:10,16 64:5
assigned	automobile	29:8,14,23	87:17 90:15 91:2
23:7	62:14	basically	111:16 113:23
assistance	available	4:8 8:3 12:4 33:7	120:11 121:8,21
85:24	67:7	39:15 69:18 99:8,9	122:3,7,23 123:7
assistant	Avalon	118:10 130:7	125:22,23
2:10 12:16 27:5	135:11	basis	best
Associate	avoid	15:24 48:9,10	39:4 58:1 59:7 60:4
2:6	55:14 92:14,15	bearing	62:3 78:10 81:23
associated	aware	41:17	85:23 89:18 91:4
17:2 18:23 100:8	42:1 64:7	bears	BETA
Associates		115:14	11:17
2:13	B	becoming	better
assume	В	65:6	6:13 11:22 37:15 72:6
16:7 18:12 34:11 39:4	30:24 43:23 44:3	bedrooms	140:4
42:9 48:13 100:20	113:10	108:22 109:6,14	Beverly
assumed	back	beginning	29:3 49:6 67:24 69:13
41:14	5:6,16 7:6 23:24 47:21	50:20 139:7	beyond
assuming	47:23 53:12 60:14	believe	35:24 56:20,23 142:14
18:10 77:16 100:7	78:21,23 79:22 81:12	3:21 4:6 25:12 27:20	bible
133:15	84:20 89:22 90:24	31:3 39:19 53:15	138:19
assumption	101:1 104:20 105:7	55:23 61:10 65:15	bicycle
54:8	105:11,18 106:13,21	73:10 75:12 82:5,10	15:16 18:3 62:6 65:8
assurance	107:2,13 108:17	84:3 89:18 93:23	bid
121:13 122:17	117:19 127:8 136:12	95:5 110:4 117:13,21	20:21 46:8,10 50:1
attempt	141:12	135:6 136:14	51:11,13
142:5	background	bell	bidding
attend	114:6	95:21	42:4
141:2	bad	belong	bids
Attorney	123:22	119:20	49:14
29:17 95:19 97:14	bag	beneficial	big
attorney's	108:12	79:8	41:24
137:20 138:18	Baker	beneficiary	binder
at-market	68:1,7 69:7	113:6	48:21
24:12	barely	benefit	bit
audible	67:2	20:9 22:12 23:3 72:8,8	6:7 9:2 20:3 50:15
12:22 74:14 86:3 98:1	bargain	72:11 74:9 81:20	51:6 59:14 97:12
111:1	88:1	84:7 96:24 97:1	108:17 109:18
AUDIENCE	bargaining	116:20,21 119:1	bite
37:17	33:19 130:21,24 132:6	benefited	26:13
audit	base	74:10	blah
54:16,22	8:19 59:3 84:10	benefits	50:24,24,24 98:13,13
authority	based	45:11	98:13
71:19	18:15 54:8,9,11 56:12	Bennett	blasted
/1.1/	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Demicu	NIUSICU
	I	I	l

131:11	37:11 38:5,24 39:3	brought	8:24 26:8 32:12 61:21
blasting	40:1,14,22 42:10,13	68:12 89:22	134:22,23
33:6,12,15,18 36:13	43:11,24 44:19,22	build	
130:13 131:17 132:5	45:16,20 46:2,13	51:8,18,21 52:1 54:15	C
133:13,19	49:21,23 50:20 63:21	80:2 81:20 91:7	C
Bluestein	64:19,21 65:1,16,20	103:2 125:1	1:21 30:24 144:1
2:11	65:23 66:7,10,15	building	call
board	67:3,15 71:9,14,18	2:14 4:13 5:6,8 6:14	12:10 15:3 118:16
1:5 2:2 3:16,22 13:2	71:24 73:19 74:22	6:15,22 8:10 9:12,22	called
13:10 14:17 26:24	75:4 83:16 86:7	12:15,17 46:1 47:15	101:21
29:4 35:4 55:19	88:22,24 89:14 97:22	47:21 48:2 50:4 51:1	calling
64:11,15 76:6 86:18	107:15 108:24 111:2	52:14 76:23 80:1,6	3:4
88:12 89:10,22 91:3	111:4,21 119:6	80:11,12 83:18 84:3	calming
92:19 93:13 94:10	120:24 121:24 122:3	85:2 91:17 93:2	30:12 110:9
96:12 99:22 100:11	122:17 123:2,8,15	96:21 101:12 102:24	cap
100:16,19,23 101:18	124:1,4 126:5,23	103:17 111:18,24	32:24 33:1,11 39:19
102:17,17 103:7	129:1,8,14 130:13,17	116:18 121:20 122:8	40:18,21 41:3 129:9
104:17 105:18	136:1,4 137:22	122:14,23 125:17	129:11
106:13,19,21,24	Book's	126:2 135:23 136:2	capable
107:3,3,7,13,13,15	64:2	137:3,5,17,23 142:2	57:16
107:18,19,21,22	Boston	buildings	captured
108:7,8 110:14	1:17	4:24 11:22,24 46:12	30:1
112:24 115:8 119:2	bottom	47:14 84:5 95:15	car
122:11 135:21	29:17	122:6,19 124:2,24	22:15 24:1 66:5,11,11
141:22	brand	133:4	66:13
board's	87:22	building-by-building	cars
85:18 88:9 91:4 99:22	brand-new	48:9,10	22:18 62:5 67:10
102:16	86:13 87:2 107:24	built	69:15
body	breath	119:3 121:13 122:19	case
93:7 115:24 136:23,24	81:5	124:2	1:6 7:5 18:7 35:5,16
bona	brief	bump	82:22 91:18 120:5
22:16	39:9	30:8,10	124:11 136:15,16
Bonad	briefly	bureaucratic	cases
29:3	25:1 58:16 141:19	58:21	25:9 27:7 63:4 66:17
bond	bring	bus	117:9
114:8 115:9,17,22,23	68:3	53:6,14 54:12 56:17	caught
116:19 117:3 118:13	broad	67:13 68:10 69:18	66:23
118:19 123:10,16	58:11	70:2,10,22 73:24	certain
124:15 125:1	Brookline	buses	22:22 32:14 44:10,12
bonds	1:5,8,12 7:10,12 21:6	59:23	54:9 56:8 58:22 71:3
124:19	22:16 25:6 26:11,12	busses	75:24 84:19 115:11
Book	61:6,11 62:19 120:1	54:13	124:23 140:15
2:4 3:6 16:20 18:17	121:8 124:18 132:11	buy	certainly
22:8,11,23 23:3,16	133:16 135:9,17	72:2	15:19 22:19 40:3
24:3,9 36:18,24	137:13	bylaw	51:11 53:7,16,19

54:2 58:20 60:4 61:8	changing	33:14 88:2 92:16	combination
72:11,13 87:12 92:6	72:4	100:24 112:14	41:19
107:11 120:4	characteristics	127:21 133:9	come
certificate	116:8,15	clarifying	17:19 33:21 44:14
48:1 50:3,7 121:1	charge	36:3 44:5 103:6	57:19 60:14 61:24
122:5	23:4 41:11 43:22	clear	78:21 81:12 84:19,20
certificates	60:24 62:10 64:8	4:15,16,17,20 5:20 6:5	101:1 103:16 104:20
47:14 48:19,20 137:4	charged	13:15 15:11 29:6,21	106:21 107:12
certification	23:1	30:10 38:17 40:17	108:17 122:14 123:9
108:21	charging	41:15 70:5 78:3 79:1	127:8 132:1 139:4
certify	21:24 22:8 25:10	87:18 102:23 107:12	141:12
109:22 144:3,8	62:20,20	115:24 116:1 129:21	comes
cetera	cheaper	133:11 139:20	5:6,7 6:9 10:17 12:2
100:10 102:15	20:12	clearer	58:18 102:12 107:2
chair	check	6:1	108:7 124:16
96:18	111:18	clearly	comfortable
Chairman	checklist	9:11 25:7 77:14 78:6	5:15
2:3 3:23 12:13 13:14	111:15 113:14		
		93:23 96:23,24 clerk	<b>coming</b> 15:6 20:7 76:6 78:23
39:8 75:9 85:10 86:9	Chestnut		
126:7 128:8 143:1	1:7 2:17 3:5,24 25:18	27:23 75:14 76:10	99:22 101:22 105:11
challenge	57:1,6	84:15	commenced
140:7	chief	Cleveland	43:3
challenging	5:3,15,18 11:15,18	54:1	commencement
6:12	12:4,15 31:19 48:3	close	39:21
chance	132:1,2,23 133:11	3:13 139:9 143:2	comment
82:3 108:7	children	closed	13:8 26:4 28:17 30:3
change	68:4,7 69:4,6	139:11 141:1 143:6	31:5 37:8 38:7 41:17
8:16,17 17:7,9,9,23,24	choose	closer	60:17,22 63:16 64:5
18:4 28:19 57:10	23:24	53:24	113:2,15,16 118:4
86:10,15,23 89:8	Chris	closes	130:4 134:7
92:5 93:4 94:7,8	2:5 3:6 57:11	13:16 35:2	commented
96:20 100:1,12,19	circle	closing	3:6 11:17
101:21 102:6 103:1,7	54:1 95:10,15	34:2 127:6 140:10	commenting
103:13 104:1 107:11	circumstances	CMR	129:1,2
107:20,23 109:5	88:20 104:16	63:12 137:15	comments
129:23	city	coat	12:24 13:3,17,19
changed	119:14	48:11 121:23	24:20 36:11,17,19
4:21 8:8	clarification	code	39:9 41:7 58:13
changes	35:16,18 36:3 42:20	48:3 137:5	64:22 74:21 115:2
6:19 9:6 12:18 14:17	63:3 86:8 93:17	codes	128:13 134:10
30:4 36:21,23 37:1,2	99:18 106:3 139:12	137:18	commercial
37:4 39:11,14 88:16	142:1	collecting	26:18 32:13 61:7
90:24 95:8 98:24	clarifies	138:4	79:11
103:14 104:16 110:3	8:13	collective	commission
110:8,18	clarify	33:19 130:21,24 132:5	144:16
,		,	
	I	I	I

commissioner	37:13	conditions	considerably
2:14 12:15,17 112:1	complicated	3:11,16 13:1,5,7,8,10	68:4 85:15
125:17 126:2 135:24	92:17 95:1 121:3	13:11,18 29:24,24	consideration
136:2 142:2	component	34:22 36:12,14 38:12	19:6 39:24 54:5 78:1,2
commits	41:24 96:2	63:23 71:11,20	126:8 140:5
56:5	components	115:22 126:12 134:4	consist
committed	120:4 124:23	135:2 136:15 139:14	33:17
44:10 56:3 80:21	composition	140:12 142:16	consistent
common	89:9	condos	40:15 60:7 111:20
65:5,6	comprehensive	121:11	consistently
Commons	88:6 142:15	conduct	120:2
35:16	conceptual	32:10	constant
Commonwealth	20:2	conducted	69:9
144:2	concern	28:12 30:1	construct
community	18:18 39:13 42:18	confident	47:19
57:4 121:10 128:11	67:23 90:15 91:3	68:14 123:4 124:17	constructed
commuter	97:4	configure	39:6 48:6 123:5
53:23 54:3	concerned	18:1	constructing
compare	25:8 43:18 117:6	confines	46:14
29:23	concerning	74:1 104:14	construction
compelling	21:22 22:2 27:24	confirmation	13:24 14:1,7,8,22
83:11 119:7	32:13 53:22	71:10	15:21 16:17 20:5,11
compensate	concerns	conflict	20:15,22 32:6,12,17
95:11	4:5 5:12,18 11:4,9,19	138:12	38:20,23 39:2,21
complete	13:21 14:19 21:11,19	congestion	40:18 41:1,9 42:4
14:11,14 15:2,3,13,15	21:21,24 32:22 42:24	69:11	43:13 45:2 46:11,18
16:24 17:21 18:6,11	90:9 134:17	congratulate	46:23 47:4 51:20
18:20 20:1 40:6	concluded	57:1	77:20 79:9,17 83:24
44:13 47:2 85:20	143:7	connect	85:17 98:2 99:12
120:21,23	concrete	6:13	114:8 118:12,14
completed	17:14	connected	128:20,21 129:3
48:12 49:13 116:23,23		5:9	constructively
119:17 123:15,17	concurrent 46:23	connecting	142:15
completely	concurrently	11:11	constructs
41:9 129:23	83:18	connects	28:7
completion	condition	5:11	consult
43:7,8 116:4 122:4	13:20 21:10,14 22:4		141:23,24
	· ·	consensus 97:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
124:15,19	26:2 27:15 28:2,5,10		consultant
complex	28:22 30:16,23 32:6	conservative	2:9 21:1 26:17 133:19
68:6	32:18,22 33:13 36:16	35:17	141:24
complexity	38:5 62:3 63:12,13	consider	consultants
95:5	71:22 73:9 75:16	13:2 22:20 35:8	17:5 30:2 32:23 40:7
compliance	98:13 108:4 113:9	110:18	81:3
123:5	121:12 134:16,20,24	considerable	consulting
complicate	134:24 135:3,4,5,6	67:24 68:6	2:16 3:24 80:19
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

consumer	cooperating	27:12 37:22 48:21	customary
23:20	100:15	51:17 110:10	131:22
contained	coordinate	court	cut
40:3	49:3 51:9,20 125:21	25:9 42:21 144:1	19:16 27:16,18 28:1,3
contemplated	125:24	courts	28:4,7,9 75:5 76:17
89:13	coordinated	103:2	76:21 77:4,10 79:9
context	47:5	cover	80:15 81:15,19 83:12
44:7 57:15 91:12	corner	33:2,9	85:21 86:19 90:16
114:11,14 115:9,24	9:22	covered	96:8 98:3,12 99:12
116:2,17 117:3	Corporation	21:14 134:3	99:20,23 100:7,14,16
118:20,21 120:9	1:15	covers	101:2 102:13 103:3
136:2	correct	125:16	103:13,16 108:12
contexts	38:24 41:13 64:24	crack	116:13
115:6,19,21	76:11 79:5 88:23	129:24	cuts
continue	90:4 101:6,20 110:4	create	19:6,11
3:9,10,15,16 14:9 16:5	113:21 123:7,18	56:22 95:21	cycle
21:10 22:3 28:10	125:22 126:13,19,22	created	15:16 17:10
115:14 140:11	141:16 144:6,12	50:18 91:23 95:12	
continued	correctly	credence	D
3:4	109:18	56:20	D
continues	COs	cross	30:17,24 111:10,19
44:15	47:8,10 48:8 49:10	112:19	damaged
continuing	cost	crosses	47:3
135:21	17:2 18:5,13,23,24	112:19	Dan
contract	23:20,22 39:16 40:3	curb	2:14
14:1,8 51:11,22	40:9 41:20 70:22	16:10 17:16,18 19:6	dashed
contractor	80:14,16,17 129:15	19:11,16 27:16,18	4:14
32:8 47:17	costs	28:1,3,4,7,9 75:4	date
contractors	14:19,22 16:17 18:22	76:17,21 77:4,9 79:8	27:22 35:24 44:23
41:23	21:20 39:20 40:19,19	80:15 81:15,19 83:12	48:22 50:20 83:6
contractual	41:3	85:20 86:19 90:16	dated
55:12 135:7	counsel	96:8 98:3,12 99:12	29:8 30:2 144:13
control	1:10 71:10 85:16	99:20,23 100:7,14,16	dates
39:16,22 44:8 71:5	138:4,5,9,12,14	101:2 102:13 103:3	140:16
119:10,12 120:9	139:16 140:24 142:1	103:13,15	day
conundrum	count	curbing	11:8 33:17 45:19
97:12	19:13	46:22	73:15 130:18 131:8
convenes	countless	cure	131:11,15 133:10
101:18	140:3	137:2	140:14 144:13
convenience	country	curious	days
56:2 62:23	66:23	25:5	27:22,22 76:9 80:10
conversation	couple	current	81:5 83:17 84:15
60:18 81:14 124:14	9:23 11:2 39:8 81:12	102:17	126:10 130:13
convinced	83:24 84:1 134:5,15	currently	DCR
81:22	course	26:16 33:19 59:14	76:2 79:21 91:15,17

deadline	96:11 100:18 102:21	25:13 117:17 127:15	131:21 132:16 139:8
76:4	deeply	128:1	determination
deadlines	118:4	departed	54:11 88:11,14 93:13
123:21	default	142:12	107:10
deal	137:1	department	determine
62:1 70:14 82:16	defer	2:9 5:4,5 31:4 47:13	39:4 54:18 88:19
96:13 100:6	39:6 93:15 108:16	76:3 106:4 107:1	104:23
dealing	deferring	122:23 123:4	determined
21:4 31:2 82:14	89:16	departments	25:4 110:11
deals	define	4:3,5 122:10,16 140:2	determines
116:1	31:12 55:11 58:13	department's	93:8 107:19
decent	130:18	130:24	developed
17:18	definition	dependant	57:2 90:19
decide	5:20	62:14	developer
57:8 100:17 101:4	delegate	depending	34:21 51:9 55:4 58:20
123:21 142:5	94:1 104:17 106:2	122:7	58:23 59:3 60:5
decided	delegation	depends	63:23 82:2 83:11
16:22 44:8 52:24 53:2	94:2 107:9	46:11	116:18 117:2 138:8
decision	deleted	deposit	development
3:11 27:23 57:20	30:24	33:8	48:17 57:16 128:11
84:11 92:20 96:7	deleting	deposited	developments
101:18 104:23	134:20	45:21,23	55:20
106:10 107:5,17,21	deletion	design	Di
107:23 111:14 113:3	27:21	13:23 14:6,11,15 15:4	110:2
135:11 136:13	deletions	15:13,16,19,19,20,22	dictate
137:13	21:15	16:22 20:1,2,3,15,20	43:2 44:3
decisions	deliberately	21:7 38:19,23 39:2	dictating
89:7 115:7 127:2,3	90:4	40:18,20 41:10,12	25:19
declare	deliberates	43:12 51:19 91:16	die
144:11	141:23	101:10 102:7	118:7
deconnect	deliberation	designed	difference
43:9	37:23	39:6	41:22 42:1,2 43:10
decouple	deliberations	designing	116:17 117:5 118:19
43:9	34:19,19	41:3 44:1	different
dedicated	delineated	desirable	38:11,19 57:7 89:10
24:8	59:1	18:12	89:11 92:19 104:6
deem	delineating	desires	113:11 117:22
95:4 97:20 102:18	57:17	39:18	119:18 133:2 136:17
103:12 105:14,16	delineation	detail	137:23
deemed	6:5	33:15,16,16,20,23,24	differently
18:22 86:15 99:24	demand	130:3,10,11 132:13	61:20
142:11	54:18 55:5,10 56:6,13	132:15 133:13	diligence
deeming	56:23 59:8,20,22	details	82:17
94:18	65:14	3:18 43:19 52:4	diligent
deems	demonstrate	121:15 128:18	83:12 96:8

direction	20:19,20 21:3 30:10	drafting	earlier
18:2 58:9 103:7	40:2 44:23 45:17	42:15 133:8	6:19
director	46:4,5,8,9,17 47:3	drain	earliest
2:8,10,15 12:16,16	48:10 50:1,14 79:5	11:10,11	83:6
14:20 27:5 28:13,23	114:3,7,12 115:4	drainage	earn
41:6 116:7 125:17	117:9,11,18 118:3,8	11:4 32:3 121:3,19	96:16
127:15 128:11,12	118:12,15 123:3	122:12 123:1	easement
disadvantage	Ditto's	drains	31:3 112:15,17,18,19
81:19	45:3 113:24	11:12	113:2,6,16
disagree	division	drawing	easier
62:18 96:17	20:24	4:17,21 9:13 15:21	21:2
discounts	document	42:4	east
26:3	113:1	drawings	7:19 19:12
discourage	documents	4:16 13:24 14:7	eastbound
23:6 24:1	20:5 42:4	dredge	15:14
discretion	doing	99:19	easy
93:12 100:17 101:4	11:9 34:18 40:20	drive	133:8
discuss	41:23 43:2,4 46:13	5:21 6:9 7:8,19 13:21	economy
34:22 64:22 112:8,8	49:4 72:19 76:1,7	13:24 14:18 15:5,12	122:22 123:20,21
112:15	81:16 84:7 88:12,15	43:8,21 46:16 68:18	edge
discussed	132:8 133:4,5	69:3,12 71:3 72:5	5:20 6:3 9:16 11:4
17:3 45:1 88:3 89:5	dollars	73:5 100:9	Edie
112:13,14,14 134:7	49:13 119:16	driven	97:13 141:11
discussing	dollarwise	68:1 73:22	edited
120:14 134:12	18:8	drives	37:19
discussion	domain	72:7	Edith
3:9,10,16 5:2 8:15	50:16	driveway	2:12,13
13:10 109:17 111:6,8	doubled	48:6 90:17	effect
134:18	19:2	drive-under	88:15 90:1
discussions	doubt	4:20	effective
7:15,17 140:12	69:2	driving	63:18
disincentivizing	doubtful	67:10	efforts
23:10	138:17	drop	83:12 85:14 96:8
disruptive	doubts	71:4	140:4,7
49:4	138:20	due	egress
distance	downside	62:11 64:15 82:17	77:8 85:5
71:3	76:16,17	116:16	Eight
distinction	DPW	dug	131:19
117:5	73:4 122:9,11	123:11	eight-hour
district	draft	duration	131:3,6,7 132:7
7:22	3:10 34:12 37:15,18	115:22	either
Ditto	38:8,11,17 52:18	dynamite	35:9 44:22 54:7 58:10
2:15 11:4,13 14:20	110:22	133:21	63:2 75:17 89:13
15:8 16:1,8,18 17:6	drafted		98:21 110:19 139:14
18:18 19:5,8,11,16	58:10	E	electrical
	1	1	1

33:3 122:15	24:3 127:1	everybody	expeditious
eligibility	entirety	13:12 45:11 56:9 72:7	128:13
75:21	135:4	94:3 103:4 104:12	expend
eliminated	entitled	129:16 132:20	40:10
95:10	35:4	133:16 139:19	expensive
emergency	entity	142:18	40:4 80:15
112:19,23	125:15	everybody's	experience
emphasize	entrance	20:16 81:24 108:10	20:23 24:16,19 25:18
31:9	4:18 5:8 7:4,19 19:15	133:7	54:9 80:24 82:24
employee	entryways	everyone's	117:2 118:22 119:1,4
144:9	19:6	51:18	121:17
	environmental	evidence	
employees	31:19		expiration 76:15,18
21:20 26:3,20 27:12		35:6,7,9 72:20 113:16	<i>,</i>
36:6	escrow	evolved	expires
empty	44:10,15,17	124:14	142:10 144:16
59:23	especially	exactly	explain
ended	30:19 39:11,13 75:7	72:22	134:21 139:4 142:7
11:9 53:10	Esquire	example	explains
ends	2:11,12,18	16:21 32:11 131:10	7:14
44:11	essentially	examples	explore
enforce	60:14 70:2 116:14	61:5	70:15
109:16 136:22,22	establishes	exceptions	explosions
137:4	130:22	32:15	133:12
enforceable	estimate	execute	expressed
138:24	14:21 16:3,21 19:5,10	51:22	134:16
enforcement	33:21	exhaustive	expression
56:16 124:13 134:22	estimated	115:6	94:14
135:18,19 136:3,21	14:24 16:9,11,14	exist	extended
137:6,7	18:15	65:14	6:7 78:17
enforces	estimates	existing	extending
135:19	17:12 33:1 34:3	7:23 10:19 21:21	35:23
engaging	estimating	29:23,24 49:5 52:23	extension
138:3	18:14	103:17	78:19 142:10,13
engine	et	exists	extensive
72:2	100:10 102:15	113:1,17 124:12	25:18
engineering	European	expand	extent
2:15 5:4 14:21 28:14	66:21	41:7 60:5	66:21 115:20 139:13
28:24 33:3,4 98:9	evening	expanded	
125:18 127:16	3:3 16:2 134:12 139:5	53:2	<b>extra</b> 64:8 95:11
ensure	evening's 3:13	expanding	extremely 107:21
81:17 116:20		21:21 37:23 52:23	107:21
entire	event	expect	<b>F</b>
39:15,18 47:15 81:20	50:2 80:10 85:2 98:12	24:9 66:23	face
124:7,15	evergreens	expected	65:10 94:3
entirely	10:21	20:4	05.10 97.5

fact	February	filling	43:5 54:22 121:10
20:10 21:1 44:20	140:17,18 141:9,9	80:14,17,23 81:4	flame
46:24 48:11 55:18	fee	final	133:5
57:3 78:5 83:14	21:24 22:8 23:4 33:4	46:24 75:11,15 105:9	flexibility
91:20 104:13 116:22	60:18 61:1 62:10	128:9,10,13,18	9:2 51:7 106:8,14
120:1 122:15 140:23	63:9,17,18	finalized	floor
141:1	feel	79:1	1:11 9:11,16
factors	13:16 16:15 22:1 25:3	financially	flow
97:9,11	25:16 27:21 82:5,6	14:23 144:9	70:3 72:6
Failing	129:15	find	focus
44:14	feelers	73:3 101:13 109:18	13:11
fails	82:22	finding	folks
123:12,13	feelings	89:17	5:4 62:17
fail-safe	78:3,4	findings	follow
108:4	feels	139:14	13:6 18:10 36:11
fair	48:5	fine	45:15 67:4 106:13
82:20	fees	11:18 28:18 87:21	following
fairly	22:14 25:10 33:3 42:5	107:11	24:15
58:11	137:20 138:4,13,18	finish	foot
faith	feet	48:11 51:15 52:2 94:2	8:22
59:7	6:8 8:24 9:2,4 32:20	111:13	forced
fall	127:19 128:3	finished	76:14
116:13 119:23	felt	48:21 77:20	foregoing
falling	91:4 106:7	fire	144:4,6,12
122:21	fide	5:3,13,15 6:3,5 11:15	forever
falls	22:17	12:14 33:14,16,23	115:15 139:23
137:17	field	47:13 48:3 72:2 74:7	Forgive
fall-back	131:21	91:19,23 95:12	47:6 70:9
108:3	Fifteen	122:11 130:3,7,9,11	form
familiar	57:5	130:24 132:2,4 133:3	6:3 57:20 80:17,23
135:12	fifty	133:10,12	81:4 111:15
familiarity	54:23	firefighter	formats
120:12,14	figure	33:17	99:3
far	19:16 54:20 58:23	firm	forth
117:6 137:11	84:9	136:10	15:17 117:19 144:5
fashion	file	first	forty
83:2	27:24 75:19,24 79:22	3:17 13:11 14:3,5	142:10
favor	80:1 84:2	26:13 36:16 38:14	forward
55:3 73:17	filed	39:1 50:3,8 61:24	26:12,23 34:17,18
Fax	27:23 75:12,13,14	63:1 67:18 87:7,10	for-sale
1:18	filing	88:7,9 98:17 99:7	117:22
fear	76:10 80:10,11 81:4	112:16	found
68:9	83:18	fitting	102:3
feasible	fill	94:16	four
74:16 80:9 85:1 102:9	120:17	five	32:19 121:10 127:19

128:3 131:11,13,14	gather	126:6,17,20,24	18:1 19:1 32:5 34:12
131:16	43:20	127:10 128:23 129:5	34:21 41:9 46:10
fourth	gee	129:7,10,16,20	49:1 51:2,10,13
9:11	56:17 81:11 82:12	130:23 131:4,13,14	53:12 68:7 76:5,19
four-hour	Geller	131:24 132:3,12,15	79:22,23 81:11,15
131:2,5,5 132:6	2:3,16 3:3,5,23,24	133:24 134:9 135:1	82:18 87:12,15 88:20
frame	9:19 10:3,5,16 12:7	136:3 137:20 138:1	89:17,22 90:17,24
45:7 50:10 80:3 126:9	12:10,20,23 15:2,6	138:10,21 139:3	91:20 100:6,8 105:18
129:5	15:23 16:4,6,19 18:9	140:9,19,22 141:6,8	108:6,11 117:16
frankly	19:4,19,24 20:17	141:11,16 142:7,17	127:20 137:11
18:9 25:22 54:14 55:3	21:8 22:5,10,21 23:2	142:23 143:5	138:13
62:13 73:13 93:13	23:8,12,18 24:7,11	Geller's	goal
135:9 136:9	24:17 25:1 27:3,14	83:20	81:24,24 104:7
frequency	34:6,9,23 36:2,8,10	general	God
21:23 53:13,22	36:20,24 37:6,12	28:20 36:18 54:15	50:24
front	38:3 39:10 40:24	134:22 137:6	goes
5:8 6:14 8:6,7,8 20:8	42:8,11,14,19 43:17	generally	6:8 23:24 37:8 51:9
61:24	43:23 44:20 45:4,15	64:14 87:9 89:6 90:5	54:4 56:23 80:22
full	45:18,22 46:1,5 47:6	111:16	113:13,15 116:3
55:12 59:7 85:5 86:14	48:8 49:8,22 50:8,18	getting	going
87:23 109:14 115:14	52:6,12,22 54:7,24	22:22 24:1 34:11	4:7 11:6,7 13:3,3 17:7
118:10 131:15	55:8 56:24 58:8	45:10 50:13 55:6	17:19,22,23,23 19:17
fully	60:12,17,23 62:8	66:16 67:9 81:19,24	24:14 25:20 30:15
24:9 105:22	63:15 64:2,14,20,24	82:3 95:9 96:23	34:17,18 37:13 39:23
functioning	66:3,8,14 67:1 69:16	102:6 104:2	40:20 41:2,20 44:4
117:6	69:22 70:20 71:13	give	45:13 47:20 49:15
fund	72:24 73:14 74:3,11	8:22 32:10 33:15 45:8	50:1,23 51:1,2,14,15
46:7	74:18,20,23 75:2	45:9 50:11 56:19	51:21 52:1,1 55:5,12
funding	76:13 77:1,6,15,21	58:9 61:5 66:4 87:13	59:23,24 63:17 64:21
44:14 49:23	78:15,20 79:14,19	104:5 105:2 114:5	65:13 68:23 70:24
further	82:20 84:24 85:4,8	124:9 137:1 138:3	73:7 74:6,24 77:4
3:7,8 34:22 47:3 58:14	86:2,4,6 87:5 94:12	given	78:9 79:12,21,24
61:12 97:2 144:8	95:14 96:14 97:2,13	16:21 24:11 62:19	80:18,20 81:10,23
future	97:16,18,23 98:2,8	85:22 93:12 119:24	82:11,15,17 84:3,4
61:14 89:10 95:2	98:16,20 99:2,5,10	gives	84:12,16,17,19 86:1
96:11 102:10,17	99:15 100:2,22 101:6	9:2	86:24 87:13 89:1,17
103:7	101:9,24 102:3,8,20	giving	89:22 91:15 92:19,20
	103:9,12,19 104:3	24:16 31:20 65:14	94:15,22,24 95:6
G	108:20 109:3,7,11,20	103:7	97:7 100:8,15 101:14
G	109:23 110:23 111:3	glad	101:16,17 102:23,24
31:2 112:5,8,8,14	114:3,10,15,18,23	124:8	103:2 107:4,12,14,15
garage	115:2 117:15,18	glib	108:13 113:5 115:10
6:9	118:1 119:5,9 120:16	51:4 74:9	119:20,21,23 120:11
gas	120:22 123:19 124:3	go	122:20 123:2,22
33:2 122:14	124:10 125:6,11	4:4 11:12 13:18 17:13	126:8 127:5 129:23
	ı	ı	1

_			
122.1 124.7 120.10	05.24	20.6 7 9 14 16 60.2	22.10
133:1 134:7 138:19	85:24	20:6,7,8,14,16 60:2	23:18
140:23 141:17	guess	90:13 134:8	Hill
good	16:23 43:18 46:2	hard	1:7 2:17 3:5,24 25:18
3:3 17:18 42:16 43:16	76:13 98:22 101:19	40:19 42:12,12 89:18	57:2,6
52:5 55:23,24 60:13	105:23 111:12 124:4	109:16,18,20 119:6,7	hire
95:12,13,17,17 131:7	135:12 141:7	hate	41:23
133:8	guesstimate	53:3 117:18	hiring
goose	54:11	head	81:2
9:1	guide	60:20 67:11 79:14	history
Goulston	64:11	88:4	14:12,13 61:6,9,14,16
2:18	guidelines	health	hold
governed	52:16	31:19 122:11	22:5 102:24 139:23
119:12	guy	hear	holding
government	41:19 133:20	83:5	81:5
82:15	guys	heard	hole
governmental	82:8	40:15 88:5 89:15	124:17
82:24		104:12 123:16	home
grab	<u>H</u>	hearing	68:12
12:2	H	1:5 3:4,12,13,14 11:3	honest
grand	30:17 31:1	13:16 31:6 34:18	20:23
19:18 55:17	HAC	35:2,24 53:12,14	honestly
grant	93:15	59:17 67:5 84:15,17	58:24 62:24 82:1
28:6 36:1 81:10	half	84:18 86:14,14,21	136:11
granted	50:6 64:6 121:23	87:3,23 88:21,22,23	hook
135:11 142:15	124:1	91:1 92:3,11 99:23	106:22,23,23
grass	Hancock	107:24,24 110:11	hope
5:23	7:9,24 53:24 69:19	112:11 127:6 139:9	36:9
Grasscrete	70:2 72:8 73:21 74:2	139:10 140:10 141:1	hopefully
5:19,21 6:3	hand	142:12 143:2,6	4:8 124:20
great	57:14 95:7	heavy	horizontally
19:4 56:10 70:14	handle	46:17 81:7	17:17
green	106:16	height	hour
56:2 79:23 95:10,11	happen	8:12,15,17,19,22,23	33:20 54:13 139:5
98:5	28:13 47:1 50:2 61:14	held	142:10
ground	83:21 89:20 95:2	43:13 75:13	hours
124:17 142:12	102:10 122:21	help	32:21 68:11 128:4
group	happened	20:22 64:11 76:2	130:19 131:11,12,14
65:2 66:16	29:20	140:3	131:16,18,19 140:3
grouping	happening	helpful	housing
69:15	23:17 132:17	69:14 136:5	55:20
guarantee	happens	hey	hurting
30:21 31:23 32:2	49:17 81:6 88:8 95:20	101:14	95:24 96:2
114:6 116:5 117:13	103:15 123:20,24	higher	hurts
118:7 120:3 124:5	139:4	8:20 9:4 18:16	72:22
guaranteeing	happy	highly	Hussey
Suaranicents		,sy	iiubbcy
	I	I	I

	1	I	ı
2:5 3:6,7 9:8,15 10:2	III	incentivizes	inefficient
10:4,11,12,14,15	112:15	27:12	56:22
12:8,9 16:17 21:3,9	ill	inclined	inflation
34:7,8,10,16 35:10	95:23	94:17	14:24
35:14,19 36:2,7,9	illegal	include	influencers
41:11 42:3,7 43:6,16	138:19	65:4 98:6	84:11
43:18 46:6 53:21	image	included	information
54:21 55:2,10 56:20	10:23	22:24 26:21 79:10	3:20,22 35:4 109:24
60:21 63:16 66:17	imagine	including	139:16,18
67:2 72:24 73:2,9,12	108:5,9	14:12 85:19 114:19	infrastructural
73:16 76:9,12 80:5,9	impact	inclusion	119:19 120:4
84:13 86:5 92:17	101:10,16 139:14	14:13 65:8	infrastructure
97:3,10 105:8 106:3	141:2	inconvenient	115:13 116:19,22
106:9,15,19 108:3,19	impacts	48:23	118:15,18,24 119:3
109:1,5,9 110:1,13	94:21,22 109:13	incorporated	121:13 122:4,18
111:22 112:4 115:3	implication	9:13 88:17	124:6
116:3,11 117:4 118:6	107:23	increase	initial
118:9 123:9 124:24	implications	53:5 54:8,12 65:18	21:17 33:8 65:11
125:7 129:18 130:7	70:8	increased	107:9
130:11,15 132:21	important	59:9 109:13	input
133:3 137:16 138:6	27:21 82:7 114:20	increasing	20:3 45:3
138:11,15 142:20	127:23	26:5	inside
hydrant	impose	increment	47:22 71:15 114:13
11:16,17,24 12:5	62:3,24 63:9,22 71:11	131:5,6,7,7	insignificant
hypothetical	71:20,22 75:19	increments	89:21
82:16,19	110:22 111:12	131:3	insist
	121:12 127:3	indefinitely	120:5
I	imposed	44:16	inspect
idea	25:5,13 61:5,9,15,17	Independence	122:24
16:21 33:15,22 67:16	63:13 75:16 115:20	7:19 13:21,24 14:7,18	inspected
70:7,10 73:19 74:4,9	imposing	15:5,12,22 19:20	32:20 123:4 127:24
93:23 105:20 121:22	26:2 63:12,13 76:3	38:20 43:8,21 46:16	128:4
124:11	imposition	69:12 72:5 73:5	inspection
ideal	76:8 82:1 115:8	independent	32:18,23 33:4
46:21	impression	103:14	inspections
identified	93:9	indicate	33:3
110:10	improvements	50:1 59:16	inspector
idiots	49:12 101:17	indicated	121:20
82:12	Inaudible	40:8	inspects
ifs	42:20 134:18	indicating	128:1
104:18	incentive	75:7	installation
ignorance	22:13 23:8,12 60:5	indication	32:21 98:4 99:16
47:6	96:9	83:3	128:5
II	incentives	individual	installed
21:17,19 112:14	22:2	116:21	10:24 32:3 115:12,13
	1	1	1

121:20 122:18	issue	58:8 60:12,17,23	2:4 3:5
instance	36:15 43:15 45:1	62:8 63:15 64:2,14	Joseph
17:12 29:17 32:14	47:10,15,21 48:7,19	64:20,24 66:3,8,14	2:16
53:23	48:20 53:10 60:23	67:1 69:16,22 70:20	judgement
instituted	71:5 76:18 81:3 82:7	71:13 72:24 73:14	64:13
55:20	99:19,20 114:6	74:3,11,18,20,23	judgment
insubstantial	122:15 123:3 129:9	75:2 76:13 77:1,6,15	82:4 92:23 113:4
28:18 86:10,15,23	137:3,3,24 142:6	77:21 78:15,20 79:14	July
88:12,15 89:2,8,12	issued	79:19 82:20 84:24	29:8 30:2
92:23 93:14 94:8,18	47:9 48:8 50:7	85:4,8 86:2,4,6 87:5	jurisdiction
95:4,4,18 97:21	issues	94:12 95:14 96:14	135:21
100:11,18 101:15,21	12:18 13:15 36:14	97:2,13,16,18,23	justify
102:4,6,19 103:6,13	44:24 70:14 119:20	98:2,8,16,20 99:2,5	16:3
103:24 107:6,20	issuing	99:10,15 100:2,22	
intending	47:14 48:1 122:5	101:6,9,24 102:3,8	K
37:16	item	102:20 103:9,12,15	keep
intent	14:13 33:5,12 34:13	103:19 104:3 108:20	19:19 73:23 125:13
57:14 85:19	34:13 112:16 113:15	109:3,7,11,20,23	keeping
intention	itemization	110:23 111:3 114:3	24:7 79:7
3:12 34:14 53:7 103:8	15:9 16:2	114:10,15,18,23	kept
111:10	items	115:2 117:15,18	133:21
intentional	30:17	118:1 119:5,9 120:16	key
58:12	IV	120:22 123:19 124:3	43:7
interest	21:17,21	124:10 125:6,11	kick
89:18 91:4 92:6 98:4	т	126:6,17,20,24	106:12
100:21 108:10	<u>J</u>	127:10 128:23 129:5	kids
138:12	January	129:7,10,16,20	67:8,10 68:18 71:4
interested	1:9 140:13,17 142:24	131:14 133:24 134:9	73:17,21,21
144:10	144:13	135:1 136:3 137:20	kind
interesting	Jesse	138:1,10,21 139:3	5:14 6:17 35:1 57:17
73:14 74:12	2:3 3:3,5 10:5 12:7,20	140:9,19,22 141:6,8	72:9 76:4 113:19
interferes	12:23 15:2,6,23 16:4	141:11,16 142:7,17	115:9
103:17	16:6,19 18:9 19:4,19	142:23 143:5	KINDERMANS
intervals	19:24 20:17 21:8	jet	10:9
54:16	22:5,10,21 23:2,8,12	56:9	<b>kinds</b> 57:18
involve	23:18 24:7,11,17 25:1 27:3,14 34:6,9	job	knoll
115:8	34:23 36:8,10,20,24	133:8	6:18,20
involved	37:6,12 38:3 39:10	Jocelyn 137-21	know
12:14 14:17 29:4 34:3	40:24 42:8,11,14,19	137:21	4:9 5:22 6:18 7:9,11
34:5 48:4 50:13 81:8	43:17,23 44:20 45:4	Joe	10:6,7 15:23 16:15
110:14 118:4	45:15,18,22 46:1,5	3:23,24 9:15,19 10:3,5 10:16 12:10 130:23	16:24 17:12,21 18:15
in-house 21:2 41:10	47:6 48:8 49:8,22		18:23 19:3 20:2,12
21:2 41:10 issuance	50:8,18 52:6,12,22	131:4,13,24 132:3,12 132:15	20:24 24:6 25:5
48:12 50:3,9 52:14	54:7,24 55:8 56:24	Jonathan	31:11 33:24 35:8
40.14 30.3,9 32.14	2 1.7,2 1 33.0 30.2T	Jonathan 	21.11 23.21 33.0
		l	l

37:4,24 38:14 41:16	15:13 18:1,2	leeway	Levin's
41:22 44:6 45:3,6	language	35:15	79:14
46:21,22 47:1 48:16	28:17,19,21 35:2 56:5	left	life
49:1,11 50:6,13 51:3	56:15 58:10 59:9	25:17 50:5 53:8	48:3
53:4,9,19 54:3 56:9	85:7,11,15,22 86:23	legal	lifting
56:12,16,16,17 57:8	96:15 98:11,23 99:1	31:3 63:2 90:23 113:1	81:7
58:5,12 59:16 60:18	99:4 100:5 102:15	138:3,4 139:16	light
62:4,17,18 64:3,10	109:4 125:10 126:8	140:24 142:1,19	8:16,18,18,19,23 9:3,4
65:10 66:14 67:12	127:12,16,22 128:7	LegaLink	73:4 79:23
68:4,6,17,23 69:1,9	127:12,10,22 128.7	1:15	likelihood
	- 1		92:3
70:21 71:8,24 78:12	large	legality	
81:3,11 82:21,22	26:18 32:1 61:21,21	25:8,11 63:12 138:17	limit
83:10,13,17 84:1,10	largely	lender	30:14 40:10 104:14
84:10,11,22 85:18	87:14	85:17 124:20	110:18 126:13,18,18
86:10,24 91:15 94:15	larger	lengthy	126:21,21 127:3
94:19,20,21 96:5	48:17	140:5	limited
97:10 98:11,14,17,22	latitude	letter	107:21
101:9,22 103:20	50:12	65:3 75:21	limiting
104:4,6,16,18,19	law	letters	30:5
105:23 107:10 108:9	35:6 72:20 93:7,10,22	30:22	limits
109:14,20 112:21	93:22 116:1	letting	126:23
115:10,21 119:19	lawful	89:16	Lincoln
120:9,12,17 122:8	137:12	let's	1:16
123:12,21 130:23	lawyer	16:6 38:13 50:8 72:14	line
132:14 134:1 136:11	141:21	77:16 79:22 84:14	17:16 38:9,9,13,13,14
136:12,14 138:1,23	lawyers	104:5 112:8 113:21	48:15 63:4 72:1
138:24 140:6,6,21	117:7 123:10	118:1 121:5 127:10	90:19,21 120:18,19
knowing	lay	level	lines
82:14	55:12	9:18 23:24 55:17	17:9
knowledge	layer	Levin	list
62:15	95:5	2:17 10:7,10,13 20:1	13:4 21:16
Krakofsky	layout	41:16 42:6 45:6	Listen
1:21 144:1,15	18:20,20 72:4 102:15	46:20 53:3 55:16	77:24
Kristen	leapfrogging	59:20,22 64:12 65:10	litigated
1:21 144:1,15	36:15	65:11 67:11,12,20	136:16
Krokidas	lease	68:13,20 69:2,24	little
2:11	90:19	71:1 76:23 77:4,13	6:7,12 8:6,20 9:2
	leave	77:19,24 79:16,21	10:18 20:3 31:16
L	99:9,10 117:7 123:23	81:7,18 82:5,20	34:2 35:15 48:23
landscaping	126:3	83:23 84:9 85:9	50:12,15 51:6 59:14
6:24 10:20 105:9	leaving	91:11,14 92:24 95:7	61:20 91:21 108:17
111:5	124:1	95:16 101:7,19 102:1	109:18 116:14 119:6
lane	ledge	102:5 117:16 124:14	121:2
6:3,5 15:15 17:9	32:19,19 127:18,23	124:23 133:18	live
lanes	128:3	134:14 139:23	68:24,24 69:3 71:2
	ı	I	I

122:20	55.5		72.10 70.5 11 70.1
· -	55:5	mandating 85:18	72:18 78:5,11 79:1 79:23 81:9 87:8
living	lost		
20:20 48:24	133:21	manner	88:22 91:20,24 93:11
lobbying	lot	128:13	95:13 98:11 100:4
76:1	5:7 6:1,6,13 46:22	map	104:4 107:2 115:23
local	47:20,23 65:24 80:22	93:12	116:2 117:16 118:16
83:11,14	90:19 93:11,12	Marc	120:24 124:24
located	104:18 112:20,20	2:17 139:21	130:19 133:10
12:3	116:21 136:12	Maria	meaning
location	lots	2:9 20:17 22:5 38:10	75:11 127:12
11:19 12:3 62:19	70:4 116:14,22	129:10 134:20	means
99:20 101:12	lower	Maria's	60:20 66:5 102:14
locations	18:16 23:22	24:15	131:8 139:4 142:14
11:16,17 12:6	lumped	Marion	meant
logic	19:22	96:19	59:12
13:5	L911	Mark	measurable
long	10:10,12	2:6 3:6 67:4	109:19
47:12 50:18 111:19	10.10,12	markers	measure
115:21 133:11		5:24	22:17,20 24:20 56:14
135:15	M		· ·
	2:12,13 8:4	marketing 56:1 62:23	measures
look	magnitude		21:13,16 22:2 30:12
5:13 10:24 17:16,17	107:11	mark-up	110:9
18:5 23:14 25:11		100:5	mechanism
26:17 38:9,13 53:16	maintain	Massachusetts	63:19
62:5 85:11 87:1 91:5	91:18	1:12,17 144:3	meet
93:20 98:10	maintaining	materials	48:2
looked	56:6	43:20,21 105:10	meeting
4:12	maintenance	128:18	5:3 56:23 81:2 88:10
looking	52:7 119:22	matter	88:16 104:20 140:12
8:18 9:10 16:20 26:22	majority	3:14 17:20 25:3 39:7	141:2,19 142:13
26:22 31:17 37:3	68:21	61:7 68:17 91:11	meetings
61:20 74:1,17 82:12	makers	103:8 125:20 139:9	3:15 140:11 141:22
92:15 93:16 99:2	84:11	140:22	member
127:9	making	maximizing	2:6 24:19 37:17
looks	24:22 44:10 65:10	82:3	members
7:23 91:16	73:17 75:5 87:19	maximum	2:2 13:1 26:24 57:3
loop	88:14 93:13 97:14	32:24 33:11 41:8	102:17,17
54:2	99:11	MDM	mention
	management	30:1	33:5 100:13
loosely	32:7,12,17 52:10 57:7		
72:21	128:20,22 129:3	mean	mentioned
loosen	mandate	22:15 35:10 36:22,24	3:18 18:21 20:4 27:1
50:15	119:15	39:3 40:2 43:11	28:23 61:11 67:5
lose		50:22,22 51:1,7 55:2	merely
71:21 84:5	mandated	56:8 63:24 65:24	28:8
losing	53:4	67:15 71:4,17 72:2	merit
	•	•	-

70:14	90:18	70:13	need
Merrill	moment	motivated	25:10 30:19 31:1,7,13
1:15	34:8 38:1 140:1	95:23	32:16 33:21 39:19
methodology	monetary	motor	41:3 44:3,3 46:9
17:1	129:8	66:3	49:8,20 50:15,20
Michaud	money	motorcycle	51:10 53:20 57:2
53:15	44:14,17,17 45:2,8,10	66:4	58:9 59:13 74:15
micromanage	45:12,14,16,21,22	motorcycles	81:15 89:22 98:11
57:12	46:9 49:20 51:10,12	65:9 66:18	112:18 127:24
micromanaging	51:21,24 77:13 110:8	mounting	134:10 136:4 139:18
25:22 55:3,15 56:21	monies	8:23	141:3 143:2,3
middle	49:24 50:4	move	needed
11:21	monitoring	7:5 24:23 140:11	19:3
million	57:21	moves	needs
41:21	month	26:12	14:14 29:22 40:3,18
Milton	65:3	moving	40:20 53:2,17 57:22
35:16	months	3:7 26:22 67:3 83:7	57:24 59:8 64:16
mind	83:24 84:1	95:15,15 125:6	106:20 110:19
19:19 24:7 73:20 79:7	moped	Multiple	113:16 116:19 130:9
111:23	66:3,13	66:2 137:19	131:4,6
mindful	mopeds		negotiation
22:20 73:19	65:5,13 66:18 85:9,9	N	71:16 72:16
mine	Morelli	Nagler	neighborhood
50:17	2:9 10:8 12:11,13 13:2	2:11 29:11 34:23 35:1	49:7 67:23 68:9,18,24
minimal	13:7,14 14:5 15:3,8	35:12,15,20,22 36:5	69:1,3,11,14 70:12
18:5 19:18 110:1	16:1,5 20:18 21:10	38:10 42:18,24 63:3	74:1,10 79:12 82:7
minimum	22:13 24:18 27:15	72:13 80:13 89:4	96:21
79:24	28:20 29:12,16,21	93:3,7 97:15 103:23	neighbors
minimus	30:7,9,23 31:18,22	106:24 112:5,10	108:5
110:2	33:10 34:1 37:14	113:9,19 121:22	Netter
minor	38:16 40:2 52:9,13	123:11,19 124:20	2:12,13 14:3 28:16
13:19	52:17,21 53:9,22	127:5 129:21 130:1	29:10,13,19 30:3,8
minutes	58:15,19 60:2 61:10	130:18,20 135:10,14	30:22 31:16,21 33:7
142:10	79:3 86:10 87:8,10	136:5,8,18 137:9	33:22 34:14 35:21
missing	90:6 110:5,6,16	139:1 141:18,22	36:22 37:2 38:4,9,13
93:3	113:22,24 117:8	142:9,19 143:3	38:21 39:1 40:12
mitigate	125:16,21,24 127:21	name	42:16,22 43:14 44:2
62:4	129:13 130:6,9,14,19	3:5	44:24 45:24 46:4
mitigation	133:9 134:21 140:16	necessarily	50:11,21 51:13,24
21:12 22:17 67:14	142:2	14:10 72:8	52:5,13,19 58:10,15
mode	Morelli's	necessary	59:15,21,24 60:16
65:5,6,6 66:21	36:11,19 41:7 60:12	80:19 85:19 113:2	71:16,19 72:15,17
modes	64:22 86:7	134:24 141:9	74:17,19,24 75:3
62:5	morning	neck	78:18,21 80:7 85:1,7
modification	67:8,18,19 68:1 69:5	9:1	87:5,7 88:2,23 89:3

89:24 90:22 91:13	Notary	obtaining	82:6 84:24 86:2
93:21 97:14,17 98:6	144:2,15	86:19	87:12 97:18 104:3,13
98:10,19,21 99:9,14	note	obvious	104:19 105:2 106:15
103:9,11 104:4,11,24	111:22	78:6	108:15 111:21 112:4
105:6,13,16,19 106:7	notes	obviously	112:7 114:7 115:2
106:17 107:3 108:15	65:1 144:7	20:8,13 48:2 57:22	117:15 118:9 126:4,5
109:16,21 110:3,21	notice	67:23 78:16 91:18	129:7,16,20 130:1,15
111:10 112:2,7,12	86:14 87:23 92:12	112:22 141:19	131:13 133:23,24
113:7,13,21 114:1,5	notion	occupancy	134:19,20 135:15
114:13,17,20,24	76:20 77:22 85:4 87:2	47:15 48:2,19,20 50:4	138:15 141:8 142:17
118:11 120:11,20	94:18 109:12 139:8	50:7 109:9,14 118:9	Olmstead
121:5,17 122:21	November	121:1 122:6 137:4	31:24 48:17 117:12,21
123:17,24 124:8	144:16	occupants	once
125:3,5,9,12,19	number	49:11 109:13	46:7 47:20 51:13,24
126:3,11,15,19,22	1:6 13:1 15:7,12 17:3	occupied	58:1 79:22 122:16
127:11,13,17 128:16	17:16,18 21:17 22:6	48:9 49:19 54:17,17	123:15,17 139:10
128:19 129:6,12,23	22:10,18,22 25:20	109:2,15 121:11	141:1
130:2 131:20 132:10	26:6 27:1 28:16	occupying	ones
132:13,19 133:1,7,15	31:16 36:16 40:14	84:5	8:1,2 65:13 110:9
133:23 138:13 141:5	42:12 44:21 54:8	offering	one-year
141:10,12,24	55:24 65:19 75:22,23	65:12	50:9
never	83:17 98:15 109:13	Office	on-site
20:4 61:18 62:15	110:1	1:10	21:12 22:18 27:2,11
66:22 71:21 72:22	numbers	officer	114:8
93:4 103:23 118:18	16:15	34:4 136:21	open
nevertheless	numeral	official	23:13 26:5 53:8,10
108:10 135:16	21:17	135:19 142:3	76:17 77:7,9 86:13
new	numerous	offset	141:23
10:17,24 11:23,23	64:9	138:4	opening
12:5 35:7 46:19 49:5	04.9	off-site	100:19
	0		
57:16 87:23 92:2,11	object	21:13 28:11,15,22,24	open-ended
93:18 107:24 108:1	28:21 75:10 99:5	67:13	18:19 19:1 59:12
111:19	136:7	oftentimes	operations
nice		126:10	52:10
24:13	objected	oh	opinion
nine	86:11 135:4	109:5 127:20 129:24	94:10 97:1
36:12 87:23	objection	okay	opportunity
nonsubdivisions	87:22 110:12 136:6,9	10:4 12:23 16:4 19:4	24:21 73:24 91:21
115:18	136:19,20	24:23 30:9 34:9,16	139:22
non-40B	obligation	36:7,10,15,20 38:13	opposed
63:14	85:12	38:22 41:13,15 42:7	66:8 82:14 115:9
normal	obsolete	43:16,17,22,23 45:12	135:7
26:9	56:10	46:5 52:6,12 63:15	opposite
normally	obtain	64:18 67:3 74:11,15	65:20
137:23	85:14 100:7	74:20 75:3 76:12	option
			=
	ı	ı	I

15:4,20 30:11 124:9	packed	59:10 110:21	69:3 72:20 81:2
138:3	56:18	particularly	84:10 89:7,10 108:9
options	packs	5:1	percent
15:19 54:19 55:7	56:9		<del>-</del>
		<b>parties</b> 66:2 92:13 137:19	17:14,18 21:19 27:9
86:16 105:2	page		54:17,17 108:22,22
order	29:17	144:9	109:1 114:9 116:24
13:12 63:18 72:5 87:3	Pages	parts	percentage
87:24 112:1 121:15	1:2	62:13,21	54:10
original	paid	party	performance
30:17 37:3,7,15,18,23	132:6	139:18	30:20 31:23 32:1
38:8,11,17 52:18	par	pass	114:6,8 115:17 116:5
69:21 70:10 74:4	34:4	27:9,10	118:6 120:2 124:5
85:7 98:23 99:1,9	paragraph	passing	125:1
103:2 108:12 112:3	37:3,7,19,20	23:19	period
127:22	parameter	path	36:6 44:12 50:9 54:13
originally	18:13	6:23	64:7 75:24 76:15
5:18 39:21 70:7	parameters	pathway	78:16 132:5
127:14	19:3 28:15,24 72:19	10:24	perjury
outcome	105:21	pave	144:11
56:22	parapet	123:13	permissible
outer	9:17,20,24	paved	72:13
40:10	paraphrasing	65:19	permit
outlet	90:3	pavement	26:14 30:16 31:8 36:1
94:21	parents	16:11 17:9 52:8	45:5,23,24 46:1
outside	67:9	paving	52:14 64:8 71:3
14:18 18:13 44:23	parking	5:19 46:24 65:22 66:1	75:11,15,19 78:24
70:2 71:13,14 114:10	5:7 6:14 17:10 18:3	pay	79:22 80:2,6,11,12
120:8 138:3	22:1,9 23:11,23 25:2	20:10 24:2,5 27:9	81:9,14,24 82:3
overall	28:3,6 48:5 62:20,20	33:14 80:20 131:11	83:19 84:3 85:2,14
4:10	63:9 64:8 65:12,14	paying	85:18,23 88:6 90:2
overriding	65:15,17,19 86:20	100:15	118:9 126:12 135:5,6
78:1,2	91:18 96:11 99:17	payment	135:19,22 136:22,23
oversight	101:11 102:14	125:1	137:1,5 142:15
20:11 55:9,10,11,13	Parkway	pedestrian	permits
owes	85:5 86:20	16:13 49:18 73:4	47:22 111:18 137:4
138:5	part	peer	permitting
owner	5:9,10,11 9:14,20 19:9	17:1 18:21,21 20:4	98:3 99:16
25:4 117:1,2 119:21	32:9,11 41:21 57:19	40:7,8 41:19	perpetuity
121:10	62:14 71:17 73:10	penalty	55:1
owners	88:1 93:3 96:7,9,13	144:11	person
116:21	101:1 120:24	pending	120:13,13 125:15,19
	participate	75:20,21	perspective
P	141:5,6	people	39:3 42:14 57:9 79:20
package	particular	6:13 24:4 34:20 48:24	117:1
100:6,10 101:1 102:12	3:11 15:22 24:20	49:16 58:17 68:17,23	perspectively
	5.11 15.22 2 1.20	15.110 50.11 00.11,25	Forspectively
	I	I	I

	İ	1	İ
89:1	69:21 84:2 86:18,24	92:1 97:15 109:19	77:23 83:22 94:9
persuade	87:11,20 88:18 90:7	134:5,12,16	140:16
142:5	90:13,16,19 91:6	pointed	possibly
persuasion	94:7 97:6,11 101:3	9:23 107:3 119:11	69:13
35:7	103:2,18 107:9	pointing	post
pertain	108:13 111:6 122:13	118:21	116:19
21:12 33:18 34:1	126:10 128:12,22	points	postconstruction
pertains	140:4	13:22 37:14 47:10	137:5
27:16 28:11 29:2	plane	89:11 119:19	postoccupancy
30:16 32:6	10:6	pole	28:13 29:1,9,22 31:12
Peter	planning	8:16,18,18,24 9:1	58:23 59:1,3,6 60:7,9
2:15 14:19 15:8 16:1	2:8,9,9,10 5:4 12:15	police	60:10 61:3
20:18 21:3 49:2	12:17 24:19 27:6	33:15,20,23 34:4	postponement
phased	31:4 35:17,19 41:5	130:3,10,11 131:21	84:16,18
47:9	50:14 61:4 64:16	132:13,15,16,23	potential
phone	104:23,24 106:4,17	politicians	19:14 95:8
120:18,19	106:19,24 107:4,10	83:13	potentially
phrase	128:11 140:2	politicking	106:12
104:9	plans	76:2 81:16 84:8	power
phrasing	8:14 9:14 10:22 12:11	politics	135:18
28:21	12:14 32:23 39:2	83:13	powers
physically	60:8 80:17 88:13	Polly	137:6
127:24	97:6 105:8,10 111:5	2:10 27:5 96:22	practical
pick	123:6 128:10,18,20	population	59:6 60:3 68:16 79:4
58:3 67:8 68:18	129:3	54:12	79:13
picked	planting	porous	practice
68:12	7:2 10:22	52:8	131:20 132:4,10
picking	playing	portico	133:16
73:20	48:14	4:13,19	practices
piece	please	portion	31:6 131:22
8:6 93:20 139:24	87:8 118:17	47:11 49:12 90:20	prebuilding
pieces	plumbing	portions	30:16 31:8
3:22 9:23	33:2 122:14	101:17	precedent
place	plump	position	124:12,18 133:6
26:12 51:21 52:1	94:15 101:15	27:17 39:4 83:21	precharacterize
61:11 144:5	podium	99:23 100:3 108:4	103:24
placed	134:2	positive	preclude
92:8	point	83:2 95:9 96:20	101:23,24
plan	7:6 12:21 14:4,6,10,16	possibilities	precondition
4:10 6:24 10:7 21:11	14:19 24:12,22 34:11	86:12	122:5
26:5,14 30:20 31:10	37:21 39:1 40:1 44:5	possibility	predetermine
32:7,12,17 33:4	45:1 47:16 49:11	57:9 92:3,13 101:23	89:8
38:20 41:18 47:18	55:16 56:8,14 58:22	105:24	predictions
52:10,15,16 58:24	62:23,24 65:2 82:21	possible	54:6
59:4,10 60:9 61:23	84:19 89:4 91:13,14	24:3 51:19,19 58:1	prefer
I			

38:7	52:13 54:9 67:5	18:6,7 24:8 25:6,23	21:15 39:12,14,21
preference	128:5	26:1,9 32:1 40:7	40:5,6,12,14 110:4
47:4 134:13	private	43:7 46:7 47:9,9,11	127:20
prelabel	21:5 49:6 116:24	47:19 48:13,19 49:19	
103:24	120:3 125:2	51:3,16 57:8 61:7,7,8	proposes 14:12
	privately	61:15,17,18,19 62:18	
preliminary 16:15	115:12,13		proposing
	· ·	63:1 65:4 70:17,17	38:11,18 39:15 41:8
prepare 39:2	<b>pro</b> 86:19	72:1,6 74:10 75:21	67:22 69:16,17
		77:5,7,9,10 80:2	prospective
prepared	probably	81:20,21 82:13,15,16	83:2
29:9 52:15 91:8	17:24 42:2 46:11	83:2 95:24 96:1,2	protect
preparing	47:17,22 53:11,24	97:1 102:24 116:4,8	6:16,20,22 32:2
80:17	64:16 84:17	116:24 117:13,14,21	protected
prerequisite	problem	117:22,24 118:10	10:19
98:3 99:15	43:6 87:19 94:13,19	119:3 121:9 123:13	provide
prerogative	96:23 102:6 125:12	123:16,17 124:7,15	13:3 14:21 33:11 57:4
102:18	127:18	128:9	57:12 72:10 73:24
present	problematic	projects	74:9 88:7 113:16
3:20 4:7 88:13	92:21	22:16 25:15 44:21	128:13
presented	procedural	62:12 63:14 115:8	provided
90:7 91:6	14:12,13 34:11	120:8 121:6,7 124:19	33:1 37:9 49:24 59:19
pressed	proceed	129:2	113:3 129:11
119:6,8	81:10 108:12	promised	provides
presumably	proceeding	85:12	52:7 113:2
100:11	83:4	proper	providing
presume	proceedings	47:13	67:20 112:22
94:7	3:1 143:7 144:4	properly	provision
pretty	process	32:3 116:23	25:9 36:12 61:22 65:7
7:13 9:5 17:13 19:18	26:15 39:15,19 43:3	properties	99:12 135:8 137:8,10
53:10 54:3 68:13	50:19 52:24 79:6	26:18 64:9,11	138:18
prevail	82:18 83:7 88:5	property	provisions
77:16,17	93:19 95:21 96:4	11:5,6,8 21:5 26:7	119:13
prevailing	107:22 134:6 140:6	48:24 49:7 64:13	proximity
41:23	141:19	69:7 90:20 119:21	68:14
previous	produced	123:12	public
6:11 121:9	4:11	proposal	3:14,15 14:17 19:7
price	productive	93:24 102:12	21:5,20 26:3 29:2
24:12	4:4 76:5	proposals	34:17 35:3,12,24
primarily	progresses	49:14	39:5 70:9 72:5,8,10
3:10 68:20	116:6	propose	74:9 86:13,14 87:2
primary	prohibit	13:23 14:6 15:20	87:23 88:10,16,21,22
39:12	25:9	38:19 47:19,24	88:23 91:1 92:2
prior	prohibiting	111:12	93:19 116:15 118:11
32:21 38:15 39:20	32:13	proposed	119:14 124:24 141:2
48:1 49:24 50:3	project	14:11 16:23,24 17:5	141:22,23 142:11,13
	1 -0		,== = . <b>=</b> ,
	I	I	I

143:2,6 144:2,15	4:11,22 7:3 9:15 10:11	raises	10:22
pull	10:13 16:7 22:6,11	70:4 82:20	reason
60:2	27:10 29:10 31:4	raising	16:16 32:16 79:5,13
	34:16,24 38:22 45:18	66:15	100:13 129:14 134:2
<b>purpose</b> 32:4 67:22 77:11	45:19 47:7 51:7	ramification	reasonable
92:12 112:24 138:2			
	52:22,24 53:1,4 58:9	94:20 139:10 142:8,9	22:1 44:19 75:7 85:13
purposes	62:9,9 69:24 70:5,6	rang	126:9 132:24
139:12	76:14 80:13 89:24	95:21	reasons
pursue	102:9 103:10,19,21	range	56:1,11
77:3 78:5	104:8,11 105:13	105:2	recall
purview	109:3,8 112:21 114:4		59:16 60:1 109:17
43:24 72:11 120:5	114:24 118:16	33:18	120:15 133:18
push	119:10,24 120:6,7,10		receipt
83:6	122:3 129:14 131:8	31:20	128:14
pushing	138:2,17 142:20	react	receive
83:14,16	questioning	82:22 83:1,1	28:8 35:5
put	40:2	reaction	received
7:1 17:10 18:3 20:21	questions	65:11	35:3 65:2
45:14 46:18 49:18	4:5,9 5:12 11:15 12:8	read	recharacterize
50:24 54:15 63:24	12:20 22:3 32:5 34:6	85:17 130:5	72:18
82:23 88:4 92:10,15	34:20 35:18 36:4	reading	recognition
95:3 111:15 120:18	70:4 106:18 118:1	85:16 128:8	55:18
129:4,5,12	139:12,13,15 142:1	ready	recommend
putting	142:18	14:9 27:15 28:10	21:22 89:6 126:15
5:23 11:10 22:19	quick	46:10 81:15	recommendation
44:10 69:9 85:9	16:7 26:4 106:3	real	24:16 73:4,11
104:12	quickly	71:4 82:15	recommendations
P.C	74:16 77:22 80:9	reality	57:21 105:5
2:13	83:10,21 85:1 141:18	50:12	recommended
p.m	quid	realize	18:12 60:10 75:1
1:9 3:2 139:5 140:13	86:19	8:5 127:23	recommending
143:7	quite	really	32:24
	55:3 138:17	28:20 35:8 40:9 46:9	reconfiguration
Q	quo	50:22 60:6 70:23	92:24 100:9
quadrupled	86:19	78:8 79:13 81:1	reconstruction
19:2	quote	84:22 86:12 92:14	18:6 20:24
qualify	53:3	93:11 94:19 104:1	record
58:5		123:22 130:4 135:22	9:14 98:8
quantify	R	140:7	redesign
58:5	rail	Realty	13:21 15:12 38:18
quarter	53:23 54:4	1:7 2:17 4:1 25:18	91:21,22
41:20	raise	57:2,6	redo
Query	70:14	rear	46:15
50:21	raised	6:13	reduce
question	12:19 22:6 42:24 53:4	rearranged	26:17,23 27:1
	ı	ı	I

		Ī	Ì
reduced	relates	23:22	117:10 118:19,20
15:14 96:21 116:5	99:20 109:10 138:18	reorient	120:3 123:10 128:22
reducing	relative	93:1	requirement
22:17 26:6	43:19 144:8	reorienting	58:6 60:24 64:8 75:10
refer	relatively	91:16 95:16	75:13,19 77:2 82:1
15:15	89:21	repair	96:7 119:2
reference	release	119:22	requirements
10:6	115:23 118:13	repave	55:19 57:18
referenced	released	17:19	requires
112:6	123:16	repaved	132:15 133:4
referencing	relevant	17:20	requiring
48:11	25:7	repeat	26:2 63:7 87:22
referred	relocated	29:11 35:1 128:2	124:19 131:21
38:8 63:7 128:17	7:2 11:20,23 12:1	replaced	reset
referring	reluctant	16:10,12 17:15	16:11
63:4,6,11 76:9 139:15	94:5	replacement	Residences
refine	rely	17:13 52:8 119:22	1:8 3:4 7:10,11
28:5	56:15	report	resident
reflect	remaining	29:8 30:1 52:11	65:3
56:4	68:5	reporter	residential
regard	remember	1:21 42:21 144:1	25:6,14 26:1,19 61:6,8
25:2,16 26:13 30:9	9:22 66:20 89:5	represent	62:12
31:15 75:23 85:11	120:14	20:5	residents
116:10 137:14	reminder	representation	26:20 48:23 49:5,5
regarding	141:14	63:8	67:21 68:20,21 69:4
14:5 27:20 29:12	removal	representations	69:6 72:9
30:19 31:3,8,18	32:19 127:23	59:18	resident's
32:18 33:6 87:11	removed	representative	56:2
regardless	16:11,12 17:15 32:19	35:22	resolutions
25:11 80:20	86:21 127:16,19	representing	4:8
regulations	128:3	138:7	resolve
35:2 88:7 89:13	removing	request	4:6
114:21	86:20	8:17 20:22 27:16,19	respect
regulatory	rendered	requested	20:22 28:16 30:4
2:10 12:16 27:5	136:13	4:24 35:4 42:20 98:24	42:23 59:18 61:16
reincorporate	rendering	requests	62:11 64:15 72:21
111:11	7:7	91:17	82:8 83:14 116:16
reinstated	renderings	require	118:15,17 122:12
30:19 31:1,7 52:21	9:19	27:7 59:2 91:15 92:2	respectfully
reinvented	rent	93:18 113:4,17	62:18 85:21 103:5
114:22	23:24 64:8	114:11 115:17	respond
relate	rental	139:17	20:19 24:24 46:8
16:23 17:4	21:24 22:23 63:17,18	required	118:3
related	63:24 117:24 121:11	31:24 55:5 62:15	responded
28:2 35:8 130:3	rents	103:16 115:5 117:3	31:4

response	113:17 115:7 128:10	29:3 32:14 46:19 51:1	70:9,11 139:8
11:6 12:22 74:14 86:3	128:12	51:8,18 67:24 91:9	Russett
98:1 111:1	reviewed	115:11 116:18	29:3 32:14 49:5
responsibility	12:14 87:1 100:10	117:12 118:8 119:13	27.3 32.14 47.3
43:12 94:11 106:10	137:14	119:14 121:23,24	S
115:11,15 117:1	reviewer	roads	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$
responsible	17:1 18:21,22 40:8	34:2 39:5 47:1 49:10	7:22
14:23 21:6 119:15,22	41:20	49:11,16 70:12,13	safety
120:18	reviewers	112:24 118:11 122:2	48:3
	20:4		sail
rest		roadway	67:1
30:4 101:11	reviewing	18:1 20:24 132:17	Saint
restoration	60:8 111:17	rock	128:9
93:17	revised	6:20,22	salient
restore	12:12 34:12	role	13:22
87:3,24	revising	58:17 88:9	13.22 Sam
restored	127:22	Roman	42:22 112:9 136:11
86:22	revision	21:17	
restoring	38:18	roof	Samuel
92:12	revisions	9:18	2:11
restriction	141:13	roofing	sat
57:17	rid	133:4	96:18
result	17:10	room	satisfaction
95:9 99:24	right	4:19	99:13
resumes	4:11 8:6 9:18 18:17	rooted	satisfactory
13:10	22:23 23:2 33:10	78:4	18:22 105:24
retain	34:13 40:13 43:11	route	satisfied
135:21	44:2,3 49:14 50:22	54:2 79:17,20	12:18 17:1 113:1
retaining	51:24 52:3 69:5	routes	satisfy
8:4	72:15 75:2 76:16	32:11 79:10,11	42:9
retract	77:10,19 81:2,2 86:6	rubbish	saving
38:6	87:4 90:20 93:6	30:20 31:9,18 58:24	42:3
return	95:17 96:15 101:9	59:4	saw
21:17	103:22 104:17	rubric	73:12 94:3
returned	105:19 109:14	107:6	saying
50:5 110:19	110:15 112:4,23	rule	15:18 31:1 33:8 42:11
review	113:14 114:2 117:5	88:15	60:6 65:20,21,22
12:11 26:17,21 29:5	118:10 125:6,19,20	rules	69:4 72:1 76:6 82:12
30:17 31:8,14 32:10	127:7 130:5,6 131:19	71:2 142:13	83:5 90:1,6,12,12,13
32:16,22 33:4 36:14	137:2,16 139:12	run	90:22 91:6 92:9,11
59:4,10 60:9 61:3	right-hand	19:17 51:2 56:11	97:8 102:11 112:2
80:18 84:2 87:14	30:12	59:23 67:13 68:10	113:13 115:16
92:15 94:4 104:16	risk	69:17 70:16,24	118:16 123:19
105:1,4,8,9 106:5,11	35:23 109:23 120:17	109:23 142:14	126:17 131:4,9 133:7
107:9 110:14 111:8,9		running	133:16 134:11 137:9
111:13,14,23 113:4	road	53:6 58:2,4 67:17 68:2	141:11
111.13,1 T,23 113.T	1044	55.0 50.2, r 07.17 00.2	
	1		l

says	29:18	78:4	59:23
35:6,8 56:20 71:2	scooter	Selkoe	service's
113:16 137:20	66:4	2:10 27:4,5	55:4
scale	scooters	sell	session
61:21	65:5,9	57:8	4:3
scatological	se	selling	set
13:4	96:1 113:6	27:10	9:13 43:22 45:12
scenario	second	sense	63:18 71:1 82:10
9:21 10:1 119:18	14:4,10 24:1,5 99:6,8	25:21 62:21,22 64:4	84:15 144:5
schedule	Secondly	75:24 76:1,13,22	setback
30:20 31:10 46:11	3:19	79:2 82:23 90:23	8:7,8,9
80:4	section	95:3 96:6 138:7	setbacks
scheduling	9:9 52:7 64:18 74:13	senses	8:5,11
25:19	secure	64:15	sets
schematic	85:20	sensitive	18:13
20:3	secured	82:8 83:5	settled
scheme	28:4	sentence	81:13
19:18 20:7 91:8	securing	94:3 98:17 99:6,7,8,11	sewer
school	98:12	116:5	122:12,24
58:3,4 67:9,10,13 68:1	security	separate	shaking
68:3,7,10,12,14,18	119:16 120:3	19:9 22:14 33:20 52:7	60:20 67:11
68:21 69:4,7,19 70:3	see	60:17,24	shape
71:2 73:18	5:24 6:1 7:6 9:11,11	separately	57:20
Schwartz	10:17 20:9 23:16	23:1	share
2:18 24:24 25:2 37:9	25:24 38:7 45:2	September	66:19
39:8,11 40:17,23	57:24 69:5 72:12	53:12 59:17	shift
41:2 42:24 44:5 61:4	81:6 94:9 98:24	sequentially	131:1 132:7,7
61:13 62:9,11 63:4,6	119:1 127:17 138:15	13:6	shop
75:9 76:11 78:23	142:24	serious	125:20
80:16 81:22 85:10	seeing	74:8 134:17 138:20	shorthand
86:9 87:18 89:15	21:7 23:3	serve	144:7
90:11 92:1 93:6,9	seek	29:8	show
94:14 95:19 99:18	47:11	served	60:14
100:4,24 102:11,21	seeks	89:19,19	showed
107:2,18 110:7,17	88:8	serves	6:11 7:20 8:2 9:20
112:13 113:12 115:4	seen	11:24 98:18	shown
116:10,16 117:20	10:23 18:19 31:13	service	4:12 10:21 11:16
118:3,14 119:11	44:7,20 86:18 89:6	21:22 37:24 38:7 53:2	86:17
120:6 124:22 126:7	90:16 91:3 100:10	53:6 54:4 55:21 56:6	shows
127:1,7 128:7,17,21	103:23 115:7,18,18	56:10,12 57:4 59:8	4:15 7:7 90:14 97:6
134:1,3,11,15,19	117:3 126:10 135:8	59:19 60:6 67:13,21	shuttle
135:3,12,15 136:7,11	135:16 137:13	69:18 70:2,9,24 73:7	21:21 25:16,20 37:23
136:20 137:11	selecting	73:24 122:24,24	38:6 52:23 53:2,5,5
138:16 143:1	9:3	services	53:14 54:2 55:4,21
Schwartz's	self-interest	41:12 54:10 57:12	56:6,10,11 57:4 58:2
	ı	ı	ı

59:8,10,18,23 60:5,9	sit	solution	87:6,8 117:9 136:14
62:6 67:7,16,21 68:2	47:17	68:10	139:23 141:18,20
68:10 69:18 73:7	site	somebody	speaking
side	4:10 5:1,9,10,11 7:3,8	9:9 22:6 23:6 24:1	66:2 133:20 137:19
6:4,10,23 7:18,20 8:8	7:18,20 9:20 11:13	35:11 50:15 66:4,12	speaks
10:21 15:14 17:10,11	11:21 71:13,14 85:5	66:19 95:23 102:22	61:22 141:21
70:16	111:5 133:12,22	123:13 136:24	special
sides	sitting	someplace	113:4,17 126:12 140:4
16:9 20:9	45:10 76:2 81:8 96:12	73:12	specific
sidewalk	situation	soon	13:7 59:21 62:10
16:9 17:13,15	108:5	51:8,18	87:15 118:23
sidewalks	six	sooner	specifically
17:8	131:17	77:8	44:7 86:18 110:10
sign	size	sorry	specifications
7:17,18,18,23 10:17	96:21	7:10 8:20 14:4 20:17	121:14
51:11 122:15	sketch	60:21 74:8 98:6	specificity
signage	6:11	113:9	21:22 53:17
7:4,5,6,21	skip	sort	specifics
signal	30:15	4:12,14 9:3,17 48:14	86:24 92:8 120:15
16:13 49:18	Skipping	49:8 62:3 73:6 74:4	specified
significant	111:2	83:7 94:17 96:11	110:20
11:7 13:9 49:12 90:24	sky	114:18 119:10	speculate
significantly	10:6	South	68:23
40:4	slightly	1:8 7:10,11 54:4 62:19	speed
signing	38:19 119:18	space	30:8,10
48:4	Slow	4:19 9:12 22:1,24 23:5	spelled
signs	14:3	23:5,6,23 24:5 26:6	58:11 93:22
7:23 8:1 30:13 121:19	small	66:18 95:11,11 98:5	spent
sign-offs	11:2	101:14	140:3
122:10	smaller	spaces	spinning
silent	65:13	22:9,22 23:13 24:8,10	79:15
93:4	snake	28:3,6 65:4,8,9,12,14	
similar	6:17,23	66:19 86:13,17,20,22	53:15 72:20
7:24 8:1 9:6 26:2	snooze	87:3,12,15,24 89:17	spoken
121:6,7,9	88:4	89:21 90:3,5,8,14	132:23
similarly	snow	91:22 92:8,12,16	spot
25:16	5:22	93:17 94:15,17,24	104:12
simple	socioeconomic	95:12 96:11,16 97:7	staff
94:14,16 95:1 103:13	68:22	98:4 99:17,21 100:8	4:6 5:2 12:13 13:17,22
simplified	soft	101:15 102:14 106:6	24:19 31:13 32:10,16
70:20	40:19 41:3 42:12	spadework	33:1 35:18,19,21
simply	sold	81:1	39:12 50:14 51:5
23:19 51:20 58:8 59:5	116:22	speak	61:4 87:16 90:13
60:3 77:9 94:24	solid	12:11 24:21 36:13	92:9,15 94:1,1,5,9
109:7 111:8	10:2,4 17:13	37:17 50:16 61:12	104:12,15 107:4,10
109./ 111.0	10.4,4 17.13	31.11 30.10 01.12	104.12,13 107.4,10
	l		I

1			
108:16 109:17	statute	14:14 15:2,4,13,15	31:10 32:8,17 35:5,9
110:11 120:13	119:12	16:24 17:21 18:11,20	41:18 59:2
122:23 127:20 128:1	stay	20:21 21:4 40:7	submitting
140:2 142:21	52:19 126:9	70:18 116:11	85:20
staff's	Steinfeld	strikes	subparagraph
90:15	2:8 41:5,5,13 51:5,17	71:24	113:10,10
standard	52:3 58:16 94:5	striping	subparts
30:18 31:7 32:10	105:14,17 106:14	16:14 18:4	30:24
120:2 131:20,22	107:1 127:8 130:21	strong	subsequent
132:4,9,10,20 133:15	131:2,10,16,19 132:2	85:22	88:6
standards	132:22 141:14 142:2	stronger	subsidies
121:14,16	142:22	85:15	21:19
standing	step	strongly	subsidize
9:10 134:2 139:21	141:3	25:3 75:9	27:11 70:22
standpoint	steps	struggling	subsidy
46:21 56:1,2,3	85:19	124:4	27:8
Stantec	Steve	stuck	substantial
2:16 3:24	78:9	82:6 97:4	88:11,19 89:8,11 92:5
start	Steven	student	93:2,4,14 94:8 96:20
4:2 36:18 43:5 47:14	2:18	69:18 70:2	100:1,18,19 102:18
47:20,22 50:23 51:1	sticking	students	102:22 105:15
72:14 84:5 111:4	82:2	58:3 68:11 69:15	106:12 107:6,23
started	stop	study	substantially
60:18 111:7 141:20	4:9 30:13 123:22	21:13 28:11,15,22	47:2
starters	stopping	29:1,2,9,15,22	substantiate
42:16	96:1	109:12,24	96:22
starting	stops	stuff	substantive
37:4,6	21:23 25:20 53:16,22	34:12	136:18,20
starts	store	subdivision	substituted
6:8 19:1 45:8	95:8	114:11,13,16,21 115:9	135:23
state	StormTank	115:12,24 116:9,12	substituting
27:18,24 28:9 79:7	32:20 127:19 128:4	116:13,17,18 117:23	111:23
80:15,18 81:5 83:9	stormwater	118:20 119:10,12	succeed
84:19 101:2 102:13	52:10 134:23	120:9	81:1 82:11 84:4
103:16 123:24 143:5	Storrs	subject	successful
stated	2:18	57:21 61:2 78:18	86:1
78:6 139:7	story	95:22 99:19 125:11	sufficient
statement	88:18	126:1	55:7 119:16
54:15	street	submission	suggest
states	1:11,16 14:11 16:8,13	128:10	51:5 69:23 71:20
116:4	16:14 17:7,19 18:6	submissions	85:21 103:5 114:2
stating	20:1 21:5 32:14	35:6 128:12,15,16	suggested
30:18	53:24 69:15 96:19	submit	58:2 85:11 86:22
station	116:15	32:9 52:15	100:5 102:16 107:8
54:4 74:7	streets	submitted	suggesting

33:7 65:4 71:21	16:8	21:12,16 22:2 26:14	thankless
95:19 104:22	suspect	58:17 62:7,9	140:6
suggestion	24:12 92:21	team	thanks
49:21 58:5 112:20	swear	57:7	140:4
suggestions	138:19	tearing	theoretical
37:22 53:13	system	98:5	103:21
suggests	121:20	technical	theoretically
78:9	systems	102:9	43:4 49:17
suit	32:20,21 121:4 128:4	tee	theory
138:8	128:5		24:13
	128.3	78:12 84:12 tell	· -
Suite	T		they'd
1:16	T	42:17 94:7 140:23	47:22
suited	27:9,10,13	telling	thickness
37:16	table	71:23 100:2	43:19,20
supervise	142:21	temporary	thing
20:21	take	48:7	17:24 27:4 35:17 44:9
supplemental	19:6 39:15,18,24 44:8	tenants	55:23,24 67:18 72:10
66:9,12 71:7	58:3 64:1 65:16	55:5,6 57:13,23 62:24	86:9 88:8 92:2 97:5
support		tendency	113:12 120:16 125:2
21:3 27:19 63:21 75:6	66:13 67:8 69:8,14	46:6	133:9
80:19	70:12,18 78:3 79:6	tending	things
supportive	79:24 85:11 99:7	123:10	5:14 11:2 15:13 18:4
130:16	115:11 124:8 129:24	tension	19:18 56:4 58:22
suppose	139:1 140:1	83:8	62:6 66:10 101:12
67:12	taken	ten-and-a-half-foot	105:10 113:11
supposed	14:14 37:15 49:14	18:2	115:23 120:20,22
100:17 113:14	64:17 68:11 144:4,7	ten-hour	121:4 122:12 123:4
sure	takes	131:3	134:15
14:5 20:16,18 24:18	80:2 102:22	term	think
39:10 42:22 47:23	talk	13:4 60:12	4:5 6:10 7:13 8:12 9:8
49:3,22 50:8 53:15	63:11 71:11 108:16	terms	11:3,17,20 12:6 13:9
53:18 54:1 60:16	113:7,21 127:10	22:17 64:4 76:6 87:8	17:6 18:9,18 20:2
63:9 66:7 78:20	141:20	88:2 97:13 101:10	22:5,14 23:18 24:4
81:16 87:19 94:10	talked	102:14 111:19	24:11,13 25:19,22
97:14 101:19 103:11	5:14,23 6:6,18 8:12	testimony	35:16 37:15 38:10
108:19,20 117:20	11:5 67:6,6 111:4	3:14 35:3 139:11	40:2,12,15,16,17
119:16 121:15 122:8	112:10 128:10	142:4 143:6	41:21 43:14 45:22
125:9 127:1,11 131:8	talking	thank	46:6,20 47:22 49:8,9
131:24 132:3,23	31:6 42:23 48:13 49:6	3:23 10:15 12:7,13	50:11 51:14 52:3
133:1 134:1 135:22	61:13,14 65:23,24	13:14 19:4,22,24	53:3,9,11,17 55:2,14
137:12 140:20	70:1 72:21 73:20	20:17 21:9 27:3,14	56:7,7,12,19 57:19
142:22	95:14 105:19 108:23	34:9 42:7,8 52:12	58:6,20 59:16,21
surface	122:1 124:5,6 128:19	63:15 74:11 117:15	60:12 61:19 62:8,16
5:19	132:8	123:8 140:1 142:17	62:17 63:2,16,17,22
survey	TAP	142:23 143:6	64:14 65:18 67:7,15
	I	ı	1

68:13 69:22,24 70:8	threw	17:22	111:16 122:9
71:9,10 73:6,13,14	70:7	top	town's
74:3,15 75:5 78:1	throw	8:24	18:21 27:16 43:22
79:6 80:6 82:1 87:17	38:1	total	50:16 51:1,8 76:2
87:24 88:3 89:24	throwing	44:8	89:18 116:20 118:24
90:9,15 91:2 92:6,22	105:2,20	totally	121:16 122:17
93:12 94:23 95:1,6,7	Thursday's	118:15	133:20
95:20 96:14 97:5,20	141:15	touch	town-wide
98:21 100:22 102:11	tie	59:3 84:10	26:16
103:4 104:7,19 105:1		touchstone	track
*	79:23 80:5,7		
105:21 106:15,20	tied	59:11 60:13	15:16 17:11 18:3
107:3,8 108:17 111:7	39:20 44:17	town	traction
112:5,12,13,14	ties	1:10 4:3 5:2 13:23	66:16
113:12 114:5 115:4	5:8	14:2,6,10 15:18,20	tractor
116:3,16 117:4,6,11	time	19:1 20:6,12,14 21:6	46:18
120:4,6 121:22 123:9	4:23 26:11 33:23	25:13,13,19 26:11,16	traffic
124:11,14 125:5,9,16	39:17 44:12 45:1,7	26:19,20,22 27:17,18	16:13 21:13 22:17
125:21 127:2,20	45:12,18,19 46:15,21	27:21,23 28:5,6,17	28:11,15,22 29:1,1,7
128:8,23 129:10	47:10,16 48:15 49:24	29:7 30:3 31:23 32:2	29:9,22,23,24 30:1
130:24 131:1 132:22	50:1,9,10 54:13	32:20,23 33:10 34:20	30:11 41:19 58:4
133:7 134:3 135:16	55:16 56:9 61:24	35:21,22 36:6 38:19	62:4 67:24 68:8
136:6,8,13 138:1,6	63:1 67:17 76:1 77:8	39:1,4,7,12,14,18,23	69:10,11,12,13 70:3
138:16 143:2	77:11,13 78:10,10,16	40:12,19 41:8 43:4,5	70:13,18 72:6 109:12
thinking	80:3 84:4 108:14	43:11 44:1,1,8,11,16	110:9
41:17 46:3 74:4 79:8	110:18,20,21 116:6,6	45:9 49:24 55:8,19	trailers
third	126:9,13,18,18,20,21	57:15 59:2,3,10 60:4	46:19
9:16 14:16 29:6 92:13	126:23 127:3 128:2	60:8 61:6 62:12,13	transcript
Thornton	129:5 135:15 139:19	62:14,21 70:21 71:5	144:6
16:13	142:14,21 144:5	72:2 74:24 75:6,7,14	transcripts
thought	times	75:20 85:24 87:16,20	60:3
65:16,17 66:15 69:17	88:5	89:16,16 90:13 92:9	transit
73:1,2,15 93:21	timing	92:15 96:24 100:14	21:20 62:6 66:6,9 70:9
104:6 105:3 128:19	27:20 39:13 42:18,23	106:1 108:16 109:17	70:24
136:5	43:1,15 44:6 75:15	110:4,7,11 112:22,22	transpire
thoughtful	75:18,23 76:8 80:21	113:5 115:10,17	101:8
140:5	today	117:1 118:13,18	transportation
thoughts	11:6 88:24 95:3	119:14,23 120:1,13	2:15 14:16,20 21:11
34:15 37:12 38:15	told	121:8,14 124:18	26:3,5,14 28:14,23
56:24 70:19 97:2	123:3 134:19 140:24	125:7,14 127:4,17,20	29:4 52:15 55:7
111:3 119:5 138:21	tonight	128:1,4 129:15 132:9	57:18 61:23 62:5
three	3:9 4:7 34:18 127:6	132:11 133:16 135:8	65:6,7 73:11 76:3
11:22 14:24 125:16	134:10 139:9	135:17,20,20,24	98:9 110:13 116:7
128:14	tonight's	136:23 137:13,22	125:18 127:15
threshold	3:17	138:3,7,9,14 142:3	treated
53:20,21	tonnage	towns	121:6

4	1 22 15 22 22 42 24	114 15 20 117 4	12 4 27 12 44 12 16
tree	22:15 32:22 42:24	114:15,20 117:4	13:4 27:12 44:13,16
6:16,16,22 10:18,19	44:24 45:13 54:13,19	118:17 134:5 140:20	49:15 66:5,9,17
trees	64:6 66:10,19 68:2	142:18	79:17 85:13 98:4
6:20	69:20 75:23 77:17,19	understandable	99:14,16 112:23
trench	79:24 84:6 86:12,16	128:6	useful
11:10,10	92:18 102:24 113:11	understandably	68:10 73:6,13 111:17
trenches	114:1 117:12 131:11	31:11	uses
123:23	two-and-a-half-year	understanding	53:5
triggered	64:7	107:19 112:18 126:11	utilities
26:9,12	two-page	understood	32:2 114:8 115:13
trip	80:23 81:4	24:17 38:21 77:1 92:7	116:12,19
67:8	two-stage	96:15 98:12 104:21	utilize
tripled	107:22	114:24 123:2 131:9	54:10
19:2	two-year	133:14	utilized
trips	83:23	undertaking	23:13 24:10
26:6,18 62:4	type	85:22	utilizing
trouble	44:9 57:7 64:7 68:10	Unfortunately	49:16
55:6 104:1	106:17 121:12	62:2	
truck	types	unique	$\mathbf{V}$
5:13 32:11 79:10,16	62:6	32:1 62:18	value
79:19 91:19	typical	uniqueness	20:13 45:10 114:9
trucks	27:8 136:17	61:19	various
5:24 79:11,18	typically	unit	105:10 134:4
5.24 /9.11,18 true		24:6,6 47:16	vast
	44:7 114:9,11 118:20		68:21
42:6 51:10 56:21 83:9	U	units	vehicle
105:12 109:24 143:3	Uh-huh	22:24 24:12 26:10	26:6,18
144:6,12	74:23	54:9 61:21,22 68:3	vehicles
truly	ultimately	108:22 109:1,10	27:1 32:13 79:12
101:15 140:2		unlawful	
try	18:11 56:16 107:5	137:9,15	112:23
26:24 47:18 53:19	115:10 121:4 124:13	unreasonable	vehicular
64:12 84:11 141:17	unclear	59:5,13	65:17 85:6
trying	92:4	update	version
29:13 48:16 54:19	undefined	142:21	37:9 70:20
55:14 61:24 62:3	136:23	updated	vertically
90:4 95:5	undergoing	7:1	17:17
turn	26:16	updates	vet
30:12 51:15 71:10	understand	3:21	65:2
turn-around	3:19 12:24 13:13 17:7	updating	VFW
90:18 91:19 93:1	18:17 19:21 29:14	63:19	19:14 27:16 28:3
94:21,22 101:11	35:14 36:2,4 57:18	upper	79:16,17 85:5 86:19
twenty	58:17,20 62:16 76:5	30:13	91:23 98:3 99:12,20
54:22	77:21 83:20 97:11	usage	99:23 100:6,14,16
two	99:21 103:4 104:5,13	25:21 49:9 85:6	101:2 102:13 103:3
11:24 14:2 15:13	109:11 110:7,14	use	108:6,11,12
-1.2.1.1.2 10.10	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	I	I	I

	I	I	I
VI	wall	28:5 50:14 58:13	54:3
21:18,24	5:16 6:4,6,22,24 7:6,7	87:16	went
view	8:6,7 9:17 10:4,17	warrants	20:2 136:12
10:16 46:15 62:23,24	Wallis	54:12 56:6 59:20,22	west
73:23 89:4,11 97:15	70:11	Washington	7:18 15:14 19:12
village	walls	1:11	47:20
7:9,24 19:12 53:24	7:4,4,17 8:4,9	wasn't	we'll
69:19 70:3 72:9	want	4:16 19:22 63:6 65:16	34:11,18 72:1 81:12
73:21 74:2	13:15 15:11,21 18:24	79:4	84:5,9,9 112:2
violate	19:22 20:13 22:7	wasted	we're
63:9	23:23 24:5,21,22	77:11,13	9:3 12:18 20:6,8,9,14
Volume	29:6 31:9 33:5,13	watch	21:4 29:13 30:15,24
1:1	34:23 38:16 41:24	130:8,12 132:4 133:3	37:4,6 40:16 41:2
voluntarily	44:6 45:2,3,9,11,12	water	44:4 45:13 48:13
64:10	45:23 46:18 49:15,18	11:6,12 122:12,24	49:4,6 50:23 51:2,15
volunteering	50:16,23 52:19,21	way	51:20 53:18 59:22
69:23	55:11 56:11 57:12,22	5:10 17:21 23:15	60:6 61:19,24 62:2
vote	58:12,21 60:3 63:8	25:21 26:23,23 39:5	64:21 65:23 67:20
107:14,15 141:3	65:18 69:23 70:4,15	44:21 47:21,23 49:1	68:13 72:10,21 76:23
voting	71:22 72:17,23 77:21	49:4 54:14 57:20	80:20 81:8,14,22
140:21	78:5,7,8,12,13 81:14	58:7 72:5 76:5 78:9	82:11 85:24 87:21
	82:10,16 83:6,21	78:15 81:23 84:12	90:2,12,12,12,13
	85:8 87:5 90:5,24	92:7 101:7 102:1	92:9,11,14 93:4
wage	92:1,10,16,16 93:1	103:1 104:7,9,14	94:13,15 100:2,15
41:23	93:24 94:2,9,10 95:9	105:24 106:16,22	101:14,22 102:11,23
wait	95:21 96:2,3,3 98:6	107:16 108:11 109:2	103:2 107:11 122:1
76:18,20 77:8 134:8	98:13,22,22,23,24	123:10 124:7 127:24	123:22 124:5 125:5
waiver	99:21 100:24 102:22	132:5 133:21 141:21	127:6 128:23 129:22
7:21 8:4,13,16,21 9:5	104:19,20 105:23	142:5	130:2 133:15 135:1
waivers	109:5 110:21,22	ways	141:17
3:21 7:16 8:3,9	113:22 114:3,5	14:18 19:7 26:17 29:2	we've
Walcott 70:11	119:15 121:23	69:20	6:21 8:21 10:20 48:18
	123:13 125:7,9 127:8	wedded	49:14 50:18 60:15
walk	130:4 133:24 134:4,5	15:22	61:18 68:3 78:2
6:10,11 47:8 68:15,21	134:6,16,21 140:14	week	80:21 88:3 105:9
69:4 73:17 117:19 walked	141:20 142:23 143:5	4:23 6:7 8:13 111:7	134:3
	wanted	weeks	whatsoever
16:9	4:20 11:21 13:7 14:21	78:24 128:14	87:19
<b>walking</b> 69:6 73:22,23	20:19 33:10 65:1	week's	wide
09:0 73:22,23 walkway	67:3 79:3 124:9	112:11	53:8
5:5,7,17 6:2,9,17	127:21 130:7 133:11	welcome	width
10:17 49:18	141:18	140:9	17:8,8,20
walkways	wanting	welding	willing
4:24,24 7:1	108:6	133:5	20:9 24:5 59:7 94:6
4.24,24 /.1	wants	well-populated	104:15,17 106:1
		l	

win	worth	79:24 81:12 84:6	\$27,800
78:9,11 81:17 84:5,12	22:19	102:24 117:12	33:2 129:11
wind	wouldn't	136:16	\$28,000
77:16	5:22 31:11 46:18	Yup	33:8 129:12
winds	48:12 94:7 96:1	10:12 31:21	\$3,000
103:1	98:16,17 111:23		19:17
winning	112:7 137:11 138:13	<b>Z</b>	\$350,000
78:1 81:13	wrap	ZBA	15:1 41:8
winter	141:17	103:12	\$45
11:1	writing	zero	33:20
wish	50:23	6:8	\$64,000
92:14	written	zoning	30:7,13
witching	106:10 109:2	1:5 94:9 135:18,18	30.7,13
139:5		136:21,23 137:6,17	0
withdraw	<b>wrong</b> 79:5 90:4 101:20	137:23	02111
76:16 84:20	19.5 90.4 101.40	Zuroff	1:17
70:10 84:20 wonder	X	2:6 3:6 19:5,9,14,21	02445
54:5	X	23:4,10,14,21 24:15	1:12
word	24:6 83:17	37:12,13,18 38:6,12	
69:8 78:15 90:23	XXII	49:17 57:1 61:2	1
	1:1	63:22 64:3 65:18,22	1
110:2 135:10,10,14 135:14		67:22 68:16,22 69:8	13:6 113:10
worded	Y	69:20 70:7 71:7 72:4	1-144
<b>45:7</b> 106:21	$\overline{\mathbf{Y}}$	72:15 73:8,10 74:6	1:2
	113:10	77:2 81:18 83:9,20	10
words	yard	84:7,22 91:10 92:22	6:8 28:3,6 86:12,17
43:1	20:8	96:6,17 97:8,20 99:4	87:3,11,15 89:21
work	yards	99:7 103:15,20 104:9	90:3,7 91:22 92:8,12
20:6,14 21:2 43:8,21	87:23	104:22 105:4,12	94:15,16,24 95:12
44:13 45:7,11,13,20	yeah	108:23 121:2,19	96:10,16 97:6 98:4
46:13,22 47:5,18,23	24:9 35:20 40:16	122:1 125:4 126:1,14	99:16,21 100:8
51:6,14 52:4 67:21	43:14 64:21 65:23	127:11,14 128:6	101:15 106:6 136:16
80:19,22 114:9 116:6	71:18 73:12 74:19	129:19 130:16	10:00
119:17 122:9,10	98:16 105:6 106:15	131:17 135:23	139:5
133:4,5 135:22	114:23 117:11		10:15
worked	127:10 129:10	138:22,23 140:18,19 140:21 141:7	139:6
5:1 48:18	130:11 133:7 136:4	<b>Zuroff's</b>	10:20
working	138:10	74:4	143:7
4:3 72:19 140:3	year	/4.4	100
works	50:6,6 54:22 79:6 80:3	<b>\$</b>	26:10 54:17 61:22
6:12 50:19 56:17	92:18	\$15,000	88:5 114:9 116:24
84:23 132:6	years	32:24	12
world	14:24 43:5 44:12	\$2.24 <b>\$25</b>	1:9 29:17 122:19
124:16	45:13 54:22,23,23	23:23	13
worst-case	57:5 64:6 66:22	\$250,000	29:19
9:21,24	76:19,20 77:17,19	41:21	15
	10.19,40 //.1/,19	71,41	13

S:20,23 9:4   15B	109:1
15B   63:5   24   24   27:22   47   134:16,24   48   32:21 128:4   48   32:21 128:4   48   32:21 128:4   48   32:21 128:4   48   32:21 128:4   48   32:21 128:4   48   32:21 128:4   48   32:21 128:4   54:17 108:21,22   911   10:8,9,10   10:8,9,10   10:8,9,1	109:1
Color	109:1
15th   53:12 59:17   108:24   24-hour   48   32:21 128:4	109:1
108:24	109:1
15-foot   24-hour   131:1   24-hour   131:1   25-hoot   25-hoot   26-hoot    109:1	
8:22     131:1       26     32:21 128:4       8:19     113:9,15,20       26th     5       161:21 68:3     140:13 141:8 142:24       28th     17:14 21:19 27:9       8:22 9:1     140:17 141:9       30     26:8       186     33       63:5,9     144:16       30     27:22 76:9 80:10       84:14 126:10     84:14 126:10       333     1:11       140:17 141:9     6       20     4	109:1
16-foot     26       8:19     113:9,15,20       161     26th       61:21 68:3     140:13 141:8 142:24       17     28th       8:22 9:1     140:17 141:9       179     3       1:16     30       186     542-0039       63:5,9     144:16       30     27:22 76:9 80:10       84:14 126:10     1:18       333     1:11       66     22:6       6th	109.1
10-1801   113:9,15,20   26th   140:13 141:8 142:24   28th   140:17 141:9   26:8   50   17:14 21:19 27:9   50/50   78:12   509   26:8   542-0039   1:18   542-2119   1:18   1:18   1:18   1:18   1:18   1:18   1:18   1:18   1:18	
161     26th     17:14:21:19:27:9       17     28th     17:14:21:19:27:9       8:22 9:1     140:17:14:9     78:12       179     3     50/50       1:16     3     78:12       186     3     542-0039       63:5,9     144:16     1:18       2     27:22 76:9 80:10     84:14 126:10       140:17 141:9     333     1:11       2nd     1:11     6       140:17 141:9     22:6       20     4	
10	
17     28th     50/50       186     3     78:12       186     3     542-0039       1:18     30     1:18       2     27:22 76:9 80:10     1:18       14:13 19:17     333     1:11       2nd     1:11     6       140:17 141:9     4     6       20     4     6	
8:22 9:1     140:17 141:9     78:12       179     3     26:8       186     3     542-0039       63:5,9     144:16     542-2119       2     27:22 76:9 80:10     1:18       14:13 19:17     84:14 126:10     1:18       2nd     1:11     6       140:17 141:9     4     6       22:6     6th	
179     3     509       1:16     3     542-0039       1:18     1:18       542-2119     1:18       1:18     542-2119       1:18     542-2119       1:18     542-2119       1:18     6       1:11     6       1:11     6       22:6     6       26:8     542-0039       1:18     542-2119       1:18     6       6     6       22:6     6       6     6       22:6     6       6th     6	
1:16     3     26:8       186     542-0039       63:5,9     144:16     1:18       30     542-2119       27:22 76:9 80:10     1:18       84:14 126:10     1:18       333     6       140:17 141:9     6       20     4	
186     3     542-0039       63:5,9     144:16     542-2119       2     27:22 76:9 80:10     1:18       14:13 19:17     84:14 126:10     1:18       2nd     1:11     6       140:17 141:9     4     6th	
186     3     542-0039       63:5,9     144:16     1:18       2     542-2119       27:22 76:9 80:10     542-2119       84:14 126:10     1:18       333     6       140:17 141:9     6       20     4	
63:5,9     144:16     1:18       30     27:22 76:9 80:10     1:18       21:13     84:14 126:10     1:18       333     1:11     6       140:17 141:9     4     6th	
2     30     542-2119       2     27:22 76:9 80:10     1:18       333     333     6       140:17 141:9     4     6       20     4     6th	
2 14:13 19:17 2nd 140:17 141:9 20  84:14 126:10 333 1:11 6 6 22:6 6th	
2 14:13 19:17 2nd 140:17 141:9 20  84:14 126:10 333 1:11 6 22:6 6th	
14:13 19:17 2nd 140:17 141:9 20  333 1:11  4 22:6 6th	
2nd 140:17 141:9 20	
140:17 141:9  20  22:6 6th	
20 <u>4</u> 6th	
20	
<b>2001</b> 140:17,18 141:9 <b>617</b>	
136:13 40A 1:18,18	
130.13	
2000	
125.20	
20130074	
1.0	
2014 1:7 14:18 31:24 62:2 68 06:10 105:10 113:20 125:4 126:15	
29:8 30:2 96:19 105:19 112:20 135:4 136:15	
2015 115:8 117:13 127:3 <b>7</b>	
1:9 144:13	
2017	
144:16 121:10 1:9 140:13	
21   401   7:04	
21:11 22:10 38:3,4	
52:6.12 64:19.20   41   760	
30:16,23 111:2 63:12 72:12 137:15	
27.15 74.15 19 22 41R	
31:19	
28.2 16 86.5 6 07.24 43	
32:6 125:5 8	
8:00	
''	